DEPARTMENT: Planning

BY: Alvaro Arias, Assoc. Planner

PHONE: 742-1218

RECOMMENDED ACTION AND JUSTIFICATION:

Justification is provided in the Staff Report, Attachment A.

Action is based upon the recommendations of the Planning Commission and the Agricultural Advisory Committee.

BACKGROUND AND HISTORY OF BOARD ACTIONS:
All of the parcels were previously under Land Conservation Act Contract Nos. 88-4 and 84-2. Notices of Non-Renewal were filed in 2006 for these contracts.

ALTERNATIVES AND CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION:
Deny creation of the Agricultural Preserve; modify conditions of the Agricultural Preserve.

Contract must be executed and recorded prior to 5:00 p.m. on Friday, December 30, 2011 in order for tax advantages to be effective for the 2012 tax year.

Financial Impact? ( ) Yes (X) No   Current FY Cost: $  
Budgeted In Current FY? ( ) Yes ( ) No ( ) Partially Funded
Amount in Budget:   
Additional Funding Needed: $  
Source:   

Internal Transfer
Unanticipated Revenue  4/5's vote
Transfer Between Funds  4/5's vote
Contingency  4/5's vote
\(\) General  \(\) Other

CLERK’S USE ONLY:
Res. No.: 2571  Ord. No.  
Vote – Ayes: 5  Noes:  
Absent:  
Approved  
Minute Order Attached  \(\) No Action Necessary

The foregoing instrument is a correct copy of the original on file in this office.
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MARIPOSA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
MINUTE ORDER

TO:  KRIS SCHENK, Planning Director
FROM:  MARGIE WILLIAMS, Clerk of the Board


RES. 11-571

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF MARIPOSA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

ADOPTED THIS Order on November 22, 2011

ACTION AND VOTE:

Planning


BOARD ACTION:  Kris Schenk and Sarah Williams were present for the hearing to respond to questions. Alvaro Arias presented the staff report and he advised that the parcels were previously under Land Conservation Act Contract. Notices of Non-Renewal were filed in 2006, and this request is to place the parcels back under contract. He advised that one of the parcels does not meet the minimum parcel size and the recommended action will require that it be managed with a contiguous parcel for the life of the Contract. Staff responded to questions from the Board relative to managing the smaller parcel with another parcel; current agricultural operation of the land; as to whether the Agricultural Advisory Committee made a recommendation of recovering the loss of the property tax revenue; relative to the cost for this application and the applicant’s fee; timelines and process for determining which parcel will be managed with the smaller parcel; and relative to reporting requirements when a Notice of Non-Renewal is filed. The public portion of the hearing was opened and there was no input. The public portion of the hearing was closed and the Board commenced with deliberations. (M)Turpin, (S)Bibby, Res. 11-571 was adopted
approving Land Conservation Act Application No. 2011-079 with the recommended findings and conditions, and approving the execution of four new Land Conservation Act contracts as recommended. Supervisor Cann initiated discussion relative to the County not being able to recover the loss of property tax revenue when the Land Conservation Act Contracts are approved; and he stated he feels that the Board needs to have a discussion of whether to place a moratorium on additional applications. Ayes: Unanimous. The hearing was closed.

Cc: File