DEPARTMENT: Public Works/Airport

RECOMMENDED ACTION AND JUSTIFICATION:

Board approval of the updated Airport Master Plan.

The existing Airport Master Plan was approved by the Board in 1995. Since then numerous airport construction projects have been completed including widening the runway, adding airplane hangars, helicopter parking, improved runway and taxiway lighting, a secondary access road and fire suppression system. Before proceeding with additional projects it made sense to update the Airport Master Plan to incorporate these changes and set guidelines and priorities for future improvements.

The firm of Mead & Hunt was selected in March 2006 to perform the work necessary to update the Airport Master Plan. On January 20, 2009 Mead & Hunt presented an overview of the updated plan to the Board of Supervisors, and printed copies of the entire plan were distributed to each Board member. A member of the Airport Advisory Committee asked the Board to allow additional time for public review and comment on the plan. The plan was then posted on the County website and an ad was placed in the Mariposa Gazette stating that the Airport Advisory Committee would be discussing the plan at their upcoming meeting of February 11, 2009. No revisions to the Airport Master Plan resulted from that meeting, and we are now requesting formal approval of the updated Airport Master Plan by the Board.

BACKGROUND AND HISTORY OF BOARD ACTIONS:

The Board approved the contract with Mead & Hunt to update the Airport Master Plan on March 28, 2006 Res. No. 06-118.

ALTERNATIVES AND CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION:

Do not approve the updated Airport Master Plan. The FAA funded 95% of the cost of the update and has been inquiring about its completion.
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MARIPOSA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
MINUTE ORDER

TO: DANA HERTFELDER, Public Works Director
FROM: MARGIE WILLIAMS, Clerk of the Board
SUBJECT: Approve the Updated Airport Master Plan (Continued from March 17, 2009)

RESOLUTION 09-138

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF MARIPOSA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

ADOPTED THIS Order on March 24, 2009

ACTION AND VOTE:

3:21 p.m. Dana Hertfelder, Public Works Director; Approve the Updated Airport Master Plan (Continued from March 17, 2009)  

BOARD ACTION: Dana Hertfelder advised that the updated Airport Master Plan and Layout Plan proposes that the Airport will remain as it is; and he responded to previous questions from March 17th relative to the surrounding land use zoning and he advised that he could not find anything where the County agreed to not acquire land in the future. Discussion was held.

Input from the public was provided by the following:

Betty Mankins, owns property around the Airport, stated she does not have a problem with the Airport, but she has a problem with the County chipping away at their acreage. She feels that the Plan just lists alternatives and she questioned which alternatives will be used. Dana Hertfelder advised that alternatives 5 and 6 are recommended as shown on Table 4A and he advised that goals are listed on Table 5A – projects 11 and 12 are proposed to be done in the next few years and include looking at the acquisition of avigation easements and this would require further action by the Board. Betty Mankins expressed concern that if their development rights are restricted, it would devalue the property. Staff responded to this concern and questions from the Board relative to the process for acquisition of easement rights. Betty Mankins stated she feels that the County has enough land to do what it wants to do and they want to be left alone.

Joel Bibby clarified his previous statement (March 17th) relative to feeling that a plane is a luxury item. He noted that, as the family estate is set up, he has no vested interest in the property and he is speaking for his children. He said they would feel better about the Plan if it didn’t discuss acquisition. He advised that he has tapes of negotiation meetings with the County on the previous property acquisition advising that the County would not be asking for anything more. He stated he supports the Airport and they try to be good neighbors, but he feels that the County should not be taking any more property. He referred to the budget for the Airport and stated he feels the rates for hangars should be reviewed; and he referred to security issues.
Discussion was held and it was clarified that there is no plan to acquire any additional land in the Plan. (M) Allen, (S) Cann, Res. 09-138 was adopted approving the updated Airport Master Plan/Ayes: Aborn, Turpin, Cann, Allen; Excused: Bibby.

Cc: Maria Liddle, Airport File