RECOMMENDED ACTION AND JUSTIFICATION: (Policy Item: Yes _ No _X__)  
Resolution authorizing Chairman to sign letter to Regional Council of Rural Counties (RCRC) expressing the Board of Supervisors' displeasure with the way in which the decision was made to file suit regarding the Record of Decision issued in the CALFED proceedings.

BACKGROUND AND HISTORY OF BOARD ACTIONS:

LIST ALTERNATIVES AND CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION:

COSTS:  
A. Budgeted current FY  
B. Total anticipated costs  
C. Required additional funding  
D. Internal transfers  

SOURCE:  
A. Unanticipated revenues  
B. Reserve for contingencies  
C. Source description: Balance in Reserve for Contingencies, if approved:  

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:  
List the attachments and number the pages consecutively:

CLERK'S USE ONLY:  
Res. No.:  
Vote - Ayes: Noes:  
Absent:  
Approved ( ) Denied  
Minute Order Attached ( ) No Action Necessary

The foregoing instrument is a correct copy of the original on file in this office.

DATE:  

ATTEST: MARGIE WILLIAMS, Clerk of the Board  
County of Mariposa, State of California  

By: Deputy

12-15DSA  
Action Form Revised 5/92
Marcia Basque, President
Regional Council of Rural Counties
1020 12th Street, Suite 400
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: RCRC Board of Directors Decision to File Suit Regarding CALFED Record of Decision

Dear Ms. Basque:

The Mariposa County Board of Supervisors, by unanimous action, has directed that the undersigned express to the RCRC Board of Directors as well as all members of RCRC, our Board’s extreme displeasure with the way in which the decision was made to file suit regarding the Record of Decision issued in the CALFED proceedings. The decision to file suit was by any standard, a significant one with far reaching direct and indirect ramifications for member counties. Many member counties, including Mariposa County, are in sensitive discussions and negotiations with the administration of the State of California regarding matters of utmost importance to those respective counties. While RCRC may operate through its own Board of Directors, there is no logical way for third parties to separate member counties from an action of this magnitude by the RCRC Board of Directors.

Mariposa County believes strongly that such an action should not have been taken without our delegate first bringing this matter to the Mariposa County Board of Supervisors for a closed session discussion in order to give direction to our delegate to your Board of Directors. In our view, this lapse of judgment by RCRC was compounded by RCRC’s attorney’s direction to our Board delegate that he was prohibited as a matter of law from discussing this matter with anyone, including his County Counsel and his colleagues on the Mariposa County Board of Supervisors.

As you know, the purpose of RCRC is to represent the mutual interests of its member counties. In our view, the action taken by RCRC to file suit in this matter without polling the individual member boards of supervisors has not only failed to represent the mutual interest of its member counties but in fact flies in the face of those interests.

We would support an amendment to the RCRC Bylaws that would prohibit the RCRC Board of Directors from taking such significant actions without a full discussion before the Board of Supervisors of each member county.

Very truly yours,

Garry R. Parker, Chairman
Mariposa County Board of Supervisors
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cc: Mariposa County Board of Supervisors
    RCRC Board of Directors
    RCRC Delegates