RECOMMENDED ACTION AND JUSTIFICATION:
Approve the California River Parkways Grant to the State of California Resources Agency for the Mariposa Creek Parkway Phase III, to design, develop and construct a section of a non-motorized and ADA accessible trail commencing at the pedestrian bridge located at 8th Street.

Adopt a Resolution approving the application for grant funds for the California River Parkways Grant Program and appoint a designee as agent to conduct all negotiations, execute and submit all documents.

Attached are two grant applications: The trail of Project Number 1 commences at 8th Street and ends at Hwy 49 North. It includes a restroom, small parking lot near the cemetery and drinking fountain. However, Project Number 2 is a shorter trail as it commences at 8th Street and ends at Coakley Circle. It eliminates amenities such as the restroom, small parking lot and drinking fountain.

The Mariposa Creek Parkway is consistent with the Mariposa Town Planning Area and the draft Mariposa County Parks and Recreation Master Plan. This grant is one of many applications to be pursued in order to complete the 3.5-mile Mariposa Creek Parkway.

Staff has submitted two articles in the local newspaper followed by conducting two public forum presentations seeking public input.

BACKGROUND AND HISTORY OF BOARD ACTIONS:
The County of Mariposa has received the following grants:
1985 Urban Streams Restoration Grant with DWR
1988 State Park Bond Act
1988 State of California
1997 EEMPT Fund – tree planting project

The Mariposa Creek Parkway is consistent with the Mariposa Town Planning Area and the draft Mariposa County Parks and Recreation Master Plan. This California River Parkways Grant is one of many grants that will be pursued in the near future to complete the 3.5-mile Mariposa Creek Parkway.

ALTERNATIVES AND CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION:

Financial Impact? ( ) Yes(x ) No
Budgeted in Current FY? ( ) Yes ( ) No ( ) Partially Funded
Amount in Budget: $________
Additional Funding Needed: $________
Source:
Internal Transfer
Unanticipated Revenue
Transfer Between Funds
Contingency
( ) General  ( ) Other

List of Attachments, number pages consecutively
Application, page 1-90
Resolution, page 51-52
Application, page 1-94
Resolution, page 47-48

CLERK’S USE ONLY:
Res. No.: 05-487  Ord. No. ______
Vote – Ayes: 5  Noes: __________
Absent: ______
Approved
( ) Minute Order Attached  ( ) No Action Necessary

The foregoing instrument is a correct copy of the original on file in this office.
Date: ____________
Attest: MARGIE WILLIAMS. Clerk of the Board
Revised Dec. 2002

COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:
Requested Action Recommended
No Opinion
Comments:
Recommendation for either option
RESOLUTION NO. 05-487

A RESOLUTION OF COUNTY OF MARIPOSA
APPROVING THE APPLICATION FOR GRANT FUNDS FOR
THE CALIFORNIA RIVER PARKWAYS GRANT PROGRAM UNDER THE
WATER SECURITY, CLEAN DRINKING WATER,
COASTAL AND BEACH PROTECTION ACT OF 2002 (Proposition 50); AND
APPOINT DESIGNEE AS AGENT TO CONDUCT ALL NEGOTIATIONS,
EXECUTE AND SUBMIT ALL DOCUMENTS

WHEREAS, the Legislature and Governor of the State of California have provided Funds for the program shown above; and

WHEREAS, the Resources Agency has been delegated the responsibility for the administration of this grant program, establishing necessary procedures; and

WHEREAS, said procedures established by the State Resources Agency require a resolution certifying the approval of application(s) by the Applicants governing board before submission of said application(s) to the State; and

WHEREAS, the Applicant, if selected, will enter into an agreement with the State of California to carry out the Project

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the County of Mariposa

1. Approves the filing of an application for the Mariposa Creek Parkway-Phase III;

2. Certifies that Applicant understands the assurances and certification in the application, and

3. Certifies that Applicant or title holder will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain the Project(s) consistent with the land tenure requirements; or will secure the resources to do so, and

4. Certifies that it will comply with the provisions of Section 1771.8 of the State Labor Code regarding payment of prevailing wages on Projects awarded Proposition 50 Funds, and

5. If applicable, certifies that the Project will comply with any laws and regulations including, but not limited to, legal requirements for building codes, health and safety codes, disabled access laws, and, that prior to commencement of construction, all applicable permits will have been obtained, and

6. Appoints the County Administrative Officer, or designee, as agent to conduct all negotiations, execute and submit all documents including, but not limited to
applications, agreements, payment requests and so on, which may be necessary for the completion of the aforementioned Project(s).

APPROVED AND ADOPTED the 11th day of October 2005. I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution Number 05-487 was duly adopted by the County of Mariposa.

Following Roll Call Vote:  Ayes: 5 Supervisors Stetson, Turpin, Bibby, Fritz, Pickard  
                      Noes: 0 None  
                      Absent: 0 None

Bob Pickard, Chairman of the Board

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGAL SUFFICIENCY:

Thomas P. Guarino, County Counsel

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
County of Mariposa

ATTEST:

Margie Williams, Clerk of the Board

by: Mary Johnson

ml.grants Prop 50 Calif River Parkways Resolution
COUNTY OF MARIPOSA

An application for a
California River Parkways Grant Program

Funded by
Water Security, Clean Drinking Water,
Coastal and Beach Protection
Act of 2002
Proposition 50

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
THE RESOURCES AGENCY

October 2005
C. FORNIA RIVER PARKWAYS PRC RAM
APPLICATION FORM

State of California - The Resources Agency
Water Security, Clean Drinking Water, Coastal and
Beach Protection Act of 2002 – Proposition 50

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Grant Amount Requested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mariposa Creek Parkway-Phase III</td>
<td>$1,808,988</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Estimated Total Project Cost (State Grant and other funds and In-Kind donations) | $2,573,328 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APPLICANT (Agency and address - including zip code)</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Nearest City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>County of Mariposa Check one:</td>
<td>Mariposa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.O. Box 784 Non-Profit</td>
<td>Nearest Cross Street 8th Street/Stroming Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mariposa, CA 95338 Public Agency</td>
<td>Senate District No. 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assembly District No. 25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Applicant's Representative Authorized in Resolution

Rich Inman
Name (Type)

County Administrative Officer
Title
(209) 966-3222
Phone
mlidyoff@mariposacounty.org
Email Address

Marilyn Lidyooff
Name (Type)

Business Development Coordinator
Title
(209) 742 1229
Phone

Brief description of project
(Summarize major activities to be funded by this Grant)
Mariposa County proposes construction of the Mariposa Creek Parkway-Phase III, a linear park along Mariposa Creek in the town of Mariposa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37 29'11&quot; N</td>
<td>119 58'09&quot; W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coordinates Represent: 8th St. (Beginning of Project)
Coordinates Determined Using: TOPOZONE.com

Statutory Conditions Met (check at least two)

- Recreation
- Habitat
- Flood Management
- Conversion
- Conservation & Interpretive Enhancement

Check if project is located in:
- San Gabriel and Los Angeles River Watersheds

For Dev. Projects - Project is _______ acres:

Acres owned in fee simple by Applicant: _______ acres:

Acres available under a _______ year lease: _______ acres

Acres other interest (explain): _______ acres

For Acquisition projects - Projects will be _______ acres:

Acquire in fee simple by Applicant _______ acres

Acquire in other than fee simple (explain) _______ acres

At beginning of project at 8th/Stroming Road bridge crossing will be an easement only; owner retains access to County Road from property to his property on the west side of creek.

I certify that the information contained in this project application, including required attachments, is complete and accurate.

Signed: [Signature]
Applicant's Authorized Representative as shown in Resolution
Date: [Date]
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II. PROJECT SUMMARY

a) Project Description/Scope  (Note: "Project and Trail" are used interchangeably)
Mariposa County proposes construction of the Mariposa Creek Parkway – Phase III, a linear park along Mariposa Creek in the Town of Mariposa. The project involves the construction of approximately 0.4-mile non-motorized and ADA accessible trail including but not limited to: handicap ramps, bollards, security equipment, drinking fountain, rest areas, lighting, fencing, native vegetation planting, and interpretive stations. The project includes preliminary studies, acquisition, and construction. The project encompasses an area from 8th Street at the vehicle weir crossing to Coakley Circle, via east side of the creek, as well as to Joe Howard Street. The project will commence at 8th Street/Stroming Road and proceed northerly to Coakley Circle and Joe Howard Street, both of which are riparian forest below the Post Office, Pioneer Market, and NAPA Auto Parts. The route continues northwest to Joe Howard Street and crosses to the Roadside Rest. Portions of the trail will be “on ground”, portions on boardwalk close to the creek, and portions on a raised walkway high above the creek.

The trail will have interpretive stations utilizing all-weather signage. Stations are intended to describe such natural and cultural history scenes as perennial stream, grape lanai, valley oak woodland, each old-growth tree species, pond and cultural/historical resources. Stations overlooking sensitive habitats will be constructed on raised platforms.

Need for Project
Recreation/Tourism:
The County lacks trails and amenities for tourists and the local community. The need of this project is to connect the existing Creek Parkway from Highway 140 at downtown to the commercial district surrounding the History Center with a direct and compatible non-motorized trail that parallels the highway connecting high density areas at either end. A high volume of low/moderate income persons live in the proposed project area, many of whom are elderly.

Interpretive enhancements:
There are diverse ecosystems in the project area. The natural environment in Mariposa Creek contains significant wild natural habitat directly adjacent to an urbanized setting of asphalt, sidewalks, and buildings. The middle of the proposed project contains small impoundment area that can include interpretive elements into the summer. At the south end is riparian forest; at the north end the trail leaves the creek.

b) If the Project is part of a Larger Parkway Plan, describe the plan and how it incorporates this Project.
The Mariposa Creek Parkway (adopted as a County project in 1989) will be a linear park the length of Mariposa Creek within the Town of Mariposa. The route will travel 3.5-miles between the Mariposa County Fairgrounds at State Highway 49 South and the proposed Regional Recreational Complex at State Highway 49 North. Later, the trail will connect to the Merced River Trail and Yosemite National Park.

c) What specific components of the Project will be funded by this grant? Include amounts for each component.
The components of the Project that will be funded by this grant are illustrated below:
Preliminary studies approximately $81,600; acquisition approximately $292,800 and construction approximately $960,000.

d) Eligibility question: How does your Project provide public access?
The entire trail will be open to the public and shall permit travel between 8th to Coakley Circle and Joe Howard Street where there is no public access. The project starts at the end of the existing completed section of the Creek Parkway.
III. PROJECT PROPOSAL NARRATIVE

The project proposal narrative is the basis for the Committee’s evaluation. It provides details on the Project and should demonstrate to the committee how effectively the Project meets the goals of the California River Parkways Grant Program.

A. Statutory Conditions (30 points)

Every River Parkway Project must meet at least two of the five statutory conditions. Address each of the questions listed under the conditions that apply to your River Parkway Project. For Acquisitions, please answer the additional questions at the end of this section.

CONDITION 1: RECREATION

Provide compatible recreational opportunities including trails for strolling, hiking, bicycling and equestrian uses along rivers and streams.

River parkways provide communities with safe places for recreation such as family picnics, bicycling and hiking; areas for river access for swimming, canoeing and fishing; and other low-impact activities.

a) Describe the specific recreational goals targeted by the Project and the expected impact on the local community.

The recreational goal of this project is to provide a safe, compatible inter-connected trail/pedestrian path for the community and tourists. The proposed project combined with the existing trail will create approximately 1.1 miles of continuous trail. The trail will accommodate hikers, bicycles, strollers, and nature enthusiasts. Historic downtown Mariposa is visited by 43,980 tourists per year, with the potential of 284,681 visitors that pass through the County to visit Yosemite National Park and its natural wonders. There are nine hotels/motels within walking distance of the existing and proposed trail, as well as over 1,800 single-family, apartment and mobile home residents. The trail is also available for regional residents that come to town from outlying areas where there are few handicapped accessible facilities permitting access to the natural environment.

b) Describe recreational opportunities and amenities your Project will provide and explain how these amenities are compatible with the environment and location.

The recreational opportunities and amenities of the project will include, but are not limited to, the following: continuous ADA compliant trail in a cool microclimate along the creek, bountiful shaded tree-lined trail, resting areas, interpretive stations, benches, and wildlife viewing. The trail will access the County Park, Swimming Pool, Skate Park, History Center, Roadside Rest Area and historical Downtown. Later, it will connect to the County Regional Sports Complex, California State Mining and Mineral Museum, the Merced River Trail and Yosemite National Park. This project is compatible with the environment and location as the natural creek is located in a pristine wooded area adjacent to downtown Mariposa.

On a larger scale, Mariposa County is an international destination because of Yosemite National Park. Yosemite National Park is considered a crown jewel of the National Park system, and is internationally recognized as one of the natural wonders of the world. The proposed project will offer an array of activities to bicyclers, skate boarders, hikers, strollers and sightseers to the several thousands of visitors (including local residents). Further, those confined to wheelchairs/walkers will be able to utilize the trail as well.

c) What National, State or local construction standards will be used for recreational facilities and amenities such as trails, restrooms, bike paths, etc.?

The County shall use Caltrans standards and specifications. These will include requirements for the asphalt trail, concrete abutments, concrete sidewalk, bollards, handicap ramps at roadways, and other material requirements, including all excavation and embankment. For the stacked wall and bridge, the manufacturer’s requirements will be utilized. For the boardwalk and railings, UBC and building permit
requirements will govern. A requirements not related to roads will be per CALDAG and building department standards. Where the path can accommodate higher speeds, CALTRANS bikeway standards will apply.

d) If the Project includes a trail, what construction materials will be used? Include any environmentally-Friendly materials that will be used for trail construction (e.g. permeable surfaces). In addition, what percentage of the proposed trail system will be ADA accessible? Describe accommodations.
Construction materials to be utilized on the trail are that of permeable paving concrete, recycling decking material and concrete sidewalk/ramps. The trail is proposed to be 100 percent ADA accessible. This includes grade, ramps, railings, and connection to other ADA facilities.

e) If conflict exist between recreational user groups, how do you plan to resolve them?
Conflicts will be mitigated by the Board of Supervisors through the County Administrative Officer.

f) If conflicts occur, how will the project site respond?
The project site will remain as an undeveloped open space.

CONDITION 5: CONSERVATION AND INTERPRETIVE ENHANCEMENTS

Provide facilities to support or interpret river or stream Restoration or other conservation activities.

Conservation Enhancements and interpretive amenities augment the investment of public funds by increasing public awareness, understanding and enjoyment of River Parkways. Visitor serving amenities funded under this program must communicate the significance and value of natural, historical and cultural resources in the River Parkway.

a) Describe the planned visitor serving amenities.
The project involves the construction of an approximately 0.4 mile non-motorized and ADA accessible trail including, but not limited to, handicapped ramps, native tree plantings, resting and interpretive stations with numerous all-weather signage units. The trail will be part of an interconnected looped system.

b) How will your visitor serving amenity communicate the significance and value of the resource?
A significant visitor serving amenity will be the “resting area” for those utilizing the trail. The resting areas will allow people to step off the trail traffic pattern onto a platform to rest, enjoy viewing fowl, aquatic and riparian habitat in scenic surroundings. Visitors/residents will experience nature in its purest form, with few intrusions of urban development or noise. In addition, strategically placed signs shall be installed at the resting areas for the visitor’s enjoyment.

c) Describe the Project’s geographic location in relation to the resources, subjects, structures, places or collection of objects to be interpreted.

Riparian
The trail will travel through a variety of environments that include cottonwood and grape lanai, and valley oak woodland. At its southern end, the trail will be spartan through an existing riparian forest. This section will draw in visitors/residents to view dense thicket species characterized by ruthless competition for struggle-outside of the main creek channel. Viewers will enter this section from the Phase II open creek channel, where many years of placer mining scoured the creek bed, which will be viewed both real life and interpretive signs. The riparian area transitions into the next section which was impacted by earth moving construction during the 1980s. Though the forest continues, a boardwalk will be needed to cross where development diminished the creek.
Wetland (marsh)
The next environment consists of marsh pond interpretive station. There are a few trees left, but the low-lying land is marked by year round grassy vegetation and cattails, White Adler (Alnus rhombifolia) and Willow (Salix), and serves as transition between the lower riparian area and dry (higher) elevations. Visitors/residents will experience first-hand the band of riparian vegetation, mammal, aquatic, and insect habitat. Among the insect population to be viewed are native adult butterfly and larvae, dragon fly, damselfly, and scorpion flies. An interpretive station will be installed in the wetland area.

High/dry Oak Woodland
The highest elevation (over six 6 ft. above creek bed level at the Roadside Rest) is Oak Woodland. An interpretive station will be installed at the Roadside Rest.

Throughout all three of these areas, visitors will encounter fowl habitat. Since 1997 over 70 fowl species have been cited in the creek. Among them are pied-billed grebes, great blue herons, mallard ducks, killdeer, wild turkeys, woodpeckers, flycatchers, and swallows.

Historic element
History is the essence of Mariposa. In 1849, a settlement was established along the Mariposa Creek where miners worked the stream for placer gold. Due to the abundance of gold, the first steam driven mining operation was established. By 1854, Mariposa was the center of local government and a thriving community with a wide range of services including a number of hotels, livery stables, general merchandise stores several saloons, a jewelry store, a brewery and a sawmill. In 1855, the Mariposa County Courthouse was completed and has been in continuous use. The Courthouse is approximately two blocks from the creek. The project will end at Roadside Rest and History Center.

d) Will the amenity address themes not interpreted in existing facilities? Describe.
The existing parkway to the south does not include historical or environmental themes. Phase I has a "garden" like park with non-native green grass and completely built up landscape. Phase II transitions to a Master Gardener’s “planting” project. Though these are “native” and have signs and flyers to orient the viewer, this is a planted garden. The Mariposa History Center includes artifacts from the gold mining days, and an Indian house not in the context of a village. This proposed project will present the natural and historic nature of the creek in an authentic manner.

e) Describe any future actions needed beyond the scope of this project to fully address your goals.
To complete the Creek Parkway south to the Fairgrounds.

ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS FOR ACQUISITION PROJECTS

a) Does this acquisition resolve a larger resource conflict? If yes, explain.
No

b) If the proposal involves acquisition of land that will not be improved until a later date, why is immediate acquisition necessary?
N/A. Project will be developed immediately.

c) Indicate how many parties will be involved in the transaction, what their roles are and whether any party other than the Applicant owns an option to buy the real property in question.
The following property owners are willing sellers: the Fiske Family, Bridges Family and Dubberke Family. The buyer is the County of Mariposa. Other than the County, no other parties own an option to buy the real property targeted for trail development.
B. Statewide Resource Priorities (30 Points)

The State will also evaluate River Parkways Projects by considering factors such as, but not limited to, multiple agency collaboration, economic benefits, use of recycled and energy efficient materials, where applicable, and the geographic distribution of bond funds. Respond to the following questions and describe, where applicable, how your project addresses these and other statewide resources priorities not listed, such as Natural Community Conservation Plans, CALFED implementation, etc.

1. Collaboration with agencies and other interested parties

a) Describe partnerships with nonprofit groups and public or governmental agencies and their corresponding roles in the project.

**Office of U.S. Representative George Radanovich:** Project advocate.

**Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS):** Technical assistance (engineer, biologist/soil scientist).

**Yosemite/Sequoia Resource Conservation & Development Council (Yosemite/Sequoia RC&D):** Project advocate.

**Southern Sierra Miwuk Nation (Pauite, Miwuk & Yokut Tribes):** Project stewards and consultants of cultural resources in Mariposa County. A certified Miwuk archaeologist shall perform the cultural study as part of community collaboration.

**University of California Cooperative Extension of Mariposa County:** Educational outreach.

**Mariposa Public Utility District & PG&E:** Provide access to waste water mains/domestic water supply; and lighting utilities.

**California Department of Corrections (CDC):** Eradication of invasive plant species.

b) Describe community involvement and support for the project, including watershed groups, appropriate business groups, landowners, local governments, environmental groups, technical experts, neighborhood associations, etc.

**Mariposans for the Environment and Responsible Government (MERG):** MERG will collaborate with the County in preliminary plan design, promotion, creek cleanup, and public information.

**Mariposa Chamber of Commerce:** The Chamber of Commerce directs visitors every day from their facility located at Hwy 140 and 49 North to attractions/disseminates information via the Internet and brochures.

**Economic Development Corporation of Mariposa County:** Project promotion.

**Rotary Club:** Project promotion

**Boy Scouts of America:** Creek clean up

**Master Gardeners/Farm Advisor:** Educational outreach related to signage, plants and demonstrations on the trail.
2. Economic Benefit

a) How will your project contribute to the economic development of the community?

The General Plan does not envision County government as the sole economic engine; rather its role enables other segments to work together in achieving County economic development goals while pursuing their own missions and objectives. One of the elements of the General Plan identified in Section 6.4.06 Relation to the Regional Tourism Element, citing improving visitor access to provide greater opportunities to experience less-traveled areas in the County. Thus, developing assets for visitors gives them reasons to stay in the County longer, providing an opportunity for them to enjoy nature and shop. Extension of a safe, compatible and ADA non-motorized looped trail allows visitors/residents to spend more time on the path for enjoyment and to view wildlife, engage in educational opportunities and learn about fowl, mammal, aquatic species and the parkway. Creating diverse opportunities for visitors will result in extending the tourism “season” from five to nine months. This will increase the length of stay and room occupancy rates and increase retail sales of local businesses, many of which are fledgling. Currently, the Town of Mariposa is visited by 43,980 visitors per year (to and from Yosemite National Park), and 284,681 that pass through the County. Of the 43,980 visitors to Mariposa, some stay over night, few stay for only a couple of hours to engage in shopping as there is nothing else to keep them in town. Based upon criteria that have been developed, a one night stay brings $72.50 dollars/day per person into the local economy. Due to the absence of visitor recreational offerings, the County is losing enormous market share – a market share that arrives at our doorstep every season (on the way to visit Yosemite National Park) and is “turned away” because the County lacks recreational resources.

b) Describe how the project is consistent with or part of a community redevelopment plan.

The County does not have a redevelopment plan or a redevelopment agency. However, the County is embarking upon developing an Economic Development Strategy that will serve as blueprint in carrying out county-wide economic development efforts.

c) How does the project revitalize deteriorated urban neighborhoods?

The project will contribute to economic development by encouraging visitors to extend their stay, enjoy amenities and shop locally thereby keeping businesses open longer. A day visitor spends on average $72.50 per day per person, while an overnight guest spends on average $211 per person. Given that a day visitor generates $72.50/day per person and an overnight visitor generates $211, multiplied by 284,681 the local economy would realize an annual economic infusion of $20,639,373 and $60,067,691 respectfully. Because the County is a disadvantaged community, as documented by the State of California Housing and Community Development (HCD) through the U.S. Census Bureau, it is apparent that Mariposa County is not positioned very well from a competitive standpoint to aggressively take share in the tourism market in which it competes. Therefore, it is imperative that Mariposa County create venues to encourage tourism visitation, which will result in lucrative economics, new jobs, housing, thereby creating a sustainable local economy.

d) How will this project encourage tourism in the area?

The project will encourage tourists to extend their stay, enjoy nature, rest and shop locally keeping businesses open longer. By developing opportunities, tourists will become familiar with certain seasonal offerings such as the migration of butterflies, fowl species, and seasonal changes on the Parkway. These special seasonal offerings will entice tourists to return subsequent years to experience wildlife habitats. In addition, this project is a part of a much larger project, the Mariposa Creek Parkway. The Parkway, when completed, will link the Fairgrounds, visited by recreational vehicles and those attending events in downtown, plus allow the
visitor to park and walk to ti... California State Mining and Mineral Museum (currently located at the Fairgrounds but proposed to relocate to Hwy 140/49 North), the History Center, Courthouse, historic Downtown, parks and the proposed Regional Sports Complex.

3. Use of Recycled or Reclaimed Water, Recycled Materials and/or Energy Efficient (for non-acquisition Projects)

a) Will the project use recycled or reclaimed water? Describe how these practices will be included in the project?
No. There will only be irrigation to establish native plants.

b) Will the project utilize recycled-content materials? List the recycled-content materials to be used?
Trex deck or equivalent is proposed for the boardwalk decking.

c) What, if any, energy efficiency measure will be incorporated into the project?
The trail lights will be activated by photocell. The trail will be available for use by commuters.

C. Access and location (15 points)

All River Parkway Project must provide Public Access, or be a component of a larger Parkway Plan that provides Public Access:

1. How will the public access the project site?
The main entrances to this site will be adjacent to the Roadside Rest. The project is accessible from several County roads and State Highways, including State Highway 140 at Mariposa Creek (Phase I), 8th Street, 6th Street, Coakley Circle and Joe Howard Street.

2. Describe the project location and the populations to be served by the project. Address local, regional and statewide uses, if appropriate. Identify new populations served.
The project is in the town of Mariposa; the population to be served is approximately 1,800 local residents and 43,980 tourists. Those utilizing the trail will be comprised of hikers, bicyclists, strollers, and wheelchairs/walkers. New populations will be the additional visitors that currently pass through.

3. Describe how the project is in, or close to, population centers and the various transportation methods that are available for the public to access the project, including streets and highways, public transportation, non-motorized trails or routes of travel and other access routes.
The population in the town of Mariposa is approximately 1,800. The project is two blocks west of Highway 140 and connects to Coakley Circle and Joe Howard Street. The public can also utilize the sidewalks on Joe Howard and Coakley Circle to reach the project from apartments, single-family homes and the commercial district along the ADA accessible sidewalks to downtown. Public transit serves town. The Yosemite Area Regional Transportation System (YARTS bus) stops at the Roadside Rest. There is also a public transit service that brings riders from outlying parts of the County. The trail is near a senior housing complex, apartments, motels, mobile home parks, and commercial areas than can be reached by bike, wheelchair, walker or skaters.

4. Describe any limits to public access such as parking, hours of operation, available staffing, user fees, seasonal restrictions or other ecological considerations.
There are no anticipated limitations on the use of the walkway/amenities. There will be fences/gates installed at each end of the trail so that this section can be closed during flood events and/or in winter evenings.

5. Describe discussions you have had with interest groups concerned with this parkway.
MERG is in support of this project.
6. Has the issue of competing interests between public access and ecological protection been addressed? Explain.
The trail will pass through the area on the “urban” side of the creek, to preserve the wild link to the creek from the hillside on the west. The boardwalk and railings will reduce the “footprint” on parts of the creek.

D. Project Readiness (15 points)
River Parkway Projects must be ready to proceed and be completed within the time frame(s) set forth in the solicitation notice to be considered for funding.

1. Identify and describe the steps to be taken immediately following the award of grant funds.
Accept grant and execute required documents, order project sign, complete preliminary work that includes clearing of blackberries to allow biological, cultural, topographic, geotechnical, and property surveys.

2. Explain your method for estimating costs and in what way your project is cost effective.
The costs are derived from manufacturers, suppliers, public works projects, and contractors. The main cost effective aspect of the project is the minimal footprint. The trail will be the only developed item through most of the length, thus, obtaining maximum trail miles for minimum investment.

3. Are you aware of any toxins on the property? Has a Phase I or Phase II Toxic Report been done? If so, address timing of clean-up, type of toxins and delays to project construction that might result from toxins on the site.
There are no known toxic items on the project. No clean up is contemplated, other than to remove trash and creek deposited debris.

4. Are there other impediments on the project site (e.g., overhead of underground utilities)? If so, explain their location and nature, including any mitigation measures planned.
The only impediment to excavation will be rock in the creek.

5. For acquisitions, address status of all the following: CEQA Compliance-Initial Study completed.
Commitments from project partners and contractors-N/A. A detail on sale including comparable sales data-the larger parcel was offered as a donation by the property owner; however, fair market estimates were obtained based upon nearby sales. Appraisal/preliminary title report or other vesting documents-obtained deeds and parcel maps. Property restrictions and/or encumbrances-N/A. Negotiations with each seller-letters received indicating willing sellers.

6. For Development Projects, explain the status of all of the following: Preliminary plans-complete.
Construction plans are awaiting detailed topographical information and design of cross sections based upon geotechnical reports. CEQA compliance-Initial Study complete, biological/cultural studies pending funding. Commitments from project partners and contractors-MERG=promotion. Land access/tenure agreements- Rights of Entry acquired, property will be acquired prior to the actual construction. Applicable permits-a building permit will be obtained when the construction plans are completed. Required reviews by other agencies-a stream alteration permit will be obtained when the construction plans are completed. Project renderings-preliminary sketches completed. Architectural/engineering designs-pending funding. Mitigation requirements (permits or environmental clearance)-biological study/environmental review schedule prepared.

7. What other factors may affect the project’s timeline and completion? How will these factors be addressed?
The construction work in the floodplain will be completed during the summer months.

E. Organizational Capacity and Sustainability (10 points)
Entities must demonstrate their ability and willingness to complete and maintain the River Parkway Project.
1. Describe your organization's experience in completing this type of project or similar projects.
Mariposa County Public Works Department has completed many construction projects including sidewalks, roads, and trails, other sections of the Parkway, and drainage improvements.

2. Is the expertise needed for your project readily available within your organization? If not, how do you plan to acquire it? Will you be using experts who are appropriately licensed according to State law?
The County will be hiring expertise in the following areas: biological, cultural, appraisal, security, survey, engineering design, geotechnical, signage and construction. The department is experienced in working with many contractors/ professional consultants on projects, maintains working relationships with firms through a request for qualifications process, and has experience with completing finishing touches in-house when needed.

3. Describe how you will provide for long-term management and maintenance of the project over the time period indicated by the Land Tenure requirements, including:

a) What is planned for long-term maintenance?
The project will be monitored for damage/litter/vegetation control on weekly basis. Annual ADA and structural inspection shall be performed. Furniture replacement shall be implemented. Resurfacing the trail shall be implemented every 5-years. All of the above-mentioned maintenance shall be implemented for 25 years, per guideline requirements.

b) Who will perform long-term maintenance? Describe their experience in maintaining this type of project?
Mariposa County Public Works Facilities Maintenance Division has and will continue to maintain the Parkway as it is developed. That Division has over 25 years experience with parks, and County buildings.

c) How will ongoing maintenance be funded?
Through the general fund and Parks and Recreation, which is funded partly by transient occupancy and sales tax generated from tourism to the County.

4. How will the project be protected from vandalism and deterioration?
Protection shall be provided by the presence of residents, visitors, County employees, as well as the Sheriff Department via bicycle patrol.

5. For Conservation Easements describe your plan for perpetual stewardship and address the ongoing funding that will be needed to support the terms and conditions of the stewardship plan.
N/A

F. Community and Regional Impacts (10 points)
River Parkways Project should consider local land use planning, public health, environmental justice and youth employment.

1. Land Use Planning and Community Involvement

a) Within the project service area, what is the current use of river frontage?
Grocery store, auto parts store, residential, auto repair, public park, and open space.

b) Estimate the acreage of park and open-space areas currently found within the community where the project is located. How much acreage will this project add?
Acreage of: Mariposa Park=14.3; Mariposa Creek Parkway Phase I & II= 2.6; Arts Park=0.8; Mariposa Creek Parkway Phase III=0.4. Total acreage added to the project=4.7.
c) **How have you coordinated this project with local land use authorities?**
Mariposa County is the local land use authority. The project is consistent with the Mariposa Town Specific Plan and the draft Mariposa County Parks and Recreation Master Plan.

d) **What is the Project’s expected impact on the local community?**
In addition to economic benefits described in Section 2, the project will greatly improve the utilitarian use of the creek by connecting both ends of town with an accessible trail. This will improve health as well as provide an amenity for visitors/residents.

e) **What have you done to address potential conflicts between competing user groups?**
At this time no action has been taken as it is premature. However, conflicts between competing user groups will be coordinated through action of the Board of Supervisors and administered by the County Administrative Officer.

f) **Has there been any opposition to the project: If so, explain the nature of the concerns and how you have addressed them.**
Concerns included lighting, safety, intrusion into residential neighborhood, noise and maintenance. To mitigate opposition from residents, the project was first moved from the west to the east side of the creek and the length of the trail was reduced. Low-level lighting will be used and regularly scheduled maintenance shall be implemented.

g) **Explain how you plan to keep the community informed and involved in the project.**
MERG, Chamber, Rotary, Mariposa Arts Council and Mariposa County Visitor Bureau will be promoting the project on an on going basis. As a result of the public forum, those attended expressed interest to be included on a project design team.

h) **What local organizations or partnerships will be assisting with construction and on going maintenance and operations of the River Parkway?**
For the construction component, a partnership will be established between Mariposa County and the State of California Department of Corrections (CDC). For the on going maintenance component of the project, Mariposa County shall partner with the CDC, MERG, Chamber, Boy Scouts and County hosted “Clean up Day” throughout the year.

2. **Public Health**

a. **Describe how your project may increase physical activity levels and fitness among users. What measurements (i.e., surveys) do you have, or plan to have, to support these projections?**
The County, Chamber, MERG, school district and various service organizations will administer an on going marketing campaign encouraging the use of the Trail.

According to Mariposa County Health Officer, Charles Mosher, M.D., data from many Public Health and medical experts reveals an epidemic of obesity due to decreased aerobic exercise. Sadly, this epidemic includes obesity among children. The percentage of children aged 6 to 19 years who are over weight is now 16 percent – triple the percentage in 1980. As a result, some overweight children are beginning to develop high blood pressure, diabetes, and high cholesterol problems.

Making exercise, including walking, easily available to Mariposas and visitors in a setting that is beautiful encourages health habits which will go a long way toward reversing the obesity epidemic. Moreover, the Mental Health of the Mariposa population will be positively affected with the availability of the Creek walkway.
To measure activity, the County will launch program activities on the trail for youth/adults and shall distribute enrollment forms/surveys. Health fairs, nature walks and other events will be sponsored by organizations. One such activity is the “Walk for Health” day currently sponsored by Mariposa County Public Health.

Further, Bart Brown, M.D., a retired neurosurgeon and Chairman of the Mariposans for the Environment and Responsible Government (MERG), cites that “exercise is good for health. Performed regularly, it lowers blood pressure, reduces blood sugar and improves the blood cholesterol profile by lowering LDL (bad) cholesterol and triglycerides while boosting HDL (good) cholesterol. Exercise reduces body fat and strengthens bones and muscles, including the heart. Exercise also helps dissipate anxiety, improves the quality of sleep and fights depression. People who exercise regularly live longer than their sedentary peers. Just 30 minutes a day (two to three miles) of brisk walking can do the job. It can help to avoid heart attacks, strokes, diabetes and colon cancer. And, it is never too late to start!” Given that residents currently utilize the existing 0.7-mile paved Mariposa Creek Parkway trail, the proposed expansion of the non-motorized path will create a continuous 1.45-mile system resulting in high volume usage by both residents and visitors.

b. Describe how you plan to use the River Parkway to encourage children’s contact with nature (e.g., interpretive displays, partnerships with youth programs or schools, etc.).
A collaborative effort between Mariposa County, service organizations and the school district shall be implemented to encourage children activities on the trail. Out-of-the-county school district visitors that currently tour the Mariposa Museum and History Center can add the creek to their itinerary.

c. Describe how the parkway connects to local parks that support active recreation, schools or other public facilities that encourage walking.
The Parkway will access the Mariposa County Fairgrounds, California State Mining and Mineral Museum, Mariposa County Park, Community Swimming Pool, skate park, Mariposa History Center, and eventually the Regional Sports Complex. The Complex will include baseball, softball, tennis courts, soccer fields and an aquatic center, mixed-use community center and other specialty recreation for diverse populations. All Mariposa County recreation facilities are available for use by the Mariposa County Unified School District.

d. How will you provide appropriate security and safety on your River Parkway?
Security will be provided by the Mariposa County Sheriff Department and their bicycle patrol. In addition, high tech security equipment will be installed.

e. How will your project impact local/regional energy or land use, air pollution or auto emissions? Will your project be used as an alternative transportation mode? Describe.
To reduce auto emissions, the trail is intended to provide an alternate commuter route for residents that can use it to reach shopping, school, appointments, the post office, restaurants and their jobs. The intent of the Specific Plan is that the town of Mariposa maintains its small town flavor by incorporating pedestrian friendly elements that result in a vehicle traffic reduction and health benefit.

f. How will you attract people to use the parkway? What ongoing efforts will be used to maintain and develop usage? If your project is part of a larger community-wide health coalition, provide details. Who are you partnering with in your community to encourage use (e.g., creating walking groups, community gardens)? Describe efforts to include local volunteers to build the River Parkway and develop community support.
Attracting people to utilize the trail will be an ongoing effort as it strategically exits onto one of the busiest streets in the County. Maps of the trail will be available 24/7 at the Roadside Rest, Chamber, and Visitors Bureau and other public places.
On-going collaboration among the Master Gardeners, school district, chamber and community organizations will result in the promotion of the trail through creation of a brochure, website promotion and public presentations to community groups. The brochure shall be distributed locally, nationally and internationally via tourist promotion efforts.

g) Describe any other public health benefits provided by your project.
The public health benefits have previously been addressed in the Public Health Section “2a” of this document.

3. Environmental Justice

a) How does your project address Environmental Justice concerns?
The trail will be marketed to seniors, developmentally challenged persons, and the general public comprised of local, national and international visitors.

b) Describe efforts to reach out to the community potentially affected by this Project and how their concerns were addressed.
The County distributed flyers to property owners/residents, provided articles to the local newspaper, and hosted community forums. Public concerns have been addressed in Section F(f).

c) How did you bring people from diverse cultural backgrounds and incomes into the planning process?
Mariposa County placed notices in the local newspaper requesting public input on the project.

d) How does your project promote and/or encourage use from diverse cultural backgrounds and incomes?
Promotion of the trail was addressed in the Public Health “2a and 2f” Section of this document.

4. Youth Employment

Describe how the River Parkway Project will use State or local youth employment programs (e.g., California Conservation Corps, local conservation corps or similar youth employment programs) and how the youth employment element will be integrated into the program.
Mariposa County will host volunteer “Clean up Days” throughout the year soliciting youths from the Boy Scouts of America and the local school district to pick up debris from the trail.

G. Other Sources of Funds (5 points)

1. Funding from other sources is not required to receive a grant. However, River Parkway Project that includes Other Sources of Funds will be more competitive. Both monetary and In-Kind support will be considered.

a) Identify the sources and amount of funds already committed to the project and expected timing of funds. Cite specific dollar amounts for cash contributions, in-kind services, volunteer effort, donated labor and materials, technical expertise, etc.
The Chamber has committed promotional services; MERG has committed assistance in plan promotion and outreach; and NRCS has committed professional services, all of which in the form of in-kind services. The estimated costs of in-kind services are as follows: Chamber $360; MERG $2,900; and NRCS $3,512; These services would be implemented upon notice of grant award. In addition, Mariposa County pledges $30,000 as on going maintenance of the project. This is also in-kind services and shall commence upon project completion. The County’s financial projection is for a minimum of 25-years.
b) If funding is not received from other sources, is the requested grant amount sufficient to complete the project. Explain.
If funding is not received from other sources, the grant award funding will be adequate to complete the project.

2. Disadvantaged Communities – Projects assisting a Disadvantaged Community will receive full points in this category – even if no other funding sources have been secured.

a) Describe the disadvantaged community and the basis for concluding the community is disadvantaged (see Appendix E for tools to determine if your community meets the definition of disadvantaged).
The project area is located in the Mariposa Census Designated Place, which has a Targeted Income Group of 58.1 percent as reported by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. Also, according to the guidelines of the California River Parkways Grant Program (CRPGP), Mariposa meets the definition of a Disadvantaged Community because the Project’s geographic boundary is located in Mariposa CDP, and has a median household income of $18,144. Thus, according to the guidelines of the CRPGP, those communities within a CDP that has a median income less than $37,994 is deemed disadvantaged.

b) Is the project located in the disadvantaged community?
Yes

c) How does your project benefit the community?
The project is a safe, compatible, ADA accessible trail/recreational opportunity in a disadvantaged community. The project is located in an area where environmental justice will be accomplished.

d) In what way did members of the disadvantaged community participate in the development of the project?
Disadvantaged community members attended a public forum presentation and provided input on the planning and design process of the project.

H. Water Quality and Watershed Protection (Optional) (5 Points)
Priority will be given to River Parkway Projects that are implemented pursuant to applicable approved Watershed Plans and include water quality and watershed protection benefits (see Appendix C).

1) Explain how the project conforms to a Regional Water Quality Control Plan and is part of an applicable adopted watershed management plan recognized by the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) (http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/regions.html).
The Mariposa Creek Parkway is not contained in any Regional Water Quality Control Plans.

2) Describe the measurable and demonstrable water quality benefits that will result from this project.
The development of a safe, ADA, inter-connected looped trail system will keep people off the bank preventing erosion of the hillside, dumping of debris and silt returning to the watershed all of which cause deteriorated water quality. Further, the trail will prevent people from walking in the water, and from injury (slipping on wet rocks) which further pollutes the water quality. Finally, the project will preserve the riparian, fowl and aquatic habitat.

3) If the project includes a monitoring plan, explain how it integrates with The Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP), coordinated with the RWQCB and with local watershed partnerships, if available (http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/swamp/).
The Mariposa Creek Parkway is not contained in any Regional Water Quality Control Plan.
PROOF OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE
ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE
MARIPOSA CREEK PARKWAY—PHASE III

Attached is Initial Study (IS) for this project.

Description of how Mariposa County will complete the CEQA compliance:

A large part of the project area is covered with brush and thorny vines which act as a moderate deterrent to trespassing. Once notice of the grant award is received, the County would proceed as follows:

1. Order sign indicating imminent project.
2. Arrange for brushy vegetation clearance along the proposed route—10-20 feet on each side of the approximate proposed alignment. (1 month)
3. Conduct cultural* survey. (2 months).
4. Conduct hearing period, including submittal of IS to state clearinghouse, with attached study review information. (2 months)
4. Adopt mitigated negative declaration, and post notice of determination. (1 month)
5. Simultaneously, the design phase would proceed, and incorporate the necessary biological work** (see attached)

Total allotted time: 8 months

* Due to the heavy load of vegetation, clearing is required to allow the cultural consultant access to the project. The County has contacted the local Miwok tribe to alert them to the project, and obtain references for the study contract. The design consultant will be alerted to any areas to be avoided, then during the construction, the County will hire a cultural observer for the earth disturbing activities (grading, stockpiling, and excavation). The cultural work for Phase II and the Art Park were completed after most of the vegetation had been removed-however due to the desire to keep this portion of the project as “wild” as possible, the vegetation clearance is constrained. The previous cultural study found that the creek bed immediately south of the Phase III project was a disturbed placer mining area.

** A synopsis of the biological study work is attached. The first phase of the environmental was completed by the County to obtain a first level of evaluation by the biologist, better define the time frames for the project, and to provide a complete IS.
MARIPOSA CREEK PARKWAY—PHASE 3—BIOLOGICAL STUDIES

HABITAT DESCRIPTION
The segment of Mariposa Creek under this Study begins at Joe Howard Street and runs in a southeasterly direction. The Study Area follows the stream for a distance of approximately 1,200 feet, ending just prior to 8th Street.

The initial 300 ft. of stream is impounded behind a concrete “dam” which houses the sewer line crossing. The impoundment has created a pond and adjacent wetland for the width of the floodplain (approx. 90 ft.). The appropriate classification is Fresh Emergent Wetland surrounding a small Lacustrine habitat (Calif. Dept. of Fish and Game Wildlife Habitat Relationships system).

The impounded stream (shown as Pond on the Habitat/Site Map) spills over the dam structure and forms three stream channels within the flood plain. Within the succeeding approximately 300 ft. the three channels converge into a single channel. The stream (from the dam to the lower end of the Study Area) is classified (under U. S. Fish and Wildlife terminology) as a Lower Perennial, Bedrock Bottom streambed. The average width within the Study Area is 25 ft. between Ordinary High Water Marks. The total length from dam to lower end of the Study Area is approximately 1,200 ft.

The greater habitat within which the Study Area is located is the Valley Foothill Riparian woodland (terminology of the Wildlife Habitat Relationship (WHR) system). Special habitats within this greater area are the Valley Oak Woodland and the Cottonwood – Grape Lanai (see Habitats on Site Map).

INTERPRETIVE PLAN
The trail will have interpretive stations utilizing all-weather signage. Stations are intended to describe such natural and cultural history scenes as perennial stream, grape lanai, valley oak woodland, each old-growth tree species, pond and cultural/historical resources. Stations overlooking sensitive habitats will be constructed on raised platforms.

SURVEY AND PERMIT APPLICATION PROCEDURES
A preliminary biological resources survey has been conducted and is on file. The Consultant contract for the completion of the Biological Resources Report is $7,000 (existing contract not included in project cost). An additional protocol survey may be required by the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service for the federal listed red-legged frog. Consulting fees for the protocol survey are $6,500. The survey results would not affect the project since mitigation requirements are already part of the plan.

Assumed presence for Species of Special Concern has already been made a part of the plan and mitigation is not expected to be necessary. Other than temporary construction impacts of the trail in the form of noise, the species are not impacted by the project. There are no rare plants affected by the project since none were found to have habitat within the specific riparian woodland.
Upon completion of the approved project plan there are two permit applications (under Biological Resources) that need to be prepared:

1. California Department of Fish and Game “Notification of Lake or Streambed Alteration.” This document must be submitted to the Department along with a fee of $772.75 and a copy of the recorded CEQA document. The project biologist can prepare the Notification. A department site inspection and a list of conditions provided under an “Agreement” with the department can be accomplished within a 60-day period after receipt of the Notification. Expected conditions related to a stream crossing for the trail bridge are included in the trail plan. Consultant fees for the Notification procedures are not-to-exceed $3,500.

2. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Permit (Federal Clean Water Act Section 404 procedures) is required for the minor impacts to wetlands from construction of the raised boardwalk. A Wetland Delineation must be produced to Corps “minimum standards” and verified by the Corps. The Corps will then decide on the appropriate permit for the project. The fee for all permits is $100. As a part of the permitting process, the Corps may need a “Biological Opinion” from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, an approval from the State Historic Preservation Office for the Cultural Resources Survey, and a Certification or Waiver from the State Water Resources Control Board, Division of Water Rights. The Certification requires a fee of $500, payable to the Division of Water Rights. Consultant fees for the Wetland Delineation and application documents for the Corps permit are not-to-exceed $7,500.

**TIMELINE – SURVEYS FOR CEQA COMPLIANCE**

Surveys have been completed for possible impacts to Biological Resources.

**TIMELINE - PERMITS UNDER BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES**

1. CDFG Notification of Streambed Alteration

This notification can be completed within one month after the project is designed and a CEQA document is complete and recorded. After Notification has been made, the CDFG responds with an agreement within 60 days. A negative response is not expected.

2. USACE Permit (Corps permit)

Work has already begun toward a Wetland Delineation as background information for the CEQA document.

The completion of work toward the Corps Permit should be carried out in Spring and would normally take two months including report-writing and map creation to produce a Wetland Delineation and Application for Corps Permit.

For the minimum impact planned under this project it is expected that Nationwide Permit #18 could be utilized. The use of this permit from the Corps could be verified within 45 days of application. A negative response is not expected.
1. **Project Title:** Mariposa Creek Parkway Phase III
   PW-05-05

2. **Lead Agency:** Mariposa County Board of Supervisors
   P.O. Box 784
   Mariposa, CA 95338
   (209) 966-3222

3. **Initial Study Prepared by:** Gwen Foster, Associate Engineer
   Mariposa County Department of Public Works
   4639 Ben Hur Road
   Mariposa, CA 95338
   (209) 966-5356

4. **Project Sponsor:** Mariposa County
   4639 Ben Hur Road
   Mariposa, CA 95338
   (209) 966-5356

5. **Project Location:** Along Mariposa Creek from 8th Street to Coakley Circle and Joe Howard Street: on the west side for the southerly 200 feet +/-, and the remainder on the east side of the creek.

6. **General Plan Designation:** Mariposa Town Specific Plan

7. **Zoning:** Public/Quasi Public, Single Family Residential 9000, Multi Family Residential

8. **Description of Project:** Construction of an all weather ten foot wide pedestrian and bike path, with shoulder, 12 foot wide boardwalk, bridge(s), railings, fencing, benches, resting areas, deck footings, lighting and conduit, drinking fountain, concrete sidewalk, handicap ramps, and interpretive signs. Activities to expedite design, including brush clearing, survey (including topo, biological, and cultural), construction of improvements and installation of furniture.

9. **Description of Environmental Setting:** The project site is located within the town of Mariposa, in Mariposa County, California. The Parkway is sited on Mariposa Creek, which is a perennial stream that splits the town from north west to south east. Coakley Circle and Joe Howard Street are on the north end of the project. On the east side of the creek is urbanized streetscape including roads, buildings, sidewalks, and streetlights. The west side of the creek is mostly undeveloped brush covered hillside, with some low density residential north and south of the project. The Creek in this section is limited to a single channel, except above a sewer line which was installed in the 1980s which has created an impoundment of water and sediment (pond). South of Joe Howard Road, the project will be build within the Mariposa Creek floodplain, including a pedestrian bridge which will cross near bedrock, and portions of raised boardwalk which will pass over the flooded areas.
10. **Environmental Factors Potentially Affected:**

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" or "Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated," as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

- Land Use and Planning
- Population and Housing
- Geophysical
- Water
- Air Quality
- Transportation/Circulation
- Biological Resources
- Energy and Mineral Resources
- Hazards
- Noise
- Mandatory Findings of Significance
- Public Services
- Utilities and Service Systems
- Aesthetics
- Cultural Resources
- Recreation

11. **Evaluation of Environmental Impacts:**

**A. Land Use and Planning. Would the proposal:**

1) Conflict with general plan designation or zoning?
2) Conflict with applicable environmental plans or policies adopted by agencies with jurisdiction over the project?
3) Be incompatible or substantially alter the existing and planned land use in the vicinity?
4) Affect agricultural resources or operations (e.g. impacts to soils or farmlands, or impacts from incompatible land uses)?
5) Disrupt or divide the physical arrangement of an established community?

**DISCUSSION:** The project is consistent with the Mariposa Town Planning Area Specific Plan, the Draft Mariposa County Recreational Master Plan, the Draft Mariposa County Bike Plan, and the Mariposa County General Plan. The project is within the area designated as "setback for Mariposa Creek for the purposes of open space and non-motorized use". This project does not change or alter the existing land use on or around the project site.

**B. Population and Housing. Would the proposal:**

1) Induce substantial growth in an area either directly or indirectly (e.g. through projects in an undeveloped area or extension of major infrastructure)?
2) Displace existing housing, especially affordable housing?
3) Alter the location, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population of an area?

**DISCUSSION:** No long term population growth or displacement is expected due to the completion of this project along the Creek.
C. **Earth/Geologic.** *Would the proposal result in or expose people to potential impacts involving:*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Fault rupture or seismic ground shaking or failure including liquefaction?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Landslides or mudflows?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Change in topography or ground surface relief features?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Disruptions, displacements, compaction, or over-covering of the soil?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) The destruction, covering, or modification of any unique geologic or physical features?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) A substantial increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) Unstable earth conditions, subsidence of land, or changes in geologic substructures?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) Changes in deposition or erosion of soil, or changes in situation, deposition, or erosion which may modify the channel of a river or stream?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION:** The project consists of a narrow band of walkway from one end of the creek to the other, which will have minimal impacts on the existing topography. Where the stream bed is considerably rocky and uneven, the walkway will become a boardwalk, with occasional footings, such that the existing shape of the channel will not be changed. A soil study is to be performed by a geotechnical firm to check the existing conditions so that any additions at the site will not overtax the carrying capacity of the ground.

D. **Water.** *Would the proposal result in:*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface runoff?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Alterations to the course or flow of flood waters?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as flooding?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Discharge into surface waters or other alteration of surface water quality (e.g. temperature, dissolved oxygen, or turbidity)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) Changes in the amount of surface water in any water body?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) Change in the quantity of ground waters, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations or through substantial loss of groundwater recharge capability?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground waters?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) Impacts to groundwater quality?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9) Substantial reduction in the amount of groundwater otherwise available for public water supplies?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION:** Much of this project is within the floodplain of Mariposa Creek, but is designed to not alter the overall cross section of the creek. This is to be accomplished in several ways: by installing a path bed that is at the same height as the existing ground, by removing debris previously placed by floods, (an annual County activity) and by installing a raised modular walkway that can either float or stay in place or be picked up downstream and replaced in the case of a major flood event.
E. Air Quality. Would the proposal result in:

1) Substantial air emissions or deterioration of ambient air quality?
2) Alteration of air movement, moisture, or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally?
3) The creation of objectionable odors?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Impact</th>
<th>Potentially Significant</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Substantial air emissions</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deterioration of ambient air quality</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alteration of air movement, moisture, or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The creation of objectionable odors</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION: This project does not propose to discharge or use anything that would affect the quality of the air, however, Public Works will work with the Mariposa County Air Pollution District to address all issues relative to the applicable rules and regulations.

F. Transportation/Circulation. Would the proposal result in:

1) Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement?
2) Traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists, or pedestrians?
3) Inadequate emergency access or access to nearby uses?
4) Insufficient parking capacity on-site or off-site?
5) Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods?
6) Alterations to air traffic?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Impact</th>
<th>Potentially Significant</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists, or pedestrians</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate emergency access or access to nearby uses</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient parking capacity on-site or off-site</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or good</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alterations to air traffic</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION: Access and capacity will be improved significantly for local pedestrians and bicyclists by this project, as it connects the historic downtown with residential and commercial neighborhoods in the north end of town. The parking will not be affected, with the exception of one space on Coakley Circle that may be removed to allow vehicular access to the trail. (There is a planned parking facility soon to be built south of the project site as a separate project which will add to the parking supply for the Parkway) General access to the area will be improved as there are no existing roads, trails, or other routes into this area.

G. Biological Resources. Would the proposal result in impacts to:

1) Endangered, threatened, or rare species or their habitats (including but not limited to plants, fish, insects, animals, and birds)?
2) Locally designated species (e.g. heritage trees) or natural communities (e.g. oak forest, coastal habitat, etc.)?
3) Wetland habitat (e.g. marsh, riparian, and vernal pool)?
4) Wildlife dispersal or migration corridors?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Impact</th>
<th>Potentially Significant</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Endangered, threatened, or rare species or their habitats</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locally designated species (e.g. heritage trees) or natural communities (e.g. oak forest, coastal habitat, etc.)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetland habitat (e.g. marsh, riparian, and vernal pool)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife dispersal or migration corridors</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION: A support document for this section has been prepared by Michael W. Skenfield, Biological and Wetland Consultant, and is held on file at the Department of Public Works.
One Threatened species (California red-legged frog) has potential habitat in the “pond” and is assumed present. Temporary impacts to the edge of the pond habitat as the raised boardwalk is constructed alongside are considered less-than-significant.

Four species of special concern to the California Department of Fish and Game have habitat within the pond, the stream and the riparian woodland. A temporary impact of the trail construction is considered less-than-significant for these species. The natural communities of Valley Oak Woodland and Grape Lanai are not impacted by the project.

Wetlands may be crossed by the raised boardwalk. Impacts are considered less-than-significant.

The riparian woodland is a wildlife corridor. The trail construction may have a temporary impact which is considered “less-than-significant.” Once the trail is complete, it will act as a nocturnal wildlife route.

H. Energy and Mineral Resources. Would the proposal:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1) Conflict with adopted energy conservation plans?</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2) Use non-renewable resources in a wasteful and inefficient manner?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of future value to the region residents of the State?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION: The proposed lights are energy efficient. The boardwalk will use recycled materials. Other materials used in the project will be used in an efficient manner.

I. Hazards. Would the proposal involve:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1) A risk of accidental explosion or release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to: oil, pesticides, chemicals or radiation)?</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2) Possible interference with an emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) The creation of any health hazard or potential health hazards?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Increased fire hazard in areas with flammable brush, grass, or trees?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION: The general public would not be exposed to additional hazards due to this project. There are no health hazards or hazardous materials involved. The area is a riparian environment with little flammable brush. The existing blackberries will be removed in the vicinity of the path.

J. Noise. Would the proposal result in:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1) Increases in existing noise levels?</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2) Exposure of people to severe noise levels?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**DISCUSSION:** Existing noise levels will not increase. The use of the area will be for pedestrians and bicycles, neither of which should exceed the ambient noise level in the area, which consists of vehicles and the creek.

**K. Public Services.** *Would the proposal have an effect upon, or result in a need for new or altered government services in any of the following areas?*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1)</td>
<td>Fire protection?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2)</td>
<td>Police protection?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3)</td>
<td>Schools?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4)</td>
<td>Maintenance of public facilities, including roads?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5)</td>
<td>Other governmental services?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION:** Maintenance by Mariposa County Public Works Facilities will include bulb replacement on the lights, emptying trash cans, picking up trash within the limits of the walkway, checking and maintaining signs, and debris removal following any flooding incidents. There will also be periodic deck and structure maintenance required, though this is expected to be minimal due to the use of "rusting" steel and recycled decking and rail material. The restroom will require daily attention as part of the town rounds, which already includes the Art Park and Rest Area. These activities will be included with the other park, rest area, grounds, and other economic development enhancements which have been added recently within the Town of Mariposa. The other governmental service that will be increased will be patrol by the Sheriff/SCOPE. As the bicycle patrol is re-instated in the County, this will give the safety officers another route to access areas along the Parkway and into downtown. If necessary, the County will be able to regulate this workload with use of the gates and fences which are planned for each end of the walkway between 8th Street and Joe Howard, and at the Coakley Circle entrance.

**L. Utilities and Service Systems.** *Would the proposal result in a need for new systems or supplies, or substantial alterations to the following utilities?*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1)</td>
<td>Power or natural gas?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2)</td>
<td>Communications systems?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3)</td>
<td>Local or regional water treatment or distribution facilities?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4)</td>
<td>Sewer or septic tanks?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5)</td>
<td>Storm water drainage?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6)</td>
<td>Solid waste disposal?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7)</td>
<td>Local or regional water supplies?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION:** There is existing power service near the project that can be tapped for the lighting. There is also existing sewer on the west side of the creek, water at the cemetery, and pick up by Mariposa County Facilities from trash cans at the nearby Roadside Rest Area and Park. No utilities will be impacted by the project, as all of these systems already exist in the area. The existing sewer line on the west side of the creek will still be reachable via the new walkway.
M. **Aesthetics. Would the proposal:**

1) Affect a scenic vista or scenic highway?
2) Have a demonstrable negative aesthetic effect?
3) Create light or glare?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION:** This part of the project is located down in the creek bed, next to an already urbanized area, and is not visible from the State Highway, except at the upper end next to the cemetery. The lights proposed for the park will be hidden in the many trees along the creek, and will be directed down onto the walkway. The walkway itself will allow access to a large stretch of the vistas along the creek, which will increase the enjoyment of those who will now be able to see them.

N. **Cultural Resources. Would the proposal:**

1) Disturb paleontological or archaeological resources?
2) Affect historical resources?
3) Have the potential to cause a physical change which would affect unique ethnic cultural values?
4) Restrict existing religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION:** At the upper end of the creek, this project will pass thorough an area that was previously disturbed by construction. The area within Mariposa Creek was previously identified as a highly disturbed placer mining area. The side of the Creek where the path is to be located was ill treated during the last construction projects in the 1980’s when the Pioneer Market and Napa Auto Parts stores, and Joe Howard Street were built. This project is aimed at restoring the pristine character of the area. Prior to the design stage, a cultural expert will be employed, and in keeping with existing County policy, a Native American observer will be retained to observe the initial earth moving efforts at the project site, ensuring less-than-significant impacts to any cultural resources that may be present.

O. **Recreation. Would the proposal:**

1) Increase the demand for neighborhood or regional parks or other recreational facilities?
2) Affect existing recreational opportunities?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated</th>
<th>Less Than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION:** This proposed expansion of the Creek Parkway would enhance the availability of the existing Creek Parkway, Mariposa Park (including skate park, amphitheater, tennis courts and pool), the Art Park performing stage, the Roadside Rest Area/History Center, and the Historic Downtown.
12. **Mandatory Findings of Significance:**

A. **Potential to Degrade:** Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?

B. **Short-term:** Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals?

C. **Cumulative:** Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable?

D. **Substantial Adverse:** Does the project have environmental effects, which will cause adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?

13. **Determination:**

On the basis of this initial study:

X It is found that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION has been prepared and adopted.

It is found that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described in this initial study have been added to the project. A NEGATIVE DECLARATION has been prepared and adopted.

It is found that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

Prepared by,

Gwen Foster
Associate Engineer

Reviewed by,

Dana Hertfelder
Director
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## APPENDIX N - COST ESTIMATE FORM FOR DEVELOPMENT RIVER PARKWAY PROJECTS

Water Security, Clean Drinking Water, Coastal and Beach Protection Act of 2002 – Proposition 50
California River Parkways Grant Program

All cost elements included should be clearly described in the proposal Narrative. If CEQA compliance has not been completed at the time of application, the Grantee will be required to provide an updated cost estimate once CEQA compliance has been completed and after completion of working drawings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT ELEMENT</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Unit of Measure</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Total Amount</th>
<th>Agency Grant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Preliminary Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Project sign- acknowledging Prop 50</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>EACH</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Calif adult crew-brush clearance</td>
<td>$165</td>
<td>Day</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$660</td>
<td>$660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Biological survey/services</td>
<td>$17,500</td>
<td>Lump sum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$17,500</td>
<td>$16,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Cultural study (So. Sierra Miwuk Nation)</td>
<td>$120</td>
<td>HOUR</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>$9,600</td>
<td>$9,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Survey-prelim-route</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>station</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Fish and Game/Corps eng/DWR permits</td>
<td>$1,373</td>
<td>Lump sum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,373</td>
<td>$1,373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Geotechnical study</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>each</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Topo survey</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Lump sum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Design (landscape/engineering)</td>
<td>$46,000</td>
<td>Lump sum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$46,000</td>
<td>$43,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Building/plng permit</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>each</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal Task 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$92,933</td>
<td>$86,773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Acquisition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Fiske-per land acq form</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$217,600</td>
<td>$217,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Bridges-per land acq form</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$40,100</td>
<td>$40,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Dubberke-per land acq form</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$40,100</td>
<td>$40,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal Task 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$297,800</td>
<td>$297,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 3 Construction-overall

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Subtotal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobilization (incl traffic control)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,200</td>
<td>$11,200</td>
<td>$11,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American monitoring</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Hour</td>
<td>$13,500</td>
<td>$13,500</td>
<td>$13,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction staking</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspection</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscaping and irrigation</td>
<td>52800</td>
<td>SQ FT</td>
<td>$52,800</td>
<td>$52,800</td>
<td>$52,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security (parts/labor/installation)</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>EACH</td>
<td>$110,000</td>
<td>$110,000</td>
<td>$110,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creek lights</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>lin ft</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material/soils testing</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>hour</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting area platforms</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>EACH</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bollards</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>EACH</td>
<td>$5,400</td>
<td>$5,400</td>
<td>$5,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fencing-6ft-16 ga steel panel type</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Linear FT</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gates-2-7'panel 16 ga steel</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>EACH</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benches</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>EACH</td>
<td>$9,600</td>
<td>$9,600</td>
<td>$9,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpretive stations/signs</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>EACH</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal Task 3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$369,000</td>
<td>$369,000</td>
<td>$369,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 4 Construction-path section near 8th

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Subtotal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grubbing &amp; clearing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subgrade preparation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregate base CL II</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>TON</td>
<td>$72,000</td>
<td>$72,000</td>
<td>$72,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge footings &amp; abutments-concrete</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>cu yard</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
<td>$27,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRIDGE-70 x 12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EACH</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor creek crossing (raised walk)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>lin ft</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeable or resin based pavement</td>
<td>11700</td>
<td>SQ FT</td>
<td>$46,800</td>
<td>$46,800</td>
<td>$46,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paving crew</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Day</td>
<td>$5,700</td>
<td>$5,700</td>
<td>$5,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fencing-6ft-16 ga steel panel type</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Linear FT</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinking fountain</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EACH</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water line</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>LIN FT</td>
<td>$10,500</td>
<td>$10,500</td>
<td>$10,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trash cans</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>EACH</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal Task 4</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$273,000</td>
<td>$273,000</td>
<td>$273,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 5 Construction-boardwalk section

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Subtotal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grubbing &amp; clearing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete footing</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>EACH</td>
<td>$27,000</td>
<td>$27,000</td>
<td>$27,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railing</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>LIN FT</td>
<td>$58,240</td>
<td>$58,240</td>
<td>$58,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boardwalk (recycled planking) 12'sections</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>EACH</td>
<td>$187,500</td>
<td>$187,500</td>
<td>$187,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48&quot; culvert extension</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>lin ft</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal Task 5</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$283,740</td>
<td>$283,740</td>
<td>$283,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>Unit</td>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>Base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Construction-to Coakley Circle</td>
<td>Grubbing &amp; clearing</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>Lump sum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Construction-to Coakley Circle</td>
<td>Subgrade preparation-sidewalk</td>
<td>$221</td>
<td>cu yard</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$4,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Construction-to Coakley Circle</td>
<td>Subgrade preparation-trail</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Lump sum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Construction-to Coakley Circle</td>
<td>Base rock (sidewalk &amp; trail)</td>
<td>$120</td>
<td>TON</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>$18,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Construction-to Coakley Circle</td>
<td>Concrete or resin pavement trail</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>SQ FT</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Construction-to Coakley Circle</td>
<td>Paving crew</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
<td>DAY</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$1,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Construction-to Coakley Circle</td>
<td>Remodel sidewalk w/HC landing &amp; ramp</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>EACH</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Construction-to Coakley Circle</td>
<td>Traffic/HC signs</td>
<td>$55</td>
<td>EACH</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Construction-to Coakley Circle</td>
<td>Replace &amp; widen sidewalk</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>SQ FT</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>$4,880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Construction-to Coakley Circle</td>
<td>Modify drop inlet</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>EACH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Construction-to Coakley Circle</td>
<td>Railing</td>
<td>$112</td>
<td>LIN FT</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>$15,680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal Task 6</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-Construction-Boardwalk @ pond to Joe Howard</td>
<td>Subgrade preparation</td>
<td>$221</td>
<td>cu yard</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$2,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-Construction-Boardwalk @ pond to Joe Howard</td>
<td>Concrete footing</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>cu yard</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-Construction-Boardwalk @ pond to Joe Howard</td>
<td>Railing</td>
<td>$112</td>
<td>LIN FT</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>$67,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-Construction-Boardwalk @ pond to Joe Howard</td>
<td>Boardwalk (recycled planking) 12'sections</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td>EACH</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>$247,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-Construction-Boardwalk @ pond to Joe Howard</td>
<td>Concrete landing and sidewalk</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>SQ FT</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-Construction-Boardwalk @ pond to Joe Howard</td>
<td>Handicapped ramp at Joe Howard</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>EACH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-Construction-Boardwalk @ pond to Joe Howard</td>
<td>Subgrade preparation</td>
<td>$221</td>
<td>cu yard</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$2,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal Task 7</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONSTRUCTION TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Project Mgt &amp; Other</td>
<td>Promotion &amp; outreach</td>
<td>$3,180</td>
<td>Lump sum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$3,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Project Mgt &amp; Other</td>
<td>Project management</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Lump sum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Project Mgt &amp; Other</td>
<td>County maintenance (2005 $)</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>ANNUAL</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal Task 8</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Contingency</td>
<td>Contingency (not including land acq)</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>lump sum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(not to exceed 10% of Grant)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal Task 9</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**$1,808,988** **$260** **$2,920** **$3,160** **$753,000**
## TIMELINE

MARIPOSA CREEK PARKWAY--PHASE III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TASK NUMBER</th>
<th>PROJECT ELEMENT</th>
<th>MONTH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PRELIMINARY</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ACQUISITION</td>
<td>X X X X X X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CONSTRUCTION-OVERALL</td>
<td>X X X X X X X X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CONSTRUCTION-8TH ST end</td>
<td>X X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CONSTRUCTION-BOARDWALK</td>
<td>X X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CONSTRUCTION-COAKLEY</td>
<td>X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>CONSTRUCTION-JOE HOWARD</td>
<td>X X X X X X X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>PROJECT MANAGEMENT &amp; OTHER</td>
<td>X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mariposa Creek Parkway Phase III—Beginning of project at 8th Street and Stroming Road—
WEST side of creek at this location.
MARIPOSA CREEK PARKWAY PHASE III--
TYPICAL VIEW OF CREEK in riparian forest area

09/28/2005
Mariposa Creek Parkway-Phase III - pond at sewer line crossing, near NAPA & Pioneer Market

06/08/2005
RESOLUTION NO. 05-487

A RESOLUTION OF COUNTY OF MARIPOSA
APPROVING THE APPLICATION FOR GRANT FUNDS FOR
THE CALIFORNIA RIVER PARKWAYS GRANT PROGRAM UNDER THE
WATER SECURITY, CLEAN DRINKING WATER,
COASTAL AND BEACH PROTECTION ACT OF 2002 (Proposition 50); AND
APPOINT DESIGNEE AS AGENT TO CONDUCT ALL NEGOTIATIONS,
EXECUTE AND SUBMIT ALL DOCUMENTS

WHEREAS, the Legislature and Governor of the State of California have provided Funds for the program shown above; and

WHEREAS, the Resources Agency has been delegated the responsibility for the administration of this grant program, establishing necessary procedures; and

WHEREAS, said procedures established by the State Resources Agency require a resolution certifying the approval of application(s) by the Applicants governing board before submission of said application(s) to the State; and

WHEREAS, the Applicant, if selected, will enter into an agreement with the State of California to carry out the Project

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the County of Mariposa

1. Approves the filing of an application for the Mariposa Creek Parkway-Phase III;

2. Certifies that Applicant understands the assurances and certification in the application, and

3. Certifies that Applicant or title holder will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain the Project(s) consistent with the land tenure requirements; or will secure the resources to do so, and

4. Certifies that it will comply with the provisions of Section 1771.8 of the State Labor Code regarding payment of prevailing wages on Projects awarded Proposition 50 Funds, and

5. If applicable, certifies that the Project will comply with any laws and regulations including, but not limited to, legal requirements for building codes, health and safety codes, disabled access laws, and, that prior to commencement of construction, all applicable permits will have been obtained, and

6. Appoints the County Administrative Officer, or designee, as agent to conduct all negotiations, execute and submit all documents including, but not limited to
applications, agreements, payment requests and so on, which may be necessary for the completion of the aforementioned Project(s).

APPROVED AND ADOPTED the 11th day of October 2005. I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution Number 05-487 was duly adopted by the County of Mariposa.

Following Roll Call Vote:

Ayes: 5
Noes: 0
Absent: 0

Bob Pickard, Chairman of the Board

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGAL SUFFICIENCY:

Thomas P. Guarino, County Counsel

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
County of Mariposa

ATTEST:

Margie Williams, Clerk of the Board

ml.grants.Prop 50 Calif River Parkways Resolution
## Project Title:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessor's Parcel Number(s)</th>
<th>Acreage</th>
<th>Indicate fee or easement</th>
<th>Willing Seller Name and Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12-140-37 and 13-130-35 (one parcel, 2 taxation zones)</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>Fee</td>
<td>William Fiske 1060 Fulton Mall, Suite 716 Fresno, CA 93721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>easement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ACQUISITION COST ESTIMATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Costs</th>
<th>Prop. 50 River Parkways Grant</th>
<th>Other Sources of Funds (specify by name)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Estimated Fair Market Value of property:</td>
<td>$ 180,000</td>
<td>$ 180,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Relocation Costs</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Preliminary Costs:</td>
<td>$ 3,500</td>
<td>$ 3,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Preliminary Title Reports, Appraisal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Escrow Fees, Title Insurance, Closing Costs</td>
<td>$ 500</td>
<td>$ 500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Surveying (limited to boundary line adjustment)</td>
<td>$ 2,000</td>
<td>$ 2,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Direct costs (staff and consultants) – limited to $10,000 per grant</td>
<td>$ 3,000</td>
<td>$ 3,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. State approval costs of appraisal, transaction review etc.</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Contingency (Not to exceed 10% of total grant)</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Required signage</td>
<td>$ 600</td>
<td>$ 600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Other (Specify)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$217,600</strong></td>
<td><strong>$217,600</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIPOSA

Estate of

WILLIAM STANLEY FISKE, JR., 
aka WILLIAM S. FISKE, JR., 
W. STANLEY FISKE, JR., W. 
STANLEY FISKE, STANLEY FISKE,

Deceased.

No. 1422
JUDGMENT OF FINAL DISTRIBUTION ON WAIVER OF ACCOUNTING

EVELYN E. FISKE, as executrix of the will of WILLIAM STANLEY FISKE, JR., deceased, having filed her Waiver of Accounting and Petition for Final Distribution, and the petition coming on this day regularly for hearing, the Court finds:

I
Notice of hearing of the petition has been regularly given as prescribed by law.

II
All allegations of the petition are true.

III
WILLIAM STANLEY FISKE, JR., died testate on April 13, 1970, in Mariposa, Mariposa County, California, and was then a resident of said county.

IV
On June 17, 1970, EVELYN E. FISKE was appointed executrix of the decedent's will and qualified as such on that date and since then has been and now is the executrix of the decedent's
will.

V

Notice to creditors has been given as required by law, the time for filing or presenting claims has expired, and the estate now is in a condition to be closed.

VI

All claims filed or presented against the estate have been allowed by the executrix and/or approved by this Court and, with the following exception, have been paid. The creditor's claim of Attorney BEN CURRY and SILVEIRA, GARRETT, GOUL & CURRY in the sum of $5,076.75, which claim was dated August 17, 1970, was filed herein on November 27, 1970, and was approved on December 17, 1970, has been withdrawn in consideration of the executrix' assumption of individual responsibility for its payment. There are no rejected claims.

VII

All debts of decedent and of the estate and all expenses of administration have been paid, except said withdrawn creditor's claim, closing expenses, costs advanced by executrix' attorney and fees of William S. Fiske III, executrix' attorney.

VIII

A written report of the inheritance tax referee appointed in the proceeding is on file, and an order fixing the inheritance tax due the State of California has been made by this Court. The tax has been paid in full as evidenced by the receipt of the county treasurer of the County of Mariposa. There are no personal property taxes due or payable in this estate.

IX

A federal estate tax return has been filed for the estate and the tax shown in the return has been paid. The return has been audited.
No California or federal income taxes are payable by the estate.

XI

All assets of the estate are decedent's one-half interest in the community property of decedent and EVELYN E. FISKE, the surviving spouse, except the asset described in Exhibit "A", page 1, Item No. 1, attached to the petition, which is decedent's separate property.

XII

Distribution should be ordered as prayed for and as hereinafter specified.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, as follows:

1. The administration of the estate is brought to a close.

2. All acts and transactions of the executrix relating to the matters set forth in the petition are confirmed and approved.

3. The executrix has waived her right to compensation for services rendered in administration of this estate.

4. The executrix is authorized and directed to pay to WILLIAM S. FISKE, III, her attorney, $1,579.82, which is the unpaid balance of agreed attorneys fees and costs and which sum represents the amount necessary to cover the outstanding expenses of executrix' attorney attributable to this matter.

5. Notice to creditors has been given as required by law.

6. The California inheritance taxes due and payable by the estate have been paid.

7. Pursuant to the terms of the will of said decedent, the whole of the estate of said decedent now in the hands of the executrix, and any other property of decedent or his estate
not now known or discovered, is hereby distributed to Petitioner,
EVELYN E. FISKE;

8. The property of this estate presently on hand and
ordered distributed herein is described as follows:

1. An undivided one-sixth (1/6) interest in certain
real property located in the County of Mariposa,
State of California, and more particularly des-
cribed as follows:

Fractional south half of northwest quarter and
southeast quarter of Section 18, Township 2 South,
Range 17 East, M.D.B.&M., containing 192 acres,
more or less.

Also southeast quarter of northeast quarter and
northeast quarter of southeast quarter of Section
13, Township 2 South, Range 16 East, M.D.B.&M.,
containing 80 acres, more or less.

Also the southeast quarter of southeast quarter of
Section 13, Township 2 South, Range 16 East, M.D.B.&M.,
containing 40 acres, more or less.

EXCEPTING therefrom the Robert Fiske Tract as de-
scribed in deed recorded in Volume 65 of Official
Records of Mariposa County at page 166, particularly
described as follows: A portion of the southwest
quarter of Section 18, Township 2 South, Range 17
East, M.D.B.&M., more particularly described as
follows: Beginning at Corner No. 1, a 1" iron pipe
on the northeasterly side of the Fiske Road from
whence the southeast corner of said southwest
quarter of Section 18 bears S. 31° 54' E. 2333.0
feet; thence from said Corner No. 1, 27° 35' E.
300.40 feet to Corner No. 2, a 3/4" iron pipe;
thence N. 50° 57' W. 292.0 feet to Corner No. 3 a
3/4" iron pipe from whence a 36" pine tree bears S.
81° E. 4.30 feet; thence S. 42° 52' W. crossing said
Fiske Road 262.52 feet to Corner No. 4 a 1 1/2" iron
rod; thence S. 45° 57' E. 370.67 feet passing through
a 42" pine tree and recrossing the road to Corner No.
1, the point of beginning. Containing 2.10 acres,
more or less.

Containing, after exception, 310 acres, more or less.

2. All that real property situate in the Town of Mar-
iposa, County of Mariposa, State of California, de-
scribed as: Beginning at the Northwest corner of
Block 24 of the Town of Mariposa, or the corner of
Jones and Sixth Streets; thence along the easterly
line of Jones Street S. 36° 04' E. 75 feet to a
point; thence N. 53° 56' E. 120 feet to a point;
thence N. 36° 04' W. 75 feet to a point on the souther-
ly line of Sixth Street; thence along the said
southerly line of Sixth Street S. 53° 56' W. 120
feet to the place of beginning. Being a portion of
and situate in Block 24 of the Town of Mariposa.
3. All that real property situate in the Town of Mariposa, County of Mariposa, State of California, described as: Beginning at the point of intersection of the Westerly line of Jones Street with the Northerly line of Seventh Street; thence running along the Northerly line of Seventh Street South 53° 36' West 137 feet to Corner No. 2; thence leaving Seventh Street and running North 29° 41' West 126 feet to Corner No. 3; thence North 46° 38' East 124 feet to Corner No. 4, a point on the Westerly line of Jones Street; thence along the Westerly line of Jones Street South 36° 04' East 141 feet to Corner No. 1, the point of beginning. Situate in and being a fractional part of Block 31 of the Town of Mariposa.

4. All that real property situate in the County of Mariposa, State of California, described as: Lot 8 in Block "C" of Fish Camp Subdivision, Mariposa County, California, as laid down and delineated upon the Amended Map of said subdivision, recorded in Volume 1 of Maps at page 1154, in the office of the County Recorder of Mariposa County.

5. A parcel of land situated in Section 22, 23 and 26 of Township 5 South, Range 18 East, M.D.B.&M., Mariposa County, California, (as the said Section, Township and Range are so designated in the patent of the tract of land known as Las Mariposas from the United States of America to John C. Fremont, dated the 19th day of February, 1856, and recorded in the office of the County Recorder of the said County of Mariposa on the 23rd day of March, 1857, in Book D of Deeds, at pages 70 to 88 inclusive, thereof) and to be known henceforth as the Humbugderd East Field and bounded by a line more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at Corner No. 106, a point on the Northeasterly right-of-way of State Highway No. 140 as said right-of-way is described in deed recorded the 9th day of October, 1931, in Vol. 32 of Deeds at page 5, Mariposa County Records, 50 feet at right angles to the centerline of said right-of-way at Engineers Station 27449.74; thence from said point of beginning N. 45° 20' E. 396.36 feet to Corner No. 107; thence S. 61° 48' E. 286.20 feet to Corner No. 108; thence N. 76° 11' E. 447.90 feet to Corner No. 109; thence S. 82° 06' E. 534.90 feet to Corner No. 110; thence N. 79° 13' E. 710.90 feet to Corner No. 111; thence N. 57° 59' E. 782.54 feet to Corner No. 112; thence N. 47° 51' E. 272.67 feet to Corner No. 113; thence N. 60° 45' E. 85.93 feet to Corner No. 114; thence N. 42° 42' E. 333.30 feet to Corner No. 115; thence N. 48° 16' E. 171.90 feet to Corner No. 116; thence N. 84° 47' E. 101.50 feet to Corner No. 117; thence N. 27° 36' E. 241.50 feet to Corner No. 118; thence N. 8° 12' W. 201.90 feet to Corner No. 119; thence N. 48° 10' E. 326.00 feet to Corner No. 120; thence N. 45° 06' E. 346.43 feet to Corner No. 121, identical with Corner No. 5 of the Howard Tract, as said tract is described in deed recorded in Vol. 17 at page 476 of Official Records, Mariposa County Records; thence along the said Howard Tract N. 50°
07' E. 434.86 feet to Corner No. 127, identical with Corner No. 6 of said Howard Tract and Corner No. 122 of the Bunguardner Tract as per deed dated January 7, 1946 from Louis T. Milburn and Eileen E. Milburn, his wife, and Frank A. Canaccia, a single man, to Joseph C. Bunguardner and Annie Lee Bunguardner, his wife, recorded February 28, 1946 in Volume 18 of Official Records of Mariposa County at page 130; thence following along said Bunguardner Tract S. 55° 10' E. 264.99 feet to Corner No. 123, identical with Corner No. 6 of the Pearman Tract, conveyed by the Mariposa Commercial and Mining Company, a corporation, to Chester E. Pearman, Elvira A. Pearman, his wife, and James Tierney by deed dated August 17, 1932, and recorded in the office of the County Recorder of the said County of Mariposa, State of California, on the 14th day of November, 1932, in Volume 32 of Deeds, at page 128, Mariposa County Records; thence leaving said Pearman Tract and following along the Easterly side of Mariposa Creek, the following courses and distances: S. 39° 08' E. 141.60 feet to Corner No. 124; thence S. 52° 34' E. 125.70 feet to Corner No. 125; thence S. 73° 24' E. 132.20 feet to Corner No. 126; thence S. 75° 59' E. 259.30 feet to Corner No. 127; thence S. 52° 11' E. 239.20 feet to Corner No. 128; thence S. 45° 24' E. 143.00 feet to Corner No. 129; thence S. 51° 08' E. 102.93 feet to Corner No. 130; thence S. 42° 10' E. 226.00 feet to Corner No. 131; thence crossing Mariposa Creek S. 55° 56' W. 73.85 feet to Corner No. 132, identical with Corner No. 3 of the Garber Lot No. 2, conveyed by the said Mariposa Commercial and Mining Company, a corporation, to Emilie Garber, Henry A. Garber and George H. Garber by deed dated June 4, 1931, and recorded in the office of the County Recorder of the said County of Mariposa on the 12th day of June, 1931, in Volume 31 of Deeds at page 534, Mariposa County Records; thence S. 55° 21' W. 311.18 feet to Corner No. 133, identical with Corner No. 4 of the said Garber Lot No. 2, thence S. 35° 46' E. 408.99 feet to Corner No. 134A, identical with Corner No. 4A of the said Garber Lot No. 2 and also identical with Corner No. 4A of the Garber Lot No. 1, conveyed by the said Mariposa Commercial and Mining Company, a corporation, to Henry A. Garber by deed dated June 4, 1931, and recorded in the office of the County Recorder of the said County of Mariposa on the 12th day of June, 1931, in Volume 31 of Deeds, at page 533, Mariposa County Records; thence following along and around the said Garber Tract No. 1 of the following courses and distances: S. 35° 46' E. 375.44 feet to Corner No. 134, identical with Corner No. 5 of the said Garber Tract No. 1; thence S. 87° 59' E. 90.26 feet to Corner No. 135, identical with Corner No. 6 of the said Garber Tract No. 1; thence N. 48° 55' E. 89.96 feet to Corner No. 136, being a point on line 1 and 6 of the said Garber Tract No. 1 and also being identical with Corner No. 3 of the Giles Lot, conveyed by the said Mariposa Commercial and Mining Company, a corporation, to Charles G. Giles by deed dated June 4, 1931 and recorded in the office of the County Recorder of the said County of Mariposa on the 12th day of June, 1931.
in Volume 31 of Deeds at page 533, Mariposa County Records; thence S. 40° 19' E. 266.83 feet to Corner No. 137, identical with Corner No. 4 of the said Gilles Lot and also identical with Corner No. 3 of the Bloomer Lot, conveyed by the said Mariposa Commercial and Mining Company, a corporation, to Vene Bloomer and Eva H. Bloomer, his wife, by deed dated June 4, 1931, and recorded in the office of the County Recorder of the said County of Mariposa on the 12th day of June, 1931 in Volume 31 of Deeds at page 532, Mariposa County Records; thence S. 38° 06' E. 201.85 feet to Corner No. 138, identical with Corner No. 4 of the said Bloomer Tract and also identical with Corner No. 3 of the so-called Walker Tract, conveyed by the said Mariposa Commercial and Mining Company, a corporation, to May Walker by deed dated June 4, 1931 and recorded in the office of the County Recorder of the said County of Mariposa on the 12th day of June, 1931 in Volume 31 of Deeds at page 531, Mariposa County Records; thence S. 38° 06' E. 122.53 feet to Corner No. 139, identical with Corner No. 4 of the said Walker Tract and also identical with Corner No. 4 of the Dulich Tract, conveyed by the said Mariposa Commercial and Mining Company, a corporation, to James J. Dulich and Ethel Dulich by deed dated August 11, 1941 and recorded in the office of the County Recorder of the said County of Mariposa, on the 4th day of September, 1941 in Volume 10 of Official Records at page 24, Mariposa County Records; thence S. 38° 06' E. 258.71 feet to Corner No. 140, identical with Corner No. 5 of the said Dulich Tract, being a point on Line 1 and 2 of the Mariposa County Lot, conveyed by the said Mariposa Commercial and Mining Company, a corporation, to the County of Mariposa, a political subdivision of the State of California, by deed dated June 4, 1931 and recorded in the office of the County Recorder of the said County of Mariposa on the 6th day of July, 1931 in Volume 31 of Deeds at page 554, Mariposa County Records, and following around said Mariposa County Tract, using the same corners and distances; thence S. 40° 01' W. 426.41 feet to Corner No. 141; thence S. 65° 42' W. 221.50 feet to Corner No. 142; thence S. 63° 30' E. 879.28 feet to Corner No. 143; thence N. 48° 17' E. 402.56 feet to Corner No. 144, being a point on Line 1 and 5 of the said Mariposa County Tract and being identical with Corner No. 5 of the so-called Ruby Walker Tract, conveyed by the said Mariposa Commercial and Mining Company, a corporation, to Ruby Rose Morey, formerly Ruby Rose Waller, by deed dated November 3, 1938, and recorded in the office of the County Recorder of the said County of Mariposa on the 14th day of November, 1938, in Volume 5 of Official Records at page 411, Mariposa County Records; thence S. 32° 41' E. 221.62 feet to Corner No. 145, identical with Corner No. 4 of the said Ruby Walker Tract and also identical with Corner No. 2 of the so-called Romona Eckern Tract, conveyed by the said Mariposa Commercial and Mining Company, a corporation, to Romona Eckern by deed dated November 3, 1938, and recorded in the office of the County Recorder of the said County of Mariposa, on the 12th day of
November, 1938, in Volume 5 of Official Records at page 410, Mariposa County Records; thence S. 32° 11' 15" E. 265.43 feet to Corner No. 146, identical with Corner No. 3 of the said Romona Eckern Tract and also identical with Corner No. 3 of the so-called Everett Tract, conveyed by the said Mariposa Commercial and Mining Company, a corporation, to Junius A. Everett by deed dated November 12, 1940 and recorded in the office of the County Recorder of the County of Mariposa, on the 4th day of February, 1941, in Volume 8 of Official Records at page 459, Mariposa County Records; thence S. 40° 15' E. 105.00 feet to Corner No. 147, identical with Corner No. 7 of the so-called Emde Tract, conveyed by the said Mariposa Commercial and Mining Company, a corporation, to Clifford H. Emde and Ardith M. Emde, his wife, by deed dated December 16, 1940, and recorded in the office of the County Recorder of the said County of Mariposa, on the 28th day of February, 1941, in Volume 9 of Official Records at page 8, Mariposa County Records and also identical with Corner No. 5 of the Atkinson Tract, conveyed by the said Mariposa Commercial and Mining Company, a corporation, to Charles R. Atkinson and Violet T. Atkinson by deed dated August 26, 1942, and recorded in the office of the County Recorder of the said County of Mariposa on the 9th day of September, 1942, in Volume 11 of Official Records at page 396, Mariposa County Records; thence following around said Atkinson Tract, S. 39° 00' W. 332.19 feet to Corner No. 148, identical with Corner No. 6 of the said Atkinson Tract; thence S. 57° 43' E. 358.53 feet to Corner No. 149, identical with Corner No. 7 of the said Atkinson Tract; thence S. 84° 45' E. 399.50 feet to Corner No. 150, identical with Corner No. 8 of the said Atkinson Tract and also being a point on the Westerly line of State Highway No. 140, Engineer's Station 388+50.00; thence following along the Westerly line and Northerly line of the said State Highway No. 140 to Corner No. 106, the place of beginning running through Sections 26, 23 and 22, all in Township 5 South, Range 18 East; M.D.B.&M, Magnetic Variation 17° 40' East. Containing 483.12 ac., more or less.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM the following parcels of land:

1. The so-called Garber Spring Lot, containing .065 acres, more or less, the Tank House Lot, containing .008 acres, more or less, and the right-of-way for pipeline, conveyed by the said Mariposa Commercial and Mining Company, a corporation, to Emilie Garber, Henry A. Garber and George H. Garber, by deed dated June 8, 1937, and recorded in the office of the County Recorder of the said County of Mariposa on the 4th day of October, 1937, in Volume 6 of Official Records at page 212, Mariposa County Records.

2. The David E. Bertken Spring Tract and pipeline right-of-way, conveyed by the said Mariposa Commercial and Mining Company, a corporation, to David E. Bertken, by deed dated August 17, 1931, and
recorded August 18, 1931, in the office of the
County Recorder of the said County of Mariposa in
Volume 31 of Deeds at page 573, Mariposa County
Records.

3. That parcel of land conveyed to George W.
Keyser, et ux, by deed recorded April 10, 1962 in
Volume 79 of Official Records of Mariposa County
at page 275. Shown as Parcel 3 on Map No. 1263.

4. That parcel of land conveyed to Douglas
W. Black by deed recorded April 16, 1962 in Volume
79 of Official Records of Mariposa County at page
362.

5. That parcel of land conveyed to T. King
Martin, et ux, by deed recorded October 31, 1962
in Volume 82 of Official Records of Mariposa County
at page 30. Shown on Map No. 1235.

6. That parcel of land conveyed to Elmer F.
Sroming, et ux, by deed recorded February 5, 1963
in Volume 83 of Official Records of Mariposa County
at page 151. Shown as Parcels 1, 2 and 4 on Map
No. 1265.

7. That parcel of land known as the "Perry T.
V. Antenna Site", conveyed to Milton Perry, et ux,
recorded July 12, 1963 in Volume 85 of Official
Records of Mariposa County at page 129. Shown on
Map No. 1270.

8. That parcel of land conveyed to W. Rolland
Kime, et ux, by deed recorded October 30, 1964 in
Volume 91 of Official Records of Mariposa County at
page 530. Shown on Map No. 1362.

9. That parcel of land conveyed to Elva B.
Rogers by deed recorded December 9, 1964 in Volume
92 of Official Records of Mariposa County at page
223. Shown on Map No. 1356.

10. That parcel of land conveyed to Don E. Van
Heren, et ux, by deed recorded October 28, 1965 in
Volume 97 of Official Records of Mariposa County at
page 72. Shown on Map No. 1451.

11. That parcel of land conveyed to Andy R.
Weare by deed recorded February 7, 1966 in Volume 98
of Official Records of Mariposa County at page 244.
Shown on Map No. 1474.

12. That parcel of land conveyed to Alva R.
Porter, et ux, by deed recorded June 13, 1966 in
Volume 100 of Official Records of Mariposa County
at page 197.

13. That parcel of land conveyed to Lile S.
Hinkley, et ux, by deed recorded May 23, 1967 in
Volume 104 of Official Records of Mariposa County at
page 604. Shown as Parcel 2 on Parcel Map, Book 2,
page 4.


6. Beginning at Corner No. 1, marked by a 1-inch by 30-inch iron pipe with Tag RCE 6888, being the point of intersection of the Westerly line of Jessie Street with the Northerly line of Seventh Street; thence along the Westerly line of Jessie Street N. 36° 02'00" West a distance of 302.04 feet to Corner No. 2, marked by a 1-inch by 30-inch iron pipe with Tag RCE 6888, being identical with Corner No. 2 of that parcel of land described in deed, Fiske to Martin, recorded June 30, 1967 in Volume 105 of Official Records of Mariposa County at page 244; thence N. 56°07'51" West, along the Southerly line of the Martin parcel 396.92 feet to Corner No. 3, a point on the Southerly line of Eighth Street, marked by a 1-inch by 30-inch iron pipe with Tag RCE 6888; thence along the Southwester line of Eighth Street S. 53°36'00" West 71.48 feet to Corner No. 4, a point on the Northwesterly line of Block 62, Town of Mariposa; marked by a 1-inch by 30-inch iron pipe with Tag RCE 6888; thence along the Northwesterly line of Block 62, S. 50°35'01" East 342.24 feet to Corner No. 5, being the junction of the Northwesterly line of said Block 62 with the Northwesterly line of Blocks 63 and 64, Town of Mariposa; thence along the Northwesterly line of Blocks 63 and 64, S. 49°16'53" East 352.85 feet to Corner No. 5, marked by a 1-inch by 30-inch iron pipe with Tag RCE 6888; thence N. 53°56'00" West 41.22 feet to Corner No. 1, the point of beginning.

The above tract is delineated on Record of Survey Map No. 1562 on file in the office of the County Recorder of Mariposa County.

7. Beginning at the Northwest corner of Block 62 of the town of Mariposa, designated as Corner No. 1 of Tract 5 on Map #717 on file in the office of the County Recorder of Mariposa County; thence running South 55°21' West 20 feet to Corner No. 2; thence running South 50°36' East 104.50 feet to Corner No. 3; thence North 55°21' East 20 feet to Corner No. 4, being identical with Corner No. 4 of said Tract 5; thence North 50°36' West 104.50 feet to the point of beginning.

Situated in and being a fractional part of Block 62 of the town of Mariposa.

8. Lot No. 217 of "Yosemite West Unit 1", as said lot is delineated on the map entitled "Yosemite West Unit 1" filed August 1, 1967 in the office of the County Recorder of Mariposa County, and designated as Map 1551.

9. Lot 24 of Red Cloud Park Subdivision as delineated on Map entitled "Red Cloud Park Subdivision", filed May 10, 1961 in the office of the County Recorder of Mariposa County as Map No. 1192.

10. An undivided one-half (1/2) interest as tenants in common with co-owner Russell B. Fiske, 800 Bella Vista St., Martinez, California, of the following described property:


Lots 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12 of Red Cloud Park Subdivision.

Said lots are delineated on Map entitled "Red Cloud Park Subdivision" filed May 10, 1961 in the office of the County Recorder of Mariposa County as Map No. 1192.

11. An undivided 1/2 interest in the following described property:

PARCEL NO. 1:

The Northwest quarter of the Northwest quarter of Section 20, the Southwest quarter of the Northwest quarter of Section 20 and the West half of the Southwest quarter of Section 20, all in Township 2 South, Range 17 East, M.D.B.6M.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM a parcel of land situate in the Northwest quarter of the Southwest quarter of said Section 20, Township 2 South, Range 17 East, M.D.B.6M., bounded by a line particularly described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the West line of the said Northwest quarter of the Southwest quarter of said Section 20 from which the Southwest corner of said Northwest quarter of the Southwest quarter bears South 0° 27' East 110.21 feet; thence North 00° 27' West 230.56 feet; thence South 42° 20' East, 157.37 feet; thence South 42° 27' West 154.58 feet to the point of commencement.

ALSO EXCEPTING THEREFROM the following parcels of land:

1. The Red Cloud Park Subdivision as delineated on Map entitled "Red Cloud Park Subdivision" filed May 10, 1961 in the office of the County Recorder of Mariposa County as Map No. 1192.

2. That parcel of land known as Community
Center conveyed to County of Mariposa by deed recorded March 26, 1959 in Volume 65 of Official Records of Mariposa County at page 438.


PARCEL NO. 2:

A parcel of land situate in the Northeast quarter of the Southeast quarter of Section 19, Township 2 South, Range 17 East, M.D.B.&M., County of Mariposa, State of California, bounded by a line particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the Southeast corner of the said Northeast quarter of the Southeast quarter of Section 19; thence along the Southerly line of the said Northeast quarter of the Southeast quarter, North 89° 28' West, 100.52 feet to a point for Corner No. 1 and being a point within the right of way of Bowers Cave Road; thence North 42° 22' East, 100.42 feet to a point identical with point for Corner No. 6 of the Westmoreland Land, and being 8.60 feet Northwesterly from the existing center line of the said Bowers Cave Road; thence continuing North 42° 22' East, 37.46 feet to a point for Corner No. 2, being a point on the East line of the said Northeast quarter of the Southeast quarter; thence along the said East line South 0° 27' East 110.21 feet to the point of commencement.

EXCEPTING FROM said Parcels 1 and 2:

A strip of land situate in the West one-half of the Southwest one-quarter of Section 20 and in the Northeast one-quarter of the Southeast one-quarter of Section 19, all in Township 2 South, Range 17 East, M.D.B.&M., County of Mariposa, State of California and bounded by a line particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the point formed by the intersection of the South line of the said Northeast one-quarter of Section 19 with the center line of this county highway at Engineer's Station 45+72.62, from which point the Southwest corner of the said Northeast one-quarter of Section 19 bears South 89° 30' East, 32.95 feet distant; thence along the said South line of the Northeast one-quarter of the Southeast one-quarter of Section 19, North 89° 30' West, 67.57 feet to the Northwesterly line of right of way of this county highway; thence along the said Northwesterly line of right of way of
this county highway North 42° 22' East, 328 feet; thence North 44° 25' East, 137.01 feet; thence South 45° 35' East, 25.00 feet; thence North 44° 25' East, 50.00 feet; thence North 44° 25' East, 79.06 feet; thence North 44° 25' East, 50.00 feet; thence North 62° 52' East, 79.06 feet; thence North 44° 25' East, 354.14 feet; thence along a curve to the left, with a radius of 475 feet, through an angle of 38° 52', a distance of 322.29 feet, (the long chord of the curve bears North 24° 58'-3/4' East); thence North 50° 32½' East, 306.61 feet; thence leaving the said Northwesterly line of right of way of this county highway, South 84° 27½' East, 25.00 feet to the centerline of this county highway at Engineer's Station 59+50.00; thence South 84° 27½' East 25.00 feet to the Southeasterly line of right of way of this county highway; thence along the said Southeasterly line of right of way of this county highway the following courses and distances: South 50° 32½' West, 306.61 feet; thence along a curve to the right, with a radius of 525 feet, through an angle of 38° 52½' East, a distance of 356.21 feet; thence South 44° 25' West 604.14 feet; thence South 45° 35' East, 25.00 feet; thence South 44° 25' West, 130.92 feet to the West line of the said West one-half of the Southwest one-quarter of Section 20; thence leaving the said Southeasterly line of right of way of this county highway and running along the said West line of the West one-half of the Southwest one-quarter of Section 20, North 0° 27½' West, 37.24 feet to the said Southeast corner of the Northeast one-quarter of the Southeast one-quarter of Section 19; thence along the said South line of the Northeast one-quarter of the Southeast one-quarter of Section 19, North 89° 30½' West, 32.95 feet to the point of beginning. Containing 1.82 acres, more or less.

PARCEL NO. 3:

A parcel of land situate in the Northeast quarter of the Southeast quarter of Section 19, Township 2 South, Range 17 East, M.D.B. & M., County of Mariposa, State of California, and being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the Easterly line of said Section 19, said point lying S. 6° 27' E. 700.79 feet from the Easterly one-quarter corner, and running thence S. 0° 27' East, 207.34 feet to the Northwesterly line of Piske Road; thence along the Northwesterly line of said Piske Road N. 42° 20' W., 154.20 feet to a point; thence N. 47° 40½' E., 138.50 feet to the point of beginning. Containing 0.25 acres, more or less.

All as delineated upon that certain Record of Survey Map entitled "Greeley Hill School" filed for record as Map No. 1286, Mariposa County Records.

12. 22 shares of common stock of YOSEMITE PARK AND CURRY CO., a California corporation.

13. 1966 Mercedes Benz, 230S 4-door sedan.

15. 1931 Ford Model A coupe.
16. Three (3) hinnies or jeanelettes.
17. Miscellaneous household furniture, furnishings and personal effects.

Dated: December 27, 1974.

THOMAS C. LAURITZEN
Judge of the Superior Court

The foregoing instrument is a correct copy of the original on file in this office.

ATTERT:  

ELLEN BRONSON  ACTING
County Clerk and ex-officio Clerk of the Superior Court of the County of Mariposa,
State of California

By:  

Deputy
RESTRICTIONS/ENCUMBRANCES
FISKE PROPERTY
N/A
September 8, 2005

MARIPOSA COUNTY
PUBLIC WORKS
4639 Ben Hur Road
Mariposa, CA 95338

Attention: Gwendolyn Foster
Associate Engineer

Re: Mariposa Creek Parkway Extension
Owner's contemplated participation
APNs: 012-140-037, 13-130-35
Owner: Evelyn E. Fiske

Dear Ms. Foster:

This letter follows up on our periodic discussions and, in particular, our discussion last week. I am writing in my capacity as Mother's designated Agent under her Durable Power of attorney executed March 5, 2004 and recorded September 10, 2004, Document No. 2045757, Mariposa County Records.

Mother owns approximately 1/4 mile of Mariposa Creek and the immediately adjacent ground on either side of the channel. The contemplated upstream extension of the parkway will necessarily involve the use of certain portions of her property. Mother has owned the creek bottom for many decades. In earlier years, she very much enjoyed her periodic nature walks along and through the creekside thickets. Our family wholeheartedly supports the planned extension so that others might also share Mother's enjoyment of this little known part of town.

We believe that the portion of the extension across Mother's property should be along the creek's east side. It is my understanding that your department tentatively concurs that the east side would be the preferred location. Mother would willingly transfer to the County as much of this area as may be required. I can assure you that any monetary consideration for this transfer would not exceed the fair market value of the portion of the land transferred.
Mariposa County Public Works
Attn: Gwendolyn Foster, A.E.
September 8, 2005
Page Two

I trust that this letter adequately sets forth this owner's position concerning parkway expansion. Please advise should you have any questions or should any clarification be desired. Thank you.

Very truly yours,

WILLIAM S. FISKE

WSF:alom
APPENDIX O – LAND ACQUISITIONS FORM

Water Security, Clean Drinking Water, Coastal and Beach Protection Act of 2002 – Proposition 50
California River Parkways Grant Program

(Please complete one form for each separate escrow - see instructions on reverse)

Project Title: MARIPOSA CREEK PARKWAY—PHASE III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessor's Parcel Number(s)</th>
<th>Acreage</th>
<th>Indicate fee or Easement</th>
<th>Willing Seller Name and Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13-240-022</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Easement</td>
<td>Lester Bridges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P.O. Box 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mariposa, CA 95338</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACQUISITION COST ESTIMATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Estimated Fair Market Value of property:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Relocation Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Preliminary Costs:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Preliminary Title Reports, Appraisal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Escrow Fees, Title Insurance, Closing Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Surveying (limited to boundary line adjustment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Direct costs (staff and consultants) – limited to $10,000 per grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. State approval costs of appraisal, transaction review etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Contingency (Not to exceed 10% of total grant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Required signage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Other (Specify)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GRANT DEED

The undersigned declares that the documentary transfer tax is none (see prior deed) and is /x/ computed on the full value of the interest or property conveyed or is / / computed on the full value less the value of liens or encumbrances remaining thereon at the time of sale.

The land, tenements or realty is located in unincorporated area.

Date of deed: February 26, 1996

Assessors Parcel Number 013-240-022

FOR A VALUABLE CONSIDERATION, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged,

Stateside Holding Company, Inc., a California corporation

hereby GRANT(S) to

Lester N. Bridges and Barbara A. Bridges, husband and wife, as Joint Tenants

the following described property in the County of Mariposa, State of California:

Lot G as shown on the Map for Thomas Coakley, filed September 12, 1985 as Map No. 2220, Mariposa County Records.

Stateside Holding Company, Inc.

By: ____________________________  By: ____________________________

(Continued on Next Page)
State of California)
County of ___________

On ____________, before me, ____________________________, a Notary Public in and for said County and State, personally appeared ____________________________, and said ____________________________ fully known to me, (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

__________________________
Notary Public

(Seal)
RESTRICTIONS/ENCUMBRANCES
BRIDGES PROPERTY
N/A
October 11, 2005

Gwendolyn Foster
Department of Public Works
4639 Ben Hur Road
Mariposa, CA 95338

RE: Mariposa Creek Parkway

Dear Ms. Foster,

In regards to the proposed trail that is to run across my property on Joe Howard Road. I would consider selling an easement through my property for the fair market value.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Lester Bridges
Owner

4907 Joe Howard Street, P.O. Box 95 - Mariposa, CA 95338
Telephone: 966-3697    Fax: 966-4697
APPENDIX O – LAND ACQUISITIONS FORM

Water Security, Clean Drinking Water, Coastal and Beach Protection Act of 2002 – Proposition 50
California River Parkways Grant Program

(Please complete one form for each separate escrow - see instructions on reverse)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title: MARIPOSA CREEK PARKWAY—PHASE III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assessor's Parcel Number(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acreage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicate fee or Easement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willing Seller Name and Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dieter &amp; Diane Dubberke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.O. Box 2127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mariposa, CA 95338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-240-025 0.10 Fee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ACQUISITION COST ESTIMATE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Costs</th>
<th>Prop. 50 River Parkways Grant</th>
<th>Other Sources of Funds (specify by name)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Estimated Fair Market Value of property:</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Relocation Costs</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Preliminary Costs:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Preliminary Title Reports, Appraisal</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Escrow Fees, Title Insurance, Closing Costs</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Surveying (limited to boundary line adjustment)</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Direct costs (staff and consultants) – limited to $10,000 per grant</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. State approval costs of appraisal, transaction review etc.</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Contingency (Not to exceed 10% of total grant)</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Required signage</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Other (Specify)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>$40,100</td>
<td>$40,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GRANT DEED

The Undersigned Grantor(s) Declare(s): DOCUMENTARY TRANSFER TAX $1265.00; CITY TRANSFER TAX $0.00; SURVEY MONUMENT FEE $0.00.

[ ] computed on the consideration or full value of property conveyed, OR
[ ] computed on the consideration or full value less value of liens and/or encumbrances remaining at time of sale,
[ X ] unincorporated area; [ ] City of, and

FOR A VALUABLE CONSIDERATION, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, William D. Thomas and Marguerite E. Thomas, Trustees of the Dave and Marge Thomas Family Trust, created on November 17, 1997

hereby GRANTS to Dubberke 2nd Generation Family Partnership, L.P., a California limited partnership

the following described property in the unincorporated area of, County of Mariposa, State of California:

Parcel B as shown on the Parcel Map for MARIPOSA DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, filed September 23, 1984 in Book 21 of Parcel Maps at page 29, Mariposa County Records.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM 49% of mineral, oil and gas rights as reserved in the Deed to Thomas Coakley, recorded April 13, 1962 in Volume 79 of Official Records of Mariposa County at page 325, which recites as follows:

"Reserving unto the grantor herein, (Elvira A. Pearman) 49% of mineral, oil and gas rights during her lifetime and the development of said rights shall be at the option of the grantee (Thomas Coakley)."

ALSO EXCEPTING THEREFROM all that portion as conveyed to Mariposa County by Highway Grant Deed recorded March 3, 1988 in Official Records of Mariposa County as Document Number 88-0818, more particularly described as follows:

All of that portion of Parcel B as shown on that Parcel Map for Mariposa Development Co. recorded September 21, 1984 in Book 21 of Parcel Maps at page 29, Mariposa County Records, and situated in the Town of Mariposa, projected Section 23, Township 5 South, Range 18 East, M.D.B. & M., Rancho Las Mariposas, County of Mariposa, State of California, and being more particularly described as follows:

Mail Tax Statements To: SAME AS ABOVE
Beginning at the northeast corner of said Parcel B; thence along the northerly line of said Parcel B, South 53° 52' 00" West 151.23 feet; thence through a tangent curve to the right, concave to the north, with a radius of 147.86 feet, through a central angle of 89° 57' 39", an arc distance of 232.16 feet; thence north 36° 10' 21" West, 305.28 feet; thence South 25° 17' 25" West, 28.46 feet; thence leaving said northerly line, South 36° 10' 21" East, 291.66 feet; thence through a tangent compound curve to the left, concave to the north, with a radius of 172.86 feet, through a central angle of 58° 45' 43", an arc distance of 176.57 feet, and a radius of 248.92 feet, through a central angle of 31° 11' 56", an arc distance of 135.54 feet to a point on the northwesterly line of Parcel A; thence along said northwesterly line, North 53° 52' 00" East, 111.82 feet to the northeasterly line of said Parcel B; thence along said northwesterly line, North 36° 07' 42" West, 36.00 feet to the Point of Beginning.

Dated: 02/05/2004

Dave and Marge Thomas Family Trust

William D. Thomas, Trustee

Marguerite E. Thomas, Trustee

STATE OF California

COUNTY OF Marin

On February 25, 2004, before me, Nel A. Stonum, personally appeared William D. Thomas and Marguerite E. Thomas, personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies) and that his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature

My Commission Expires: May 20, 2006

This area for official notarial seal
RESTRICTIONS/ENCUMBRANCES
BRIDGES PROPERTY
N/A
L.O. O. F., an unincorporated association, by deed dated the 24th day of March, 1932, and recorded in the office of the said County Recorder on the last day of April, 1932, in Vol. 35 of Deeds, at p 15 thereof; thence along and around the Masonic Cemetery Lot, north 106°.40' east 191.32' to Corner No. 9, identical with Corner No. 1 of the said Masonic Cemetery Lot, and also identical with Corner No. 1 of the said Public Cemetery Lot; thence south 60° 20' east 191.32' to Corner No. 10, identical with Corner No. 1 of the said Masonic Cemetery Lot, and also identical with Corner No. 1 of the said Public Cemetery Lot; thence north 60° 20' west 224.49' to Corner No. 11, identical with Corner No. 4 of the said Masonic Cemetery Lot, and also identical with Corner No. 1 of the said Public Cemetery Lot; thence following the line between Corner No. 1 and Corner No. 2 of the said Odd Fellows Cemetery Lot, east 103.90' to Corner No. 2, identical with Corner No. 2 of the said Odd Fellows Cemetery Lot, and also identical with Corner No. 4 of the said Public Cemetery Lot, thence leaving the said Odd Fellows Cemetery Lot, and following along the westerly line of the said Uddell Tract No. 1, and running along the said westerly line of the said Public Road, south 61° 51' west 392.01' to Corner No. 14, identical with Corner No. 2 of the said Uddell Tract No. 2, and running along the said public road and running south 15° 00' east 54.40' to Corner No. 15; thence south 25° 34' west 226.50' to Corner No. 16; thence south 5° 25' west 226.50' to the said corner No. 1 and the point of commencement; containing 5.23 acres, more or less; magnetic variation 17° 40' east, and being portions of the said Sections 14, 15, 22 and 23; and known as the "Public Cemetery Additional Tract."

Each of the said corners of the said tract of land hereby conveyed is marked by a drill steel, driven into and flush with the ground, and scribed with the appropriate number of the corners and with the figures and letters "L.O. O. F.

Together with all and singular the tenements, hereditaments and appurtenances thereunto belonging, or in anywise appertaining.

To have and to hold, all and singular, the said premises, together with the appurtenances, unto the party of the second part, and unto its successors forever, but, nevertheless, on the following conditions and covenants: (1) That the party of the second part shall immediately after the delivery of this deed of conveyance, construct and forever maintain a fence or a fence of such materials as may be securely and not more than ten (10) feet apart in the ground, and not less than six (6) barbed wires, and at all times thereat to be maintained in good order, condition and repair, a fence surrounding the said public cemetery additional tract, except that between the said southerly line of the said Public Road, coincident with the northerly boundary line of the said Public Cemetery Additional tract, at a place approximately at the center of the said line, the party of the second part shall construct a first-class cattle guard, and at all times thereafter, the party of the second part shall maintain the said cattle guard in good order, condition and repair; (2) That no part of the said Public Cemetery Additional tract shall be disposed of, in any manner, by the party of the second part, or by its successors; and (3) That the said tract of land, at all times hereafter, shall be used by the party of the second part, or its successors, as a public cemetery for the interment of human bodies therein without any charge whatever.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the party of the first part, the said Mariposa Commercial and Mining Company, has caused its corporate name to be subscribed and its corporate seal to be affixed, by its proper officers, thereof duly authorized, the day and year first hereinafter written.

Mariposa Commercial and Mining Company,
By: Charles W. Slack
President.
By: H. G. Stevenson
Secretary

(State of California)
City and County of San Francisco)

On this 9th day of June, 1942, before me, Beatrice C. Tait, a Rotary Public In and for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, personally appeared Charles W. Slack, known to me to be the President, and H. G. Stevenson, known to me to be the Secretary, of Mariposa Commercial and Mining Company, the corporation that executed the foregoing instrument, and also known to me to be the person who executed the said instrument on behalf of the said corporation, and severally acknowledged to me that the said corporation executed the same.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal, the day and year in this certificate above written.

Beatrice C. Tait
Rotary Public In and for the City and County of San Francisco, State of California
My commission expires Aug. 12, 1942

Recorded at the request of W. C. Goscian, County Clerk, Sept. 11, 1942 at 10 min past 9 o'clock A. M. in Vol 11 of Official Records, page 399, Mariposa County Records.

D. G. Kidder, Recorder.

------------------------------------------
No. 724
Affidavit of Birth

Personal and Statistical Particulars

85
Highway Easement Deed

APN 013-070-044
Document No: 87AF-1987-01

Elwood Carroll and Margaret Carroll, husband and wife
as joint tenants

Grant, convey and dedicate to the COUNTY OF MARIPOSA the right of way and incidents thereto for a public highway upon, over and across that certain real property, in the unincorporated area of Mariposa County, State of California, described as:

All of that portion of Parcel A as shown on that Parcel Map for Uhle & Associates recorded Sept. 6, 1978 in Book 15 of parcel maps at Page 11, Mariposa County Records, situated in projected sections 14 and 23, T.5 S., R.18 E., M.D.B.&M., Rancho Las Mariposas, Mariposa County, California, as described as follows:

BEGINNING at the southwest corner of above said Parcel A; thence along the west line of said Parcel A, north 2° 33' 46" west, 70.51 feet; thence leaving said west line, through a non-tangent curve to the left, concave to the northeast, with a tangent bearing of south 46° 27' 42" east, a radial bearing of north 43° 32' 18" east, a radius of 145.00 feet, through a central angle of 25° 43' 39", an arc distance of 67.64 feet; thence south 73° 11' 21" east, 151.12 feet; thence through a tangent curve to the left, concave to the northeast, with a radius of 145.00 feet, through a central angle of 16° 19' 23", an arc distance of 13.34 feet to the east line of said Parcel A; thence along said east line, south 8° 32' 51" west, 89.15 feet to the southeast corner of said Parcel A; thence along the south line of said Parcel A, north 63° 42' 30" west, 222.12 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING being the southwest corner of said Parcel A, containing 0.35 acres more or less.

The grantor hereby further grants to grantee all trees, growths (growing as may hereafter grow), and road building materials within said right of way, including the right to take water, together with the right to use the same in such manner and at such locations as said grantee may deem proper, needful, or necessary, in the construction, reconstruction, improvement, or maintenance of said highway.

The grantor, for himself, his successors and assigns, hereby waives any claim for any and all damages to grantor's remaining property contiguous to the right of way hereby conveyed by reason of the location, construction, landscaping, improvement, or maintenance of said highway.

(Ass as above, the term “grantor” shall include the plural as well as the singular number and the words “himself” and “his” shall include the feminine gender as the same may be.)

Executed this 3rd day of March 1967

Signed and delivered in the presence of

[Signature]
STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ____________________________.

On this __________ day of ______________________, in the year one thousand nine hundred and __________,
before me, ____________________________, A Notary Public in and for said county and State, residing
therein, duly commissioned and sworn, personally appeared ____________________________,
known to me to be the person __________ described in and whose name __________ subscribed to the within instrument, and
acknowledged to me that he executed the same.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal the day and year in this
certificate first above written.

__________________________
Notary Public in and for the __________ County of ____________________________, State of California.

__________________________
My commission expires ____________________________.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SUBSCRIBING WITNESS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ____________________________. ss.

On this __________ day of ______________________, in the year one thousand nine hundred and __________,
before me, ____________________________, A Notary Public in and for said county and State, residing
therein, duly commissioned and sworn, personally appeared ____________________________,
known to me to be the person __________ described in, and who executed the said within instrument as
parties thereto, sign and execute the same; that he, the affiant, then and there, at the request of said
person, subscribed his name as a witness thereto.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal the day and year in this
certificate first above written.

__________________________
Notary Public in and for the __________ County of ____________________________, State of California.

__________________________
My commission expires ____________________________.

This is to certify that the interest in real property conveyed by the grant dated __________
from ____________________________ to the County of Mariposa, a political subdivision, is hereby accepted by
__________________________, on behalf of the Board of Supervisors of Mariposa County pursuant to
HIGHWAY GRANT DEED

MARIPOSA MASONIC LODGE NO. 24, TEMPLE ASSOCIATION,
a California corporation,

hereby GRANTS, CONVEYS, TRANSFERS, and DELIVERS to:

the COUNTY of MARIPOSA,

the following described real property in the unincorporated area of the county of Mariposa,
State of California:

see attached "EXHIBIT A"

(As used above, the term "grantor" shall include the plural as well as the singular number and words "himself" and "his" shall include the feminine gender as the case may be.)

OWNERS:

JOE ROLLSTON, President, Mariposa Masonic Lodge Temple Association

Date: 11-3-97

BOB PEREZ, Secretary, Mariposa Masonic Lodge Temple Association

Date: 11-3-97
ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF GRANTOR

NOTARY STATEMENT

State of California
County of Mariposa, ss.

On November 3, 1997, before me, Neil A. Stonum, personally appeared Joe Rollston and Bob Perez,

personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies) and that by his/her/their signature(s) in the instrument the person(s), or entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

Notary Signature

MAY 20, 1998
Commission expiration date

CERTIFICATE OF ACCEPTANCE BY GRANTEE

This is to certify that the interest in real property conveyed by this Highway Grant Deed dated 11-3-97, from Joe Rollston, as President, and Bob Perez, as Secretary of the Mariposa Masonic Lodge Temple Association to the County of Mariposa, a political subdivision, is hereby accepted by Michael D. Edwards, on behalf of the Board of Supervisors of Mariposa County pursuant to the authority conferred by resolution of the Board of Supervisors of Mariposa County, adopted on August 13, 1985, and the grantee hereby consents to the recordation thereof, by its duly authorized officer.

Date: 11/5/97

Director of Public Works
EXHIBIT "A"

PARCEL 1:

All of that portion of that certain tract conveyed to MARIPOSA LODGE #24, F. & A.M. TEMPLE ASSOCIATION, A CORPORATION, by Grant Deed recorded February 10, 1993 in the Official Records of Mariposa County as Document No. 930658, situated in a portion of Projected Sections 14 & 15, T.5 S., R.18 E., M.D.B.& M., County of Mariposa, State of California, and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at the most southerly corner of said tract; thence along the southeasterly line of said tract, north 57° 36' 25" east, 137.26 feet to the most easterly corner of said tract; thence along the northeasterly line of said tract, north 66° 58' 49" west, 19.30 feet; thence leaving said northeasterly line, south 61° 13' 51" west, 41.07 feet; thence along a tangent curve to the left, concave to the southeast, with a radius of 362.00 feet, through a central angle of 14° 51' 16", an arc distance of 93.85 feet to a point on the southerly line of said tract; thence along said southerly line, south 66° 58' 49" east, 14.14 feet to the Point of Beginning.

PARCEL 2:

All of that portion of that certain tract conveyed to MARIPOSA LODGE #24, F. & A.M. by Grant Deed recorded August 16, 1969 in Volume 115 of Official Records at Page 356, Mariposa County Records, situated in a portion of Projected Section 14, T.5 S., R.18 E., M.D.B.& M., County of Mariposa, State of California, and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at Corner No. 5 of said tract; thence along the northerly line of said tract, north 57° 36' 25" east, 137.26 feet to a point on the southerly line of that certain tract conveyed to FORTYNINER INVESTMENT GROUP INC., a California corporation, by Grant Deed recorded February 10, 1993 in the Official Records of Mariposa County as Document No. 930659; thence along said southerly line, south 66° 58' 49" east, 14.73 feet; thence north 61° 03' 53" east, 130.88 feet; thence north 74° 55' 15" east, 170.75 feet to the east line of said tract, also being the east line of the above said tract; thence along said east line, south 0° 30' 37" west, 50.56 feet; thence leaving said east line, north 84° 01' 47" west, 47.44 feet; thence along a tangent curve to the left, concave to the south, with a radius of 255.86 feet, through a central angle of 29° 11' 25", an arc distance of 130.35 feet; thence south 61° 13' 51" west, 174.75 feet; thence along a tangent curve to the left, concave to the southeast, with a radius of 322.00 feet, through a central angle of 9° 23' 51", an arc distance of 52.81 feet to a point on the southerly line of the above said tract; thence north 85° 10' 32" west, 37.74 feet to the Point of Beginning.

Description prepared by: Charles M. Pratt, L.S. 4891
OTHER SOURCES OF FUNDS

In-kind services:
MERG: $2,900 - Committed, 0.158 % of project
Chamber: $360 - Committed, 0.019 % of project
NRCS: $3,512 - Committed, 0.194 % of project
Mariposa County $30,000 - Committed, 1.661 % of project

Evidence of funds committed, please see Exhibit "A"
LOCAL SUPPORT
N/A
ELIGIBILITY FOR NONPROFIT APPLICANTS

N/A
Data Set: Census 2000 Summary File 3 (SF 3) - Sample Data

Result contains 1 row.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P053001</th>
<th>Mariposa CDP, California</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Households: Median household income in 1999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: A hyphen (-) indicates that data are not available for this geographic area for the selected data element (column) in your custom table. Please consult the Census 2000 Summary File 3 (SF 3) - Sample Data Technical Documentation (PDF 5.92MB) for more information.

http://www.factfinder.census.gov/servlet/CTTable?_bm=y&-context=ct&-ds_name=DEC_20... 5/15/05
EXHIBIT "A"
MERG LETTER OF COMMITMENT TO FOLLOW
September 29, 2005

Mariposa County Board of Supervisors
PO Box 784
Mariposa, CA 95338

Dear Mariposa County Supervisors:

Please accept this communiqué as a letter of commitment to collaborate with Mariposa County in the Mariposa Creek Project, Phase III. With the CA River Parkways Grant Program, the Mariposa Creek Project will improve the eco system of the creek.

The Mariposa County Chamber of Commerce has agreed to promote the planned project through our regular and special event marketing methods such as: e-mail broadcast, chamber newsletter, public service announcements and hard copy distribution. A value of $360.00.

You may contact me at the Mariposa County Chamber of Commerce at (209) 966-2456 at your convenience.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Dorothy Kuhnel
Executive Director

cc: Marilyn Lidyoff, Mariposa County Economic Development
To: Mariposa County Board of Supervisors
Subj: Phase III, Mariposa Creek Parkway Extension Project

September 26, 2005

The U.S.D.A. Natural Resources Conservation Service has a profound interest in sustainability of our natural resources. A riparian corridor such as Mariposa Creek is one example of a natural resource with much to offer. However, another resource that we, as an agency, are greatly interested in is the human resource. To make the Mariposa Creek corridor accessible for the enjoyment of people while still conserving its wildlife habitat and riparian attributes will be a wonderful accomplishment.

As a federal agency that specializes in providing top quality technical assistance, I don’t think I am in a position to put any financial help on the plate. However, I do have access to wildlife biologists who will be happy to inventory and assess the quality of this stream corridor as well as engineers who have the ability to incorporate “bio-engineering” techniques into the design and construction of the Parkway.

Please accept this letter of support for the proposed Phase III of this impressive endeavor. I will be more than happy to cooperate and provide what professional technical assistance I have at my disposal.

Sincerely,

Jerry Progner,
Resource Conservationist
To: Marilyn Lidyoff
From: Jerry Progner

Marilyn,

Based upon the information you’ve provided, here is an estimate of the time I think will be necessary to accomplish the tasks. Of course, the project might more time or less time than this depending upon the complexity

**Biological inventory:**
- 8 hr. in the field; 12 hr. in the office = 20 hrs
- $20/hr x $44/hr = $880 + 2 days travel ($260) = $1140

**Engineering:**
- 24 hr. in the field; 16 hr. design work = 40 hr.
- $40/hr x $44/hr. = $1760 + 2 days travel ($260) = $2020
- follow-up for "as built" 8 hr. x $44 = $352

**Grand total:** $3512

I hope this “quote” is helpful to your efforts, and I look forward to working with you if the project moves forward.
RESOLUTION NO. 05-487

A RESOLUTION OF COUNTY OF MARIPOSA
APPROVING THE APPLICATION FOR GRANT FUNDS FOR
THE CALIFORNIA RIVER PARKWAYS GRANT PROGRAM UNDER THE
WATER SECURITY, CLEAN DRINKING WATER,
COASTAL AND BEACH PROTECTION ACT OF 2002 (Proposition 50); AND
APPOINT DESIGNEE AS AGENT TO CONDUCT ALL NEGOTIATIONS,
EXECUTE AND SUBMIT ALL DOCUMENTS

WHEREAS, the Legislature and Governor of the State of California have provided Funds for the program shown above; and

WHEREAS, the Resources Agency has been delegated the responsibility for the administration of this grant program, establishing necessary procedures; and

WHEREAS, said procedures established by the State Resources Agency require a resolution certifying the approval of application(s) by the Applicants governing board before submission of said application(s) to the State; and

WHEREAS, the Applicant, if selected, will enter into an agreement with the State of California to carry out the Project

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the County of Mariposa

1. Approves the filing of an application for the Mariposa Creek Parkway-Phase III;

2. Certifies that Applicant understands the assurances and certification in the application, and

3. Certifies that Applicant or title holder will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain the Project(s) consistent with the land tenure requirements; or will secure the resources to do so, and

4. Certifies that it will comply with the provisions of Section 1771.8 of the State Labor Code regarding payment of prevailing wages on Projects awarded Proposition 50 Funds, and

5. If applicable, certifies that the Project will comply with any laws and regulations including, but not limited to, legal requirements for building codes, health and safety codes, disabled access laws, and, that prior to commencement of construction, all applicable permits will have been obtained, and

6. Appoints the County Administrative Officer, or designee, as agent to conduct all negotiations, execute and submit all documents including, but not limited to
applications, agreements, payment requests and so on, which may be necessary for the completion of the aforementioned Project(s).

APPROVED AND ADOPTED the 11th day of October 2005. I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution Number 05-487 was duly adopted by the County of Mariposa.

Following Roll Call Vote: Ayes: 5  
Noes: 0  
Absent: 0  

Bob Pickard, Chairman of the Board

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGAL SUFFICIENCY:

Thomas P. Guarino, County Counsel

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
County of Mariposa

ATTEST:

Margie Williams, Clerk of the Board

ml grants Prop 50 Calif River Parkways Resolution
TO: MIKE COFFIELD, Interim Coordinator
DANA HERTFELDER, Public Works Director

FROM: MARGIE WILLIAMS, Clerk of the Board

SUBJECT: APPROVE A GRANT APPLICATION
CONSERVATION GRANT PROGRAM
FOR THE MARIPOSA CREEK TRAIL

RESOLUTION 06-176

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF MARIPOSA COUNTY
ADOPTED THIS Order on May 9, 2006

ACTION AND VOTE:

Consent Agenda item 5/grant application to Sierra Nevada-Cascade Conservation Grant Program for Acquisition of Real Property for the Mariposa Creek Trail, Phase III

CA-5 Approve a Grant Application to the Sierra Nevada-Cascade Conservation Grant Program for Acquisition of Real Property for the Mariposa Creek Trail, Phase III

CC: Marilyn Lidyoff, Business Development File
May 26, 2006

Stan Bajorin
Deputy Assistant Secretary
State of California
Resources Agency
1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1311
Sacramento, CA 95814

Project: California River Parkways Program Grant Application – Mariposa Creek Parkway-Phase III – site visit

Dear Mr. Bajorin:

It was a pleasure meeting you on April 27, 2006. During your site visit to Mariposa County, an issue was raised by the Resources Agency team about access issues to the Fiske property, APN 012-140-037, and 13-130-35.

Please be advised that the County of Mariposa has already taken its first step in collaborating with the Fiske Family as the Mariposa Creek Parkway Phase-III Project was moved to the east side of the creek. The trail is envisioned to remain on the east side of the creek between 8th Street to Joe Howard Street. Further, the County of Mariposa shall collaborate with the Fiske property owner in developing the parkway project design. To that end, attached is a letter addressed to Mr. William S. Fiske regarding acquisition of land to pursue construction of 0.4-mile trail. If I can be of future assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me at (209) 966-3222, Marilyn Lidyoiff, Business Development Coordinator at (209) 742-1229, or Gwen Foster, Associate Engineer at (209) 966-5356.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Lee Stetson
Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

Enclosures (1)
ml.grants.Prop 50 Calif River Parkways Final Grant Application.2.access
May 25, 2006

William S. Fiske
Attorney At Law
1060 Fulton Mall, Suite 716
Fresno, CA 93721

Dear Mr. Fiske,

This letter serves as a follow up to our telephone conversation on May 16, 2006, regarding the expansion of the Mariposa Creek Parkway – Phase III. Upon award of sufficient grant funds from the State of California Resources Agency, the County of Mariposa shall purchase a portion of property, APN 012-140-037 and 13-130-35, at either below or Fair Market Value to construct a non-motorized and ADA accessible trail along the Mariposa Creek Parkway (Phase III) in the town of Mariposa. The acquisition shall encompass property between 8th Street at the vehicle weir crossing Joe Howard Street. The proposed project is to build approximately 0.4-mile trail on the east side of the creek above the Ordinary High Water (OHW) mark.

While the County cannot offer any assurances to access on your future hillside property development, we are nonetheless very grateful for your willingness to sell a portion of the property for this worthy community endeavor. In the event that your vision to develop the adjacent hillside is pursued, I have provided a partial inventory of issues that need to be addressed prior to future development of the hillside property.

- Steep hillside topography;
- Subdivision access;
- Residential access;
- Possible commercial driveway access;
- Drainage crossing/Fish and Game/ floodway and floodplain issues – easement and alignment issues;
- Existing topography on project site and extent of grading (relating to width of road or driveway) needs to be considered relative to specific plan grading standards, which limit heights of cuts and fills;
- Proposed use would affect applicability of specific plan standards;
- Cultural resources;
• Botanical resources;
• Oaks; and
• Wetlands

Again, while the above topics are not all-inclusive, it is a partial inventory to utilize when launching the development process of the hillside property.

Further, we are requesting your participation as a design team member, as the Mariposa Creek Project moves forward.

If you have any questions regarding this letter, please do not hesitate to contact me at (209) 742-1229. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Lidyoff
Business Development Coordinator

Cc: Mike Coffield, Interim CAO
    Dana Hertfelder, Public Works Director
    Gwen Foster, Associate Engineer
    Kris Schenk, Planning Director
    Sarah Williams, Deputy Planning Director