 Resolution denying the appeal and upholding the Planning Commission’s finding that APN 14-340-04 has been illegally divided in violation of the Subdivision Map Act and the County Subdivision Ordinance and the Commission’s actions to record Notices of Violation on the parcels and to revoke the certificates of development previously recorded on the parcels. This recommendation is based on the attached legal opinion of County Counsel addressing the issues raised by the appellant.

BACKGROUND AND HISTORY OF BOARD ACTIONS:

No previous Board action.

LIST ALTERNATIVES AND CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION:

ALTERNATIVES: (1) Continue matter for further discussion; (2) Direct staff to provide additional information; (3) Uphold the appeal.

NEGATIVE ACTION would result in the appeal being upheld and the Planning Commission’s actions being overturned.
MARIPOSA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MINUTE ORDER

TO: TONY LASHBROOK, PLANNING DIRECTOR

FROM: MARGIE WILLIAMS, CLERK OF THE BOARD

RE: PUBLIC HEARING/KONOPACKI

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF MARIPOSA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

ADOPTED THIS Order on July 28, 1992

ACTION AND VOTE:

10:34 a.m. Tony Lashbrook, Planning Director;
PUBLIC HEARING to Consider Appeal of Planning
Commission’s Decision on Subdivision Violation of the
Subdivision Map Act and the County Subdivision
Ordinance on Division of APN 14-340-04 into Five
Parcels/Konopacki, Property Owners, Appellants
BOARD ACTION: Tony presented staff report.
Presentation on behalf of appellant: Attorney Richard
Moser, representing Ed and Janice Konopacki; and Ken
Melton, representing Stan Konopacki. Persons speaking
in opposition to the appellant: Samuel Chernoff,
Martha Chernoff, Sue Distaso, Gene Bower, Linda Bower,
and Michael Distaso. There was no rebuttal by
appellant. Public portion of hearing was reopened for
Ed Konopacki to respond to questions by the Board.
Input was provided by Linda Bower and Jan Babcock.
Board commenced deliberations. (M)Taber,
(S)Radanovich, Res. 92-408 adopted denying appeal and
upholding the Planning Commission’s decision/Ayes:
Unanimous.

cc: File