

DEPARTMENT: Public Works

BY: Douglas Wilson

PHONE: 966-5356

RECOMMENDED ACTION AND JUSTIFICATION:

Adopt a Resolution Allocating \$218,637 of the FY 2009-2010 Traffic Congestion Relief / Prop 42 Funding into the Road Improvement Budget Line (300-0301-581-0647) to Complete Preliminary Work on 1B Funded Projects and as Needed for Other Programmed Road Maintenance Projects.

approve budget action increasing Rev. & appropriations to recognize congestion Relief / Prop 42 funding. (4/5 vote)

On April 14, 2010 staff received the payment schedule from the State Controller's Office for the distribution of FY 2009-2010 payments. The Road Department is expected to recognize an estimated \$859,955.00 in Traffic Congestion Relief / Prop 42 funding in the FY 2009-2010 budget. Staff is requesting that the first payment in the amount of \$218,637 received on April 15, 2010 be allocated into the Road Department, Road Improvement budget line (300-0301-581-0647) so the preliminary work can be completed by the road crews for Proposition 1B funded road projects.

BACKGROUND AND HISTORY OF BOARD ACTIONS:

None

ALTERNATIVES AND CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION:

If the Traffic Congestion Relief / Prop 42 funding is not allocated into the road improvement budget line, it will be allocated throughout the FY 2010-2011 road budget.

Financial Impact? (X) Yes () No	Current FY Cost: \$218,637	Annual Recurring Cost:
Budgeted In Current FY? () Yes (X) No () Partially Funded		
Amount in Budget: \$		List Attachments, number pages consecutively
Additional Funding Needed: \$218,637		1. FY 2009-2010 Appropriation Projections
Source:		2. FY 2009-2010 Payment Schedule
Internal Transfer		3. Budget Action
Unanticipated Revenue <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4/5's vote		
Transfer Between Funds _____ 4/5's vote		
Contingency _____ 4/5's vote		
() General () Other		

CLERK'S USE ONLY:

Res. No.: 10-242 Ord. No. _____
Vote - Ayes: 5 Noes: _____
Absent: _____
Approved _____
() Minute Order Attached () No Action Necessary

The foregoing instrument is a correct copy of the original on file in this office.

Date: _____
Attest: MARGIE WILLIAMS, Clerk of the Board
County of Mariposa, State of California
By: _____
Deputy

COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER:

Requested Action Recommended
 No Opinion
Comments: _____

CAO: *[Signature]*

ID	COUNTY	NO. OF REGISTERED VEHICLES (4/08)	NO. OF MAINTAINED MILEAGE (4/08)	PROJECTED REVENUE FY 2009-10 (Estimated)
1	Alameda	1,149,575	495.39	\$ 9,659,815
2	Alpine	2,038	134.96	\$ 172,576
3	Amador	51,051	410.84	\$ 879,798
4	Butte	211,423	1,353.70	\$ 3,240,418
5	Calaveras	67,318	689.22	\$ 1,331,113
6	Colusa	25,929	716.75	\$ 1,035,919
7	Contra Costa	851,398	659.84	\$ 7,493,889
8	Del Norte	25,932	300.88	\$ 553,790
9	El Dorado	209,802	1,075.50	\$ 2,905,067
10	Fresno	670,649	3,563.16	\$ 9,431,466
11	Glenn	33,370	863.19	\$ 1,264,515
12	Humboldt	137,005	1,205.06	\$ 2,479,938
13	Imperial	139,950	2,561.57	\$ 4,075,941
14	Inyo	26,116	1,133.10	\$ 1,520,118
15	Kern	630,683	3,327.67	\$ 8,842,578
16	Kings	98,731	946.10	\$ 1,877,208
17	Lake	79,421	612.36	\$ 1,337,658
18	Lassen	35,722	878.64	\$ 1,301,014
19	Los Angeles	7,054,048	2,966.98	\$ 59,190,331
20	Madera	120,519	1,532.06	\$ 2,728,764
21	Marin	226,626	419.82	\$ 2,277,837
22	Mariposa	26,599	560.41	\$ 859,955
23	Mendocino	104,206	1,018.92	\$ 2,004,905
24	Merced	190,480	1,726.96	\$ 3,507,656
25	Modoc	13,187	987.40	\$ 1,249,009
26	Mono	16,452	684.42	\$ 923,537
27	Monterey	326,055	1,242.60	\$ 4,017,582
28	Napa	129,175	445.01	\$ 1,536,854
29	Nevada	120,739	560.79	\$ 1,604,417
30	Orange	2,353,013	313.86	\$ 18,960,515
31	Placer	346,883	1,052.75	\$ 3,962,082
32	Plumas	33,470	687.96	\$ 1,062,144
33	Riverside	1,577,871	2,671.26	\$ 15,567,470
34	Sacramento	1,140,198	2,194.40	\$ 11,555,533
35	San Benito	51,651	383.63	\$ 852,992
36	San Bernardino	1,548,162	2,822.22	\$ 15,507,694
37	San Diego	2,451,387	1,921.25	\$ 21,601,595
38	San Francisco	448,004	930.75	\$ 4,619,829
39	San Joaquin	532,969	1,653.70	\$ 6,129,520
40	San Luis Obispo	267,197	1,321.49	\$ 3,643,873

①

Revised FY 2009-10 Top 42 Projections: \$307 Million Appropriation for Counties

ID	COUNTY	NO. OF REGISTERED VEHICLES (4/08)	NO. OF MAINTAINED MILEAGE (4/08)	PROJECTED REVENUE FY 2009-10 (Estimated)
41	San Mateo	650,661	313.12	\$ 5,505,416
42	Santa Barbara	345,484	892.68	\$ 3,765,443
43	Santa Clara	1,399,998	684.10	\$ 11,857,781
44	Santa Cruz	225,039	602.94	\$ 2,477,602
45	Shasta	206,029	1,191.19	\$ 3,009,373
46	Sierra	5,289	390.25	\$ 494,257
47	Siskiyou	62,487	1,361.34	\$ 2,072,187
48	Solano	348,017	586.95	\$ 3,430,999
49	Sonoma	450,716	1,384.62	\$ 5,167,478
50	Stanislaus	420,414	1,545.37	\$ 5,114,360
51	Sutter	87,191	786.69	\$ 1,601,185
52	Tehama	64,133	1,089.38	\$ 1,769,886
53	Trinity	19,367	698.14	\$ 962,483
54	Tulare	322,713	3,047.10	\$ 6,083,300
55	Tuolumne	71,821	607.16	\$ 1,271,565
56	Ventura	699,159	545.51	\$ 6,158,141
57	Yolo	167,229	794.60	\$ 2,242,921
58	Yuba	62,559	650.59	\$ 1,248,717
	TOTAL	29,133,310	66,198.30	\$307,000,000

2

Barbara Carrier

From: Doug Wilson
Sent: Wednesday, April 14, 2010 12:27 PM
To: Barbara Carrier
Subject: FW: FY 2009-10 Prop 42 Payment Schedule

From: Kiana Buss [mailto:kbuss@counties.org]
Sent: Wednesday, April 14, 2010 12:02 PM
To: Kiana Buss
Subject: FY 2009-10 Prop 42 Payment Schedule

To: CEAC Transportation Committee

Re: **FY 2009-10 Prop 42 Payment Schedule**

The State Controller's Office has provided CSAC with the following FY 2009-10 Prop 42 payment schedule:

April 15, 2010 – 3rd Quarter

June 1, 2010 – 1st Quarter

June 2, 2010 – 2nd Quarter

July 15th – 4th Quarter

Thanks, Kiana