

MARIPOSA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MINUTE ORDER

TO: TONY LASHBROOK, PLANNING DIRECTOR
FROM: MARGIE WILLIAMS, CLERK OF THE BOARD *MW*
RE: APPEAL OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S DECISION ON
LAND DIVISION APPLICATION NO. 1386

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF MARIPOSA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

ADOPTED THIS Order on October 15, 1991

ACTION AND VOTE:

10:35 a.m. Tony Lashbrook, Planning Director;

A) Continued PUBLIC HEARING to Consider the Appeal of the Planning Commission's Decision on Land Division Application No. 1386, Kowalski, Applicant; Rassau, et al., Appellants (Continued from 10/8/91)

BOARD ACTION: Tony Lashbrook/Planning Director, provided updated staff report. Public portion of hearing was reopened for input on the new information presented. Olga Rassau/representing appellants advised they had nothing to add. George Nunes provided input. Marty Allen/Freeman and Seaman Land Surveyors - representing applicant, advised they agree to the mitigation measures and revised findings. Res. **91-498** adopted upholding the appeal and the adoption of a Negative Declaration for the project based upon a Modified Initial Study with revised Mitigation Measures and approve the project with amended conditions/Ayes: (M)Baggett, (S)Punte, Erickson, Taber; Noes: Radanovich.

cc: File

MARIPOSA COUNTY
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA
ACTION FORM

10-15-91
DATE: October 8, 1991 Cont'd
AGENDA ITEM NO.: 8 10-A

DEPARTMENT: Planning

BY: Roger Evans

PHONE: 966-5151

RECOMMENDED ACTION AND JUSTIFICATION: (Policy Item: Yes ___ No X)

Resolution upholding the appeal and the adoption of a Negative Declaration for the project based upon a Modified Initial Study with revised Mitigation Measures and approve the project with amended conditions.

BACKGROUND AND HISTORY OF BOARD ACTIONS:

Public hearing continued from 9/17/91 Board Meeting.

LIST ALTERNATIVES AND CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION:

Alternatives: (1) Continue; (2) Uphold appeal and modify Initial Study to require preparation of an E.I.R.; (3) Deny project based on findings; (4) Deny appeal.

Negative action would result in denying appeal and upholding Planning Commission's decision.

COSTS: () Not Applicable
A. Budgeted current FY \$ _____
B. Total anticipated costs \$ _____
C. Required Add'l funding \$ _____
D. Source: _____

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:
List the attachments and number the pages consecutively:
1) Memo to Board
2) Vicinity map
3) Tentative Parcel Map
4) Letter from Jerry Progner, SCS
5) RI & CP Standard regarding off-site improvements

SOURCE: () 4/5ths Vote Required
A. Internal transfers \$ _____
B. Unanticipated revenues \$ _____
C. Reserve for contingency \$ _____
D. Description: _____
Balance in Reserve for Contingencies, if approved: \$ _____

CLERK'S USE ONLY:

Res. No.: _____
Ord. No.: _____
Vote - Ayes: _____ Noes: _____
Absent: _____ Abstained: _____
() Approved () Denied
10/8 (pm) Minute Order Attached 10/15

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER'S

RECOMMENDATION:

This item on agenda as:

- Recommended
- Not Recommended
- For Policy Determination
- Submitted with Comment
- Returned for Further Action

The foregoing instrument is a correct copy of the original on file in this office.

Date: _____

ATTEST: MARGIE WILLIAMS
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
County of Mariposa, State of CA

By: _____
Deputy

Comment: _____

A.O. Initials: Drum / [Signature]

MARIPOSA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MINUTE ORDER

TO: TONY LASHBROOK, PLANNING DIRECTOR
FROM: MARGIE WILLIAMS, CLERK OF THE BOARD *MW*
RE: PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER THE APPEAL OF THE
PLANNING COMMISSION'S DECISION ON LAND DIVISION
APPLICATION NO. 1386

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF MARIPOSA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

ADOPTED THIS Order on October 8, 1991

ACTION AND VOTE:

10:43 a.m. Continued PUBLIC HEARING to Consider the Appeal of the Planning Commission's Decision on Land Division Application No. 1386, Kowalski, Applicant; Rassau, et al., Appellants (Continued from 9/17/91) (Planning Director)
BOARD ACTION: Tony Lashbrook/Planning Director, provided updated staff report. Public portion of hearing was reopened for input on the new information presented. Olga Rassau/representing appellants, requested a continuance of the hearing due to the new information just having been received. Marty Allen/Freeman and Seaman Land Surveyors - representing applicant, advised they were willing to agree to the mitigation measures. Hearing was continued to later this morning for Mr. Allen to contact the applicant relative to continuing this hearing for a week.

11:14 a.m. Continued PUBLIC HEARING to Consider the Appeal of the Planning Commission's Decision on Land Division Application No. 1386, Kowalski, Applicant; Rassau, et al., Appellants. Marty Allen advised that the applicant agrees to a one week continuance. Mr. Nunes/neighboring property owner, provided input concerning access to his property. County Counsel advised input next week would be limited to the new information brought before the Board this date. Hearing was continued to October 15, 1991, at 10:30 a.m.

cc: File