DEPARTMENT: Planning and Building  BY: Tony Lashbrook  PHONE: 966-5151

RECOMMENDED ACTION AND JUSTIFICATION: (Policy Item: Yes ___  No ___X__)

Staff recommends that the Board of Supervisors adopt a resolution denying the appeal and upholding the Planning Director's action to approve with conditions Design Review Application APN 02-020-06.

BACKGROUND AND HISTORY OF BOARD ACTIONS:

No previous Board action.

LIST ALTERNATIVES AND CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION:

ALTERNATIVES: (1) Continue matter for further discussion; (2) Uphold appeal and deny application; (3) Uphold appeal in part and add conditions to approval of application.

NEGATIVE ACTION would result in upholding appeal and denying the application.

COSTS:  (X) Not Applicable
A. Budgeted current FY __________________________ $  
B. Total anticipated costs _________________________ $  
C. Required additional funding ____________________ $  
D. Internal transfers ________________________________ $  
SOURCE:  ( ) 4/5ths Vote Required  
A. Unanticipated revenues __________________________ $  
B. Reserve for contingencies ________________________ $  
C. Source description: ______________________________  
Balance in Reserve for Contingencies, if approved: $  

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:
List the attachments and number the pages consecutively:
1. Memo to Board  
2. Vicinity map  
3. Revised site plan  
4. Design improvement plan  
5. Planning Dept approval letter  
6. Public Works Dept Memo  
7. County Assessor Memo  
8. Appeal letter with exhibits

CLERK'S USE ONLY:
Res. No.: 32-401 Ord. No.
Vote - Ayes: __________________________ Noes: __________________________
Absent: __________________________ Abstained: __________________________
( ) Approved ( ) Denied
( ) Minute Order Attached ( ) No Action Necessary

The foregoing instrument is a correct copy of the original on file in this office.
Date: __________________________

ATTEST: __________________________  Clerk of the Board
County of Mariposa, State of California
By: __________________________  Deputy

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:
This item on agenda as:  
Recommended  
Not Recommended  
For Policy Determination  
Submitted with Comment  
Returned for Further Action  

Comment: __________________________

A.O. Initials: __________________________

Action Form Revised 5/92
MARIPOSA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MINUTE ORDER

TO: TONY LASHBROOK, PLANNING DIRECTOR

FROM: MARGIE WILLIAMS, CLERK OF THE BOARD

RE: PUBLIC HEARING/RANDOL, APPLICANT

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF MARIPOSA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

ADOPTED THIS Order on July 21, 1992

ACTION AND VOTE:

2:32 p.m. Tony Lashbrook, Planning Director;
   A) PUBLIC HEARING to Consider Appeal of Planning Director’s
      Approval with Conditions of Design Review Application APN 02-020-06/Randol, Applicant; Concerned Citizens for the Preservation of
      the Coulterville Central Historic District, Appellants
      BOARD ACTION: Hearing was opened and continued to after the
      following item.

3:30 p.m. Continued PUBLIC HEARING to consider appeal of Design
Review Application APN 02-020-06. Tony Lashbrook provided staff
report. Input on behalf of the appellants was received from:
Teri Steagall, Joan Tune, Ann Stewart, Gail Guaglianone, Jackie
Austin, Karen Fielding, Dorothy Miller, Doug Balmain, Ross
Miller, John DeBouver, Doris Weston, Fred Steagall, and Walt
McClary. Input on behalf of the applicant was received from:
Marilyn Bowan. The following responded to Board questions
concerning the advisory committee’s recommendations: Harter
Bruch and Joyce McSharry. (M)Radanovich, (S)Erickson, to deny
appeal failed by the following vote - Ayes: Erickson,
Radanovich; Noes: Punte, Taber; Excused: Baggett. County
Counsel was requested to provide an opinion on whether the above
constitutes an action or whether the appeal should be heard by
the full Board. (M)Punte (who passed the gavel), (S)Radanovich,
Res. 92-401 adopted upholding appeal and approving design review
requirements with the following change: the required siding is
to be extended to ground level to provide a skirt screen around
all sides of the mobile home. Motion was amended to delete
requirement for an extended porch. Ayes: Punte, Erickson,
Radanovich; Noes: Taber; Excused: Baggett.

cc: Public Works Director
    County Counsel
    Assessor
    File
MARIPOSA COUNTY RESOLUTION NO. 92-401

A RESOLUTION UPHOLDING IN PART AN APPEAL OF DESIGN REVIEW APPLICATION APN 02-020-06 AND MODIFYING THE PLANNING DIRECTOR’S ACTION ON SAID APPLICATION

WHEREAS, an appeal has been received by the Mariposa County Board of Supervisors on the Planning Director’s action to approve with conditions Design Review Application APN 02-020-06; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has held a duly noticed public hearing on the appeal in accordance with State Law and County Code; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has considered the Planning Director’s action and all public comments received on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors hereby upholds the appeal in part and hereby modifies the Planning Director’s action on Design Review Application APN 02-020-06. Condition No. 4 of the Planning Director’s approval as stated in his letter to the applicant dated June 11, 1992 is hereby modified to read as follows:

"The siding required by Condition No. 1 shall be extended from the bottom of the mobile home to ground level to provide a skirt screen around all sides of the mobile home."

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Mariposa County Board of Supervisors on this 21st day of June, 1992 by the following vote:

AYES: Erickson, Punte, Radanovich

NOES: Taber

ABSTAINED: None

EXCUSED: Baggett
SALLY S. PUNTE, Chairperson
Mariposa County Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

MARGIE WILLIAMS, Clerk of the Board

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGAL SUFFICIENCY:

JEFFREY E. GREEN, County Counsel
VICINITY MAP
"WILD DOVE LANE"
ASSessor PAGES: 09-200; 09-230
ROAD LENGTH: 1/2 MILE
OFF OF JERSEYDALE ROAD
LOCATED IN: 5 1/2 SEC. 35, T. 45, R. 19 E.