DEPARTMENT: Board 

BY: PHONE: 966-3222 

RECOMMENDED ACTION AND JUSTIFICATION: (Policy Item: Yes__ No_X__) 

Adopt a Resolution Opposing Proposition 50 and Authorize the Chair to Sign the Resolution 

BACKGROUND AND HISTORY OF BOARD ACTIONS: 

LIST ALTERNATIVES AND CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION: 

⇒ 

⇒ 

COSTS: (x) Not Applicable 
A. Budgeted current FY $ 
B. Total anticipated costs $ 
C. Required additional funding $ 
D. Internal transfers $ 

SOURCE: ( ) 4/5ths Vote Required 
A. Unanticipated revenues $ 
B. Reserve for contingencies $ 
C. Source description: Balance in Reserve for Contingencies, if approved: $ 

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: 
List the attachments and number the pages consecutively: 

⇒ 

⇒ 

CLERK’S USE ONLY: 
Res. No.: 52-289 
Vote - Ayes: Absent: 
( ) Approved ( ) Denied 
( ) Minute Order Attached ( ) No Action Necessary 

The foregoing instrument is a correct copy of the original on file in this office. 

Date: 

ATTEST: MARGIE WILLIAMS, Clerk of the Board 
County of Mariposa, State of California 

By: Deputy 

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER’S RECOMMENDATION: 
This item on agenda as: 

Recommended 
Not Recommended 
For Policy Determination 
Submitted with Comment 
Returned for Further Action 

Comment: 

C.A.O. Initials: 

Action Form Revised 5/92
BEFORE
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
OF THE COUNTY OF MARIPOSA
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of

OPPOSITION TO PROPOSITION 50

Resolution No.: 02-389

RESOLUTION TO OPPOSE PASSAGE
OF PROPOSITION 50

WHEREAS, an initiative will appear on the November 5, 2002, ballot, known as Proposition 50.

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors wishes to oppose the passage of Proposition 50 on the following findings:

1. FINDING: Proposition 50 will result in the issuance of bonds in the amount of approximately 3.4 billion dollars.

2. FINDING: As result of these bond issues, California will repay a sum exceeding 6.9 billion dollars.

3. FINDING: A mere 50 million dollars will be earmarked for water security.

4. FINDING: At least 600 million dollars will be required to provide adequate water security for all of California.

5. FINDING: Proposition 50 will jeopardize future water bond issues which would provide for a secure and adequate water supply.

6. FINDING: Proposition 50 will not develop new water supplies but merely provide for water transfers.

7. FINDING: California is in need of increased water supplies and the securitization of those supplies.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Mariposa
that Proposition 50 is the wrong vehicle for securing new water supplies for the citizens of the state, is expensive and does not answer the concerns of California's stakeholders. Instead, the Proposition provides for water transfers and land acquisitions, which will have no effect on securitizing adequate water supplies for all water users in California. The Board of Supervisors oppose the passage of Proposition 50 and urge all Californians to vote no on Proposition 50.

The foregoing Resolution was adopted this 15th day of October, 2002 by the following vote:

Supervisor Balmain voted: Aye
Supervisor Pickard voted: Aye
Supervisor Stewart voted: Aye
Supervisor Reilly voted: No
Supervisor Parker voted: Abstained

Robert C. Stewart
Chairman
Mariposa County Board of Supervisors

Attest
Marie Williams
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM AND SUFFICIENCY

Jeffrey C. Green
County Counsel