MARIPOSA COUNTY RESOLUTION NO. 83-345

State of California

The Board of Supervisors of Mariposa County, a political subdivision
of the State of California, hereby resolves as follows:

WHEREAS, Mariposa County received a request to amend the Land Use
Element of the General Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Mariposa County Board of Supervisors, acting as the lead
agency in accordance with Section 15031 of the California Administrative Code
has determined that an Environmental Impact Report is necessary to analyze the
potential environmental effects of the project; and

WHEREAS, a draft Environmental Impact Report was prepared in accordance
with Section 21040 of the Public Resources Code, otherwise known as the Calif-
ornia Environmental Quality Act, (C.E.Q.A.), and the adopted Mariposa County
Environmental Review Policies and Procedures; and

WHEREAS, public review on the draft Environmental Impact Report was
established in accordance with C.E.Q.A. and County Environmental Review Policies
and Procedures; and

WHEREAS, responses to the significant environmental points raised
during the public review period have been responded to by the lead agency in
accordance with C.E.Q.A.; and

NOW, THEREFORE, this Board determines that the Environmental Impact
Report for the General Plan Amendment No. 82-14 G and 82-27 G is certified in
compliance with Section 21080 et. seq. of the Public Resources Code, otherwise
known as the "California Environmental Quality Act" (C.E.Q.A.) in accordance
with the following:

The Final Environmental Impact Report shall consist of the following:

1. The Draft Environmental Impact Report prepared by Environmental
Consultant Service.
2. Modifications to the Draft E.I.R. by the Board of Supervisors as contained in "Attachment A" of this resolution.

3. A copy of all written comments received in regard to the Environmental Impact Report and the Board of Supervisors responses to all such comments as contained in "Attachment E, 1-3" of this resolution.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Mariposa County Board of Supervisors this 6th day of December, 1983 by the following vote:

AYES: Barrick, Dalton, Moffitt, Taber

NOES: Erickson

EXCUSED: None

ABSTAINED: None

ERIC J. ERICKSON, Chairman
Mariposa County Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

LYNNE ROBINSON, Acting County Clerk
and Ex Officio Clerk of the Board
"ATTACHMENT A"

MODIFICATIONS TO TEST OF DRAFT EIR

Page 15, Mitigation Measure No. 2

Existing mitigation measure is removed and replaced with the following:

"No. 2 All Mariposa County Road Standards should be adhered to."

Page 15, Mitigation Measure No. 5, addition as follows:

"No. 5 Because of the topography and geologic conditions, a mitigation measure is to hold the over-all density to four (4) parcels for each of the two parcels under consideration with a limitation of two dwellings per parcel on the Stoel parcel and one dwelling per parcel on the Butler parcel."
Subject: SCH# 83100301, General Plan Amendments 82-14-G and 82-27-G

Dear Mr. Mackenzie:

The State Clearinghouse submitted the above named environmental document to selected state agencies for review. The review period is closed and none of the state agencies have comments.

This letter certifies only that you have complied with the State Clearinghouse review requirements for draft environmental documents, pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (EIR Guidelines, Section 15161.5). Where applicable, this should not be construed as a waiver of any jurisdictional authority or title interests of the State of California.

The project may still require approval from state agencies with permit authority or jurisdiction by law. If so, the state agencies will have to use the environmental document in their decision-making. Please contact them immediately after the document is finalized with a copy of the final document, the Notice of Determination, adopted mitigation measures, and any statements of overriding considerations.

Once the document is adopted (Negative Declaration) or certified (final EIR) and if a decision is made to approve the project, a Notice of Determination must be filed with the County Clerk. If the project requires discretionary approval from any state agency, the Notice of Determination must also be filed with the Secretary for Resources (EIR Guidelines, Sections 15083(f) and 15085 (h)).

Sincerely,

Terry Roberts
Manager
State Clearinghouse
We are writing to you in opposition to the proposed land reclassification change for the Kent Stoel and Butler properties, from 40 acre parcels to 5 acre parcels. The reasons we are opposed to this are Stockton Creek Rd. is not capable of handling any more travel than it is getting at the present time for the condition it is in. The type of soil we have up in the Mc Bright gulch is red clay and a shale type rock which is a very poor soil for leaching a sewer, and the terrain is too steep to put in a decent road. This soil always has a tendency to slip off even with a good slope. We had our spring ruined a few years ago because the Stoel sewer system failed and washed out filling the spring with sewage. We sure don't want that to happen again, or to have a lot of dirt and debris wash down either. Mr. Stoel has an erosion problem right now from a new pad and road he recently built up on the hillside. The Mc Bright gulch area water comes mostly from springs which crop up all along the creek, and we are afraid putting a bunch more homes up on the hillside that sewage will get into the underground water status and contaminate them. The property on the other side of the mountain above and in back of the fairgrounds has a lot of houses real close together, and if more were added it would surely add to the possibility of contamination. If people want to develop property such as in this area they should put in a water system to take care of the water needs and a sewage disposal plant be installed in the Mormon Bar area to service the area and also the fairgrounds.

Sincerely

[Signature]

Constance Hull

RECEIVED

November 14, 1965

Maricopa Co.
Planning Commission
"ATTACHMENT B-3"

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS RECEIVED

1. Written comments received by Terry Roberts, Manager, State Clearinghouse, Governor's Office of Planning and Research.

Informational letter regarding processing, no response necessary.

2. Written comment received by William R. Hull and Constance A. Hull.

The Hull letter expresses concern regarding the inadequacy of Stockton Creek Road for increased traffic, poor soil for leaching, steep terrain, unstable soils, existing failing septic systems, erosion and contamination of sub-surface water supplies.

Response: The E.I.R. addresses all of the concerns expressed in the Hull letter. A general mitigation measure for all of the concerns addressed above is provided by the anticipated low density of development. (Four dwellings for each of the parcels). Additional mitigation measures for concerns related to septic disposal and contamination of groundwater are provided by current subdivision regulations and septic system installation requirements enforced by the County and the State. The Consultant that prepared the Draft E.I.R. conducted several percolation tests on the site and in his opinion as a Registered Sanitarian, the soil on the property is typical of the region and can adequately treat septic effluent if systems are properly designed and installed.

The Draft E.I.R. provides mitigation measures to reduce potential erosion impacts associated with future subdivisions on the property. The E.I.R. concludes that no significant erosion hazards will result from future subdivisions if County regulations regarding easement road construction and the County Grading Ordinance are enforced on the site.