MARIPOSA COUNTY
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA ACTION FORM

DATE: 12/7/2010
AGENDA ITEM NO. CA-10

DEPARTMENT: PWD/Engineering

BY: Allen Toschi
PHONE: 966-5356

RECOMMENDED ACTION AND JUSTIFICATION: Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration for Site Plan Review No. 2010-123; Bridgeport Fire Station, adopt a Mitigation Monitoring Program as shown in Section E of the Initial Study prepared for the project, and authorize the Director of Public Works to file a Notice of Determination.

An Initial Study was prepared by the Mariposa County Planning Department to identify significant impacts and to recommend mitigation measures for the same. The Notice of Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration along with a copy of the Initial Study/proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration was circulated through the State Clearinghouse for the required 30-day period (October 20-November 18, 2010; State Clearinghouse No. 2010102031). The Notice of Intent was also mailed to property owners within 600 feet of the project site and all property owners within Golden Meadows Subdivision, and was noticed in the Mariposa Gazette in the October 21, 2010 issue. The local public review period ran from October 21-November 19, 2010. One comment was received on the Initial Study. That comment and response to the comment are shown in Exhibit 2.

BACKGROUND AND HISTORY OF BOARD ACTIONS:

Previously the Board of Supervisors earmarked $750,000 from the Tobacco Settlement Fund to be used for fire station construction.

ALTERNATIVES AND CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION:
  1. Approve the adoption and filing and have project proceed; or
  2. Not Approve and not proceed with the project.

Financial Impact? ( ) Yes (X) No Current FY Cost: $0.00
Budgeted In Current FY? ( ) Yes (X) No ( ) Partially Funded
Amount in Budget: $0.00
Additional Funding Needed: $0.00

Source:

Internal Transfer
Unanticipated Revenue
Transfer Between Funds
Contingency
( ) General ( ) Other

Clerk's Use Only:
Res. No.: 10-54
Vote – Ayes: Noes: 
Absent:

Approved

( ) Minute Order Attached ( ) No Action Necessary

The foregoing instrument is a correct copy of the original on file in this office.
Date:

Attest: MARGIE WILLIAMS, Clerk of the Board
County of Mariposa, State of California

By:

Deputy

Revised Dec. 2002
California Environmental Quality Act
Initial Study

A. PROJECT INFORMATION:

Project Title: Site Plan Review 2010-123; Bridgeport Fire Station

Lead Agency: Mariposa County Board of Supervisors

Date of Study: October 2010

Contact Person: Allen Toschi, Director
County of Mariposa Public Works Department
4639 Ben Hur Rd., Mariposa CA 95338
Phone: (209) 966-5356 Fax: (209) 966-2828
Email: atoschi@mariposacounty.org

Project Proponent: County of Mariposa

Project Description: The construction of an 8,250 sq. ft. Mariposa County volunteer fire station. The structure will have four bays for firefighting equipment. The facility will include two restrooms, a meeting room, office, and storage. A concrete apron would extend from the fire station to the asphalted encroachment off of Old Highway where fire fighting equipment will ingress/egress the site. A driveway would connect a roughly 8,000 sq. ft. parking area to the roadway. The graveled parking area will be of sufficient size to provide space for up to 20 vehicles. A roughly 2,400 sq. ft. concrete apron would extend from the eastern side of the structure. The project also includes a roughly 600 sq. ft. storage structure, three 10,000 gallon water tanks, well (existing) and a minimum 12-20 foot driveway from the Creek Ridge Rd. cul-de-sac to the parking area, with a driveway connecting the main driveway to the front of the structure. There will be two ingress/egress points on the project site. Primary access will be from Old Highway; secondary access will be from Creek Ridge Rd.

Project Location: The project site is located in the Bridgeport area roughly five miles southwest of the community of Mariposa. The address for the property is 2746 Creek Ridge Rd. The assessor’s parcel number is 017-390-015. The property is in projected section 3, T. 6S, R.18.E, MDB&M, Rancho Las Mariposas. The property is located on the USGS 7.5’ Mariposa Quadrangle. The 5.13-acre parcel was part of Major Subdivision Application (MSA) No. 2004-186 approved by the Mariposa County Planning Commission on August 19, 2003. The project site is Parcel D as shown on the final map for the subdivision, which was recorded on August 8, 2007 in Book of Maps at Page 2773, Mariposa County Records. The name of the subdivision is Golden Meadows.

Site Characteristics: The terrain and vegetation on the project site are typical of land at this elevation in the Sierra foothills. The site is at the roughly 1,550 foot
elevation. The terrain is relatively flat to up to 25% slope where the land drops off to an unnamed blue-line drainage, which is a tributary to Buckeye Creek. Water from Buckeye Creek drains into Agua Fria Creek. All of these drainages are part of the general Mariposa Creek drainage system. The fire station would be located in the central/western portion of the parcel where the terrain is relatively flat. Vegetation on the site consists of native grasses, blue oak, white oak, interior live oak, bull pine, as well as buck brush, manzanita, and other native shrubs. The mitigation measures adopted for MSA No. 2006-184 required the establishment of a 50-feet open space setback from the centerline of the drainage. The only development that can occur within the setback is water wells, water pipes, underground and above-ground power lines and similar structures or improvements, subject to approval from the California Department of Fish and Game. The project does not propose development within the setback.

**General Plan/Zoning:**
Residential land use classification/Mountain Home zone. Fire stations are permitted uses in the Mountain Home zone (Section 17.108.060(D), Title 17, Mariposa County Zoning Ordinance).

**Surrounding Land Uses:**
Property to the east, north, and south is used for low-density residential development. Property to the west is used for agricultural purposes.

**Other Public Agency Approval/Permitting:**
Other than the lead agency, there are no other public agencies that have approval authority over this project. The Mariposa County Public Works Department will issue an encroachment permit for the project. The Mariposa County Health Department will issue a sewage disposal permit for the project.

**Additional Documents:**
An initial study was prepared for Major Subdivision Application No. 2006-186 (Golden Meadows) and, where applicable, that document will be referenced in this Initial Study. All of the documents cited and relied upon in the preparation of this initial study are available at the Mariposa County Planning Department and are hereby incorporated into the record for this Initial Study. In addition to the previous initial study, documents reviewed and consulted in the preparation of this initial study were Title 17, Mariposa County Zoning Code and the Mariposa County General Plan 2006.
B. PROJECT IMPACT TO ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS:

(blank): no impact
L: Less than Significant Impact
M: Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation
PS: Potentially Significant

| M | Aesthetics |
| M | Biological Resources |
| L | Greenhouse Gas Emissions |
|   | Land Use/Planning |
| L | Population/Housing |
| L | Transportation/Traffic |
| M | Agriculture/Forest Res. |
| M | Cultural Resources |
| L | Hazards/Haz. Materials |
| M | Mineral Resources |
| L | Public Services |
| L | Utilities/Service Systems |
| L | Air Quality |
| M | Geology/Soils |
| L | Hydrology/Water Qual. |
| L | Noise |
|   | Recreation |
| M | Mandatory Findings of Significance |

This study found potentially significant impacts relating to the issues of aesthetics, i.e. visual impacts from water storage tanks and impacts on nighttime views from exterior lighting. The project also has the potential to significantly impact nesting birds, cultural resources, and sewage disposal. Mitigation measures are proposed to reduce these potentially significant impacts to less than significant levels. These measures are shown in the Aesthetics, Biological Resources, Cultural Resources, and Geology and Soils sections as well as Section D – Proponent’s Agreement to Mitigation and Section E – Mitigation Monitoring.
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Section A
CEQA DETERMINATION OF IMPACT

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

1) I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

2) I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

3) I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

4) I find the proposed project MAY have a “potentially significant impact” or “Less Than Significant With Mitigation” impact on the environment, but at least one effect: 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.

5) I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects: (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR, or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

By: Skip Strathearn Date: 10/15/10
Title: Senior Planner Representing: County of Mariposa
Mariposa County Planning Dept.
Signature: Signature
### Section B

#### CEQA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

#### EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

## B.1 AESTHETICS

### 1. AESTHETICS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Would the project:</th>
<th>Potentially significant impact</th>
<th>Less than significant with mitigation incorporation</th>
<th>Less than significant impact</th>
<th>No impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including but not limited to: trees, rock outcappings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B.1.a Scenic Vista

A significant impact would be one that has a substantially adverse effect on a scenic vista.

The Bridgeport Fire Station project site and general area are heavily wooded and the project will be shielded from broad view sheds due to this vegetation and terrain. Due to tree removal and the proximity of the fire station structure to Old Highway the fire station will be seen by motorists traveling on Old Highway. The project, however, will not interfere with an open, scenic vista in the area. The facility will also be screened from open vistas by rock outcappings. The project will have a less than significant impact on a scenic vista.

### B.1.b State Scenic Highway

A significant impact would be one that substantially damages scenic resources such as trees, rock outcappings and historic buildings along a state scenic highway. The project area is not located near nor will it be visible from a state scenic highway. Thus, the project will have no impact.

### B.1.c Visual Character

A significant impact would be one that substantially degrades the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings. Preliminary plans show that the fire station would be 22 feet high at the portion of the structure where the vehicle bays would be located. A four-foot wide steeple located on the roof above the vehicle bays would extend an additional eight feet above the roof line. The structure meets the maximum structure height standard of 35 feet contained in Section 17.108.140, Title 17, Mariposa County Zoning Ordinance. As noted above, the proposed fire station structure, which will be 8,250 sq. ft.
in size, will be visible from Old Highway. The station will be oriented towards the west side of the parcel so as to more readily access Old Highway. Vegetation, terrain, and rock outcroppings will significantly screen the facility from vehicles as they approach the property from the north and south. Therefore, the visual impact on area roadways is not considered to be significant. To meet setback standards the fire station structure will be required to be located roughly 55 feet from the centerline of Old Highway and 25 feet from the front property line. The structure will only be visible to vehicular traffic along the roadway in the immediate area. Terrain, vegetation and rock outcroppings will screen the facility from approaching traffic.

Vegetation and terrain, as well as large boulders at the northern, southern, and eastern edges of the development area on the site will significantly screen the fire station and appurtenant uses from neighboring properties to the north, south, and east. The fire station will be visible from neighboring property to the west; this property, however, is under Williamson Act Contract, zoned Agriculture Exclusive, and is used for agricultural/grazing purposes and, therefore, would be largely unaffected by development of the fire station. The residence on this property is located to the northwest. Distance, terrain, and vegetation will significantly screen the facility from this residence.

The fire station structure will be significantly screened from a home site on the parcel to the southeast across Creek Ridge Road and its cul-de-sac due to the fire station’s location at the western side of the parcel. The site plan shows that the structure will be located roughly 250 feet from the southeastern side of the cul-de-sac, where a driveway to serve the residential property to the southeast (Parcel E in Golden Meadows Subdivision) would be located. This property contains large rock outcroppings and trees that will stand between the home site and the fire station.

Depending upon where the owner of the neighboring residential property to the southeast would place a residence, appurtenant facilities such as a storage facility may be seen from the parcel, but most likely not from a home site. These facilities may be partially screened by rock outcroppings and vegetation depending upon the visual perspective. These types of facilities are similar in nature to those developed on residential parcels and are not expected to have a significant visual impact on the property to the southeast. The proposed graveled parking lot will be largely, if not entirely, screened from this property by terrain, rock outcroppings, and existing vegetation. In addition, the project proposes to plant trees in the vicinity of the fire station structure, parking area and water storage tanks to replace the trees removed during construction, and these trees will help screen these facilities. The height of the proposed structure (22 feet, excluding the area with the 8-foot steeple) would be similar to two-story residences. The color of the metal structure will be a neutral color, such as tan, with darker trim and roof. Due to these factors, the proposed fire station facility will have a less than significant impact on the visual character of the area.

As noted previously, the project includes three 10,000 gallon tanks. The Mariposa County Fire Department states that the tanks will be 10' 6" in diameter and 12' 6" high. (The height is a slight estimate since they are slightly domed to a peak in the center). The plastic tanks will be fade-resistant and hunter green in color. Water storage tanks are common in rural residential development; however the proposed fire station tanks are much larger than the average 1,500-2,500 tanks typically used at residences. The tanks will be screened from neighboring properties to the north and northeast by terrain, vegetation, and rock outcroppings. The tanks are located roughly 80 feet from the cul-de-sac, according to the site plan, and may be visible from the neighboring property to the southeast, Parcel E, and other residential properties to the south. The site plan shows that three trees will be planted on the south and north sides of the tank area. These trees, along with existing vegetation, terrain, rock outcroppings, and distance to residences on the neighboring parcels, will help screen the tanks from residences. There is the potential, however, for the tanks to result in visual impacts on neighboring properties. This is considered to be a
potentially significant requiring mitigation to reduce this impact to a less than significant level. That measure follows:

**MITIGATION MEASURE 1.c.1**

A landscaping plan designed to help screen the water storage tanks from the neighboring property located to the southeast (Parcel E as shown on the final map for Golden Meadows subdivision recorded on August 8, 2007 in Book of Maps at Page 2773, Mariposa County Records), and neighboring properties to the south shall be submitted to the Mariposa County Planning Director for review and approval prior to the issuance of construction permits for the project. Trees used as screen shall be native to the area and shall be 15 gallons in size at the time of planting and shall be planted prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy for the fire station structure. The landscaping plan, which shall be in substantial conformance to the vegetation plan prepared for the project with respect to tree removal and replacement, shall show and/or describe the irrigation system to be used for watering the trees and additional landscaping.

**Monitoring for Mitigation Measure 1.c.1:** This mitigation measure will be monitored by the Mariposa County Planning Department through the building permit process.

**B.1.d  Create Light or Glare**

A significant impact would be one that creates a new source of substantial light or glare that would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area. As noted above, excluding the visual impact from the water storage tanks on property to the south and southeast, the fire station facility will have a less than significant impact on the visual quality of neighboring properties due to the proposed facility's location on the western side of the parcel, terrain, rock outcroppings, vegetation and distance to residences. Also, as noted above, the fire station structure will be located roughly 250 feet from the location of a driveway onto the parcel to the southeast.

The Conservation and Open Space chapter of the Mariposa County General Plan contains a policy and implementation measure relating to limiting light and glare impacts from new development.

Policy 11-1d states the following:

"Ensure that light sources in new development are compatible with rural character and that the light sources do not produce glare that interferes with vision."

This policy is followed by Implementation Measure 11-1d(1) that states:

"Include as part of the comprehensive development standards:

- Lighting standards established by the International Dark Sky Association; and
- Require that building materials have a low reflective index."

The standards contained in the general plan have not been codified. Therefore, there is not a mechanism for requiring commercial projects to address lighting impacts.

Although the overall impact of the fire station on the visual character of the area is not considered to be significant, the facility is located in an area with low density residential and agricultural uses and the nighttime environment is characterized by limited light generation. The type of light generated is that
which is common in low density residential areas. Given the size of the facility and the fact that it is an emergency services provider, and that some security lighting will be required, including for the parking lot, and that currently there is no formal mechanism to require exterior lighting to meet Dark Sky Standards, the potential impact on the area from exterior lighting is considered to be potentially significant requiring mitigation to reduce this potentially significant impact to a less than significant level.

**Mitigation Measure 1.d.1**

All exterior lighting including that attached to the fire station structure shall be hooded so as to direct light onto the object or area to be lighted and shall be consistent with lighting standards established by the International Dark Sky Association to reduce the potential for light to present a nuisance to neighboring property owners to the maximum extent feasible, while providing adequate security lighting and safety lighting for parking and pedestrian areas. A final exterior lighting plan shall be submitted to the Mariposa County Planning Director for review for compliance to these standards and approval prior to the issuance of building permits for the project.

**Monitoring for Mitigation Measure 1.d.1:** This mitigation measure will be monitored by the Mariposa County Planning Department through the building permit process.

The location of the fire station structure at the western side of the project site to allow ready access to Old Highway, as well as terrain, vegetation, rock outcroppings and distance to residences, will significantly limit impacts from glare on neighboring residential properties. Therefore, the impact from glare is considered to be less than significant.

---

### B.2 AGRICULTURE and FOREST RESOURCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2. AGRICULTURE RESOURCES</th>
<th>Potentially significant impact</th>
<th>Less than significant with mitigation incorporation</th>
<th>Less than significant impact</th>
<th>No impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)) or timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code section 4526)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Result in loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?  

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B.2.a  Farmland
A significant impact would be one that converts farmland designated as “prime,” “unique” or “farmland of statewide importance” to nonagricultural uses. The project site is located in an area identified as “Grazing” land on Mariposa County Important Farmland Map, 2008, prepared by the state Department of Conservation under the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program. *The project will have no impact on "prime," "unique," or "farmland of statewide importance."*

B.2.b  Agricultural Zoning/Williamson Act Contract
A significant impact would be one that caused a conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use or a Williamson Act contract. The project site is in the Residential land use classification and is zoned Mountain Home, a five-acre minimum density zone. The project will not conflict with agricultural zoning or an agricultural use. Land located to the west and north of the project site across Old Highway is zoned Agriculture Exclusive (160-acre minimum parcel size) and is governed by Williamson Act contract. *The project site, however, is not governed by such a contract. There will be no conflict between this use and the Williamson Act property. The project will have no impact on this issue.*

B.2.c  Forest Land Zoning
A significant impact would occur if the project resulted in a conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land. The parcel on which the fire station would be constructed is a 5.13-acre parcel that is part of a residential subdivision that is in conformance with the Mountain Home zone, a primarily residential zone. The project site is not zoned as forest land, nor will it cause rezoning of forest land as defined by Public Resources Code section 12220g or timberland as defined in Public Resources Code section 4526. *The project will have no impact on this issue.*

B.2.d  Loss or Conversion of Forest Land
A significant impact would occur if the project resulted in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to a non-forest use. The 5.13-acre project site is not considered to be forest land. *The project will have no impact on this issue.*

B.2.e  Conversion of Farmland/Forest Land
A significant impact would occur if the project resulted in the conversion of farmland or forest land to non-agricultural or non-forest use. The project will not change the existing environment in a manner that would lead to the conversion of farmland to a non-agricultural use, nor does it lead to the conversion of forest land to non-forest use. *The project will have no impact on this issue.*

B.3  AIR QUALITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3. AIR QUALITY –</th>
<th>Potentially significant impact</th>
<th>Less than significant with mitigation incorporation</th>
<th>Less than significant impact</th>
<th>No impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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B.3. a, b, c  Air Quality Plan/Standards

A significant impact would be one that conflicts with or obstructs implementation of the applicable air quality plan or that violates any air quality standard or contributes substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation. Under the California Clean Air Act of 1988, districts designated as non-attainment for state Clean Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS) must submit a plan for attaining or maintaining state standards for these pollutants.

Mariposa County is located within the Mountain Counties Air Basin (MCAB) and is under the jurisdiction of the Mariposa County Air Pollution Control District (MCAPCD). The MCAPCD has not yet adopted recommended significance thresholds for air quality review of development projects subject to the California Environmental Quality Act within its district boundaries. MCAPCD is currently preparing a New Source Review Rule that should contain some thresholds. Mariposa County is classified as either attainment or unclassified status for all federal air quality standards, except ozone. MCAPCD was going to be required to prepare an attainment plan; however, air quality modeling has shown that the County would be in attainment by 2009, so the state did not require such a plan to be prepared. The fire station project will not conflict with an air quality plan nor will it contribute to an existing or projected air quality violation. Fire stations house fire-fighting equipment that is utilized on an intermittent and limited basis, even during the fire season. Vehicular traffic is limited with these facilities, and the use generates negligible air emissions within the context of the overall air basin.

Grading:

Grading activities during the construction of the fire station may temporarily increase the level of dust PM$_{10}$ in the air that may have a localized temporary effect on ambient air quality. However, grading will be required to comply with Chapter 15.28 – Grading Excavation, Title 15, Buildings and Construction, Mariposa County Code. As required by this chapter, compaction requirements for grading must be met. For grading work during the winter months, no additional soil moisture is needed to meet the compaction requirements and dust emissions are not typical. For grading work during the summer months, addition of soil moisture is typically necessary in order to meet compaction requirements. Consequently, dust
emissions are minimized. Fire equipment and other vehicles entering and exiting the site will only travel on Creek Ridge Road, a gravel road, for a short distance from its intersection with Old Highway, which is paved. Thus, the project will have a less than significant impact on dust creation.

B.3.d. e Sensitive Receptors/Objectionable Odors
A significant impact would be one that exposes sensitive receptors to pollutant concentrations. The project is not of a nature that would create pollutant concentrations. Fire stations are not the type of use that will expose sensitive receptors to pollutant concentrations or objectionable odors. The project will have no impact on these issues.

B.4 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES</th>
<th>Potentially significant impact</th>
<th>Less than significant impact with mitigation incorporation</th>
<th>Less than significant impact</th>
<th>No impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
<td>![Checkmark]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B.4.a  **Listed Species**

A significant impact would be one that has a substantial adverse effect on any listed species. An initial study was prepared for Golden Meadows Subdivision and a mitigated negative declaration was adopted by the Mariposa County Planning Commission when it approved the project on August 19, 2005. The parcel on which the fire station is proposed to be constructed is Parcel D on the recorded map for that project. That initial study stated that California Department of Fish and Game’s Natural Diversity Data Base and Areas of Special Biological Importance Map indicated that Mariposa lupine, a state threatened species, and Mariposa pinnata, a federal threatened species had the potential to be on the project site. A botanical survey was conducted on the site in April 2005 by Ann Mendershausen, botanical consultant, and no evidence of these species was found. The survey found that the project area lacked the specific lithic habitat required for these species. The initial study concluded that the implementation of the subdivision would have no impact on these species. **Based upon the conclusions of the previous initial study, the implementation of the fire project would have no impact on a listed species.**

B.4.b, c  **Riparian Habitat/Wetland**

A significant impact would be one that adversely affects riparian habitat or another sensitive natural community and/or a wetland area. The initial study prepared for Golden Meadows, of which the parcel proposed for the fire station, Parcel D, is a part, addressed the subdivision’s potential to impact a blue-line drainage that traverses the site. The study concluded that the project had the potential to have a significant impact on this drainage and proposed a 100-foot wide (50-foot from centerline) open space setback be established to protect that drainage area. The northern boundary of Parcel D is the centerline of the drainage. The Mariposa County Planning Commission adopted the measure to mitigate potential impacts to the drainage and the recorded map for Golden Meadows shows this open space setback area extending 50 feet into Parcel D. The only facilities that can be located within the setback are water wells, water pipes, underground and above-ground power lines and similar structures or improvements, subject to approval from the California Department of Fish and Game. In addition, the northern portion of Parcel D is steep as it falls toward the drainage and no development is proposed within the 50-foot area. **The project will have no impact on any riparian/wetland area.**

B.4.d  **Wildlife Movement/Nursery Sites**

A significant impact would be one that interferes with the movement of native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species, or with migration corridors, or one which impedes the use of native wildlife nursery sites. The removal of active bird nests could be considered a violation of Fish and Game Code sections 3503 (regarding unlawful take, possession, or needless destruction of the nest or eggs of any bird), 3503.5 (regarding take, possession, or destruction of any birds-of-prey or their nests or eggs), and 3513 (regarding unlawful take or possession of any migratory bird). When commenting on development projects, the California Department of Fish and Game typically recommends that if trees are going to be removed, the work should be done outside of the normal bird breeding season or the trees should be surveyed for nests prior to their removal. Implementation Measure 11-4a(2) in the Mariposa County General Plan states that site development and grading review should minimize the removal of native trees and groves of trees. The proposed fire station would be 8,250 square feet in size, a parking area would be roughly 8,000 square feet in size, and additional ground on the lot would be disturbed by driveways and aprons. Site development will require the removal of 16 trees (14 oaks and two bull pine). Although the project proposes the planting of replacement trees, bird nests could be impacted during initial tree
removal. This is considered to be a potentially significant impact requiring mitigation to reduce this impact to a less than significant level.

**MITIGATION MEASURE 4.d.1**

Any tree removal or pruning that is necessary to implement the fire station project shall occur between September 15 and January 31, the time-frame which is outside of the general avian nesting season. Should such tree removal or pruning occur between February 1 and September 15 a pre-construction survey conducted by a qualified biologist/botanist shall be required to determine if such removal violates the provisions of Fish and Game Code sections 3503, 3503.5, and 3513. The survey shall be conducted no more than 30 days prior to tree removal or pruning. A copy of the survey shall be submitted to the California Department of Fish and Game, Region 4. If these code sections will be violated by tree removal or pruning between February 1 and September 15, mitigation measures established by the qualified biologist/botanist in consultation with the California Department of Fish and Game shall be implemented to reduce impacts of this tree removal or pruning to less than a significant level. The Planning Department shall be provided a copy of the results of any survey conducted and evidence that any required mitigation measures have been implemented prior to such tree removal/pruning on the site.

**Monitoring for Mitigation Measure 4.d.1:** This mitigation measure will be monitored by the Mariposa County Planning Department through the project construction permitting process.

**B.4.c Ordinances and Policies Protecting Biological Resources**

A significant impact would be one that conflicts with local ordinances and policies protecting local biological resources. Subsequent to Planning Commission approval of Golden Meadows, an oak tree survey was conducted on the project site to determine impacts to oak trees from subdivision road construction. The survey report, dated January 3, 2006, prepared by Technicon Engineering Services, Inc., found that 15 oak trees may have been removed during road construction activities. In addition, the survey concluded, eight trees had been damaged apparently by road construction, and an additional six trees appeared likely to be affected by ongoing road maintenance, including pruning, in the future. A mitigation measure adopted by the Planning Commission required that all oak trees 5" or larger in diameter at breast height that would be removed during road construction activities be replaced at a ratio of three-to-one of a like species of oak. The requirements of this mitigation measure were completed prior to recordation of the map for the subdivision project. Fourteen oak trees will be required to be removed in order to implement the fire station project. The project site is heavily wooded and the vast majority of oak trees will be retained and trees within the drainage corridor setback as described in B.4.b, c above will be permanently protected. It should also be noted that the project site is located in a low density residential and agricultural/grazing area that contains vast tracts of oak woodland habitat. Large tracts of grazing land zoned Agriculture Exclusive (160-acre minimum parcel size), and under Williamson Act contract with large portions in a conservation easement, are located to the west and north of the project site. The removal of 14 oak trees will not have a significant impact on oak woodlands. In addition, the project proposes the planting of 18 trees to mitigate for the removal of the 14 oaks and two pines removed during construction and to provide parking lot shading. The project's tree removal and replacement plan is shown on Figure 3 on the following page. The project's impact on oak woodlands is considered to be less than significant.

**B.4.f Conservation Plans**

A significant impact would be one that conflicts with any conservation plan. The project site is not located within an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP), Natural Community Conservation Plan (NCCP), or other local habitat conservation plan. The project will not impact an adopted conservation plan.
Figure 3
Tree Removal/Replacement Plan
B.5 CULTURAL RESOURCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Would the project:</th>
<th>Potentially significant impact</th>
<th>Less than significant with mitigation incorporation</th>
<th>Less than significant impact</th>
<th>No impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in §15064.5?</td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5?</td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?</td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?</td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B.5.a, b, c, d CULTURAL RESOURCES

A significant impact would be one that would cause a substantial adverse change in historic, archaeological, or paleontological resources, or cause the disturbance of human remains. A cultural resource study of the total Golden Meadows subdivision project site was prepared by Dudley M. Varner, Ph.D. of Varner Associates in April 2005. This study concluded that no historical or archaeological evidence had been previously recorded for the property and that the field survey did not discover any such resources. The survey concluded that resources could be found during site disturbance activities. A mitigation measure was adopted to address that potential eventuality during subdivision road construction activities. No such resources were found during subdivision road construction. Such resources could be located on the fire station parcel and the resources could be disturbed or damaged during site disturbance activities. This is considered to be a potentially significant impact requiring mitigation to reduce this potentially significant impact to a less than significant level.

MITIGATION MEASURE 5.a.1

During road grading and/or construction, or any activity that involves ground disturbance necessary to implement project conditions of approval, if any signs of prehistoric, historic, archaeological, paleontological resources are evident, all work activity within fifty feet of the find shall stop and the Mariposa County Planning Department shall be notified immediately. No work shall be done within fifty feet of the find until Planning in consultation with a representative of the American Indian Council of Mariposa County has identified appropriate measures to protect the find and those measures have been implemented by the applicant. Protection measures for the site may include, but not be limited to, requiring the project applicant to hire a qualified archaeologist who shall conduct necessary inspections and research, and who may supervise all further ground disturbance activities and make any such recommendations as necessary to ensure compliance with applicable regulations. In addition to the Planning Department, the Mariposa County Coroner and American Indian Council of Mariposa County shall be notified should human remains be discovered. Representatives of the American Indian Council of Mariposa County shall be requested to be on-site during disturbance and/or removal of human remains. Should any Native American artifact or human remains be discovered, a representative of the American Indian Council of Mariposa County shall be on-site to monitor the remainder of excavation activities.
Monitoring for Mitigation Measure 5.a.1: This mitigation measure will be monitored by the Mariposa County Planning Department through the project construction process.

### B.6 GEOLOGY AND SOILS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6. GEOLOGY AND SOILS -- Would the project:</th>
<th>Potentially significant impact</th>
<th>Less than significant with mitigation incorporation</th>
<th>Less than significant impact</th>
<th>No impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv) Landslides?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B.6.a Faults, Ground Shaking, Ground Failure and Landslides
A significant impact would be one that exposes people or structures to loss, injury or death.

Earthquakes: No earthquake with a magnitude above 5 has occurred in Mariposa County since 1800. When earthquakes do occur in Mariposa County, records show they occur at around magnitude 2.7 or less. Section 8.2.02 – Physical Geology in Volume III of the Mariposa County General Plan rates the probability of earthquake occurrence on the Foothills Fault System as low. The project site is located approximately 5 km east of the known location of the Forest Hill-Melones Fault. This fault is considered to be inactive. Thus, the project will have less than significant impacts.

Ground Shaking: All construction in California is required to comply with all California Building Code standards with respect to the seismic design category applicable to a specific area. Thus, the project will have less than significant impact.

Ground Failure: Liquefaction hazard areas have not been identified in Mariposa County. The State’s Seismic Hazard Mapping Program has not yet mapped the County of Mariposa to determine the probability of various types of ground failure likely to occur as a result of earthquake activity. New California Building Code standards that went into effect on January 1, 2008 require the preparation of a “soils investigation” report for all new building construction. These reports are required to provide complete evaluations of the foundation conditions of the site including design criteria related to the nature and extent of foundations materials, groundwater conditions, liquefaction potential, settlement potential and slope stability. The soils report must be prepared by a California-Registered Engineer. The building permit process will ensure that this report is properly prepared and reviewed. The Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation of the Golden Meadows subdivision project site prepared by Kleinfelder and dated September 16, 2004 provided a description of site conditions, geologic conditions, recommended earth work, recommended temporary excavations, and design recommendations. The preliminary recommendations were based on data obtained from six (6) exploratory test borings and a lab reconnaissance. This report can be used as the basis for preparation of a specific soils investigation report for the fire station site. The report concluded that based on the relative density of the residual soils and the shallow depth to bedrock, the potential for liquefaction or seismically induced settlement or bearing loss on the subdivision site is considered unlikely. The project will have less than significant impact.

Landslides: The State’s Seismic Hazard Mapping Program has not yet mapped Mariposa County to determine the probability of landslide occurrence as a result of earthquake activity. The Five County Seismic Safety Study performed a generalized landslide risk appraisal and found that there was minimal risk of landslides caused by earthquakes in areas of low relief and moderate to high risk found in the remaining mountainous areas of the County. Most of the soils found in the County have minimal amounts of clay and low shrink-swell potential and do not result in landslide hazards. According to the Technical Background Report for the Mariposa County General Plan, there are two areas within the County that have a high risk of sliding. The project site, however, is not located in either of these two areas. Since earthquake activity greater than magnitude five (5) has not occurred within Mariposa County, the occurrence of landslides as a result of earthquakes is unlikely.

Many other factors can play a role in the development of landslides: rock types susceptible to sliding, steep slopes, heavy rainfall during winter months, and slopes that have been modified by development activity. Landslides generally occur on slopes of 15 percent or greater. The portion of Parcel D on which the fire station will be constructed is generally flat to gently rolling. A grading plan, in accordance with Mariposa County’s Grading Ordinance, will be required for grading the site for fire station development. Thus, the project will have less than significant impact.
B.6.b  Soil Erosion
A significant impact would be one that results in substantial soil erosion or loss of topsoil. Grading will be required to implement the project. The standards of the Mariposa County Grading Ordinance will apply to site grading work done for fire station development. The grading ordinance, Chapter 15.28 - Grading and Excavation, Title 15, Buildings and Construction, Mariposa County Code contains standards for soil compaction, sediment control during construction, and re-vegetation following construction as well as other standards. This ordinance also contains drainage plan requirements to ensure that any changes to existing drainages are done in such a way as to ensure that the function and capacity of the affected drainage course is maintained following construction. Compliance with the grading ordinance standards, as administered by the Mariposa County Building Department, will ensure that impacts from soil erosion are reduced to less than significant levels.

B.6.c  Unstable Soil
A significant impact would be one where soil becomes unstable as a result of the project. The standards of the county's grading ordinance will ensure a less than significant impact on the site as a result of grading activities. As noted above, a soils investigation report is required to be provided prior to the start of construction activities. (The issue of the stability of soils on the site is discussed further in B.6.d - Expansive Soils below.)

B.6.d  Expansive Soils
A significant impact would occur if the project is placed on expansive soils and creates substantial risk to life or property. The Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation prepared for Golden Meadows subdivision found that the upper residual soil encountered during the field investigation consisted of clayey sand with varying amounts of clay, but exhibited little or no expansion. The study further stated that, based on past experience in the Mariposa area, near surface potentially expansive soils should be anticipated on the site. These expansive soils can be susceptible to volume changes associated with changes in soil moisture content. The report further states that in the opinion of Kleinfielder the potential for future differential movement resulting from these soils can be reduced to tolerable levels by following the moisture conditioning and compaction recommendations presented in the report. Based upon the findings and recommendations of the soils investigation report for the fire station project as well as the implementation of California Building Code standards it can be found that the project will have a less than significant impact.

B.6.e  Septic Systems
A significant impact would occur if soil on the site is unable to support an on-site sewage disposal system. The Mariposa County Health Department has reviewed the soils report prepared for Major Subdivision No. 2004-186 (Golden Meadows Subdivision) and has provided comment on this project. The Health Department states that the proposed fire station facility sits on the exact area tested for sewage disposal feasibility as shown in the subdivision soils report. The department further states that it is not able to support the project as shown until it is demonstrated to the department's satisfaction that an alternative site, such as the one shown on the proposed site map, will support a standard on-site sewage disposal system. The department concludes that prior to receiving a grading permit for the project the applicant will be required to obtain a permit from the Health Department to install a standard on-site sewage disposal system. Further, given the fact that Parcel D of Major Subdivision No. 2004-186 was approved based on soils testing that demonstrated that a standard (non-engineered) sewage disposal system could be installed in the location that is no longer available under the current plan, any alternate site must have the same or better soils conditions as the approved area or the project should be changed to allow the use of the previously approved area. The potential inability of an alternative location on the site to support a standard (non-engineered) on-site sewage disposal system is considered to be potentially significant requiring mitigation to reduce this potential impact to a less than significant level.
**Mitigation Measure 6.e.1**

Prior to the initiation of any grading or construction activities on the project site, the Mariposa County Public Works Department shall obtain a permit from the Mariposa County Health Department to install a standard (non-engineered) on-site sewage disposal system. If a proposed sewage disposal location on the project site is different than the location on Parcel D of Golden Meadows Subdivision that has been approved for a standard sewage disposal system, the alternative location must have the same or better soils conditions as the approved disposal area. The project shall be redesigned to allow the use of the previously approved area. Changing the locations of structures on the project site from those shown on the operative site plan reviewed in the project's Initial Study may necessitate further environmental review.

**Monitoring for Mitigation Measure 6.e.1:** This mitigation measure will be monitored by the Mariposa County Health and Planning departments through the project construction permitting process.

**B.7 GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS</th>
<th>Potentially significant impact</th>
<th>Less than significant with mitigation incorporation</th>
<th>Less than significant impact</th>
<th>No impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Would the project:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?</td>
<td>Potentially significant impact</td>
<td>Less than significant with mitigation incorporation</td>
<td>Less than significant impact</td>
<td>No impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?</td>
<td>Potentially significant impact</td>
<td>Less than significant with mitigation incorporation</td>
<td>Less than significant impact</td>
<td>No impact</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B.7.a, b Greenhouse Gas Emissions**

A significant impact would occur if the project generated greenhouse gas emissions that may have a significant impact on the environment or conflict with a plan adopted to reduce the emissions of greenhouse gases.

In summarizing the analysis of potential impacts to greenhouse gas emissions from implementation of the General Plan the EIR certified for the General Plan concluded the following on page 2-99:

**Impact AQ-4.** Build-out of the proposed General Plan may result in changes in air movements, moisture, temperature or climate. However, no projects of the magnitude that would cause such impacts are anticipated in the County. Major projects that would produce large amounts of greenhouse gases are likewise not anticipated. In the event that such projects are proposed, the projects would be subject to national and international regulations, and thus would result in impacts that are at a level of less than significant.

Subsequent to the 2006 certification of the General Plan EIR, the State of California enacted statute AB 32 which established the state's goals of (a) achieving by 2020 a statewide greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) limit no higher than total 1990 statewide GHG emissions, and (b) continuing after 2020 to achieve even further reductions in GHG emissions. The Act requires the California Air Resources Board (CARB) to adopt lists, plans, and regulations to advance these goals. The CARB approved its Scoping Plan to
reduce GHG emissions on December 12, 2008. The Scoping Plan contains measures to roll back California GHG emissions and the CARB is currently developing detailed emission reduction strategies that must be in place by 2012.

SB 97 required that the Natural Resources Agency certify and adopt amended CEQA Guidelines for the mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions or the effects of greenhouse gas emissions by January 1, 2010 pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21083.05. The agency adopted the amendments on December 30, 2009 and transmitted the amendments to the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) for review and filing with the Secretary of State. OAL filed the amendments for inclusion into the California Code of Regulations on February 16, 2010. The amendments became effective on March 18, 2010.

The fire station project will result in short-term GHG emissions from vehicle/equipment emissions during construction and as a result of CO2 released from vegetation cleared during site preparation. The project will result in permanent/on-going direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions associated with motorized fire equipment. New construction standards, however, result in structures that are much more energy efficient than structures from a decade ago.

Although a model for evaluating greenhouse gas emissions with this type of project in Mariposa County is not available as yet, a conclusion relating to potential impacts on greenhouse gas emissions can be drawn based upon the nature of the project and the conclusion of the County’s General Plan EIR. The General Plan contemplated the development of fire stations in Mariposa County. They are a permitted use in residential zones in the county. The project is consistent with the General Plan. As noted above, the proposed fire station will result in short-term GHG emissions during fire station construction and will result in permanent/on-going direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions associated with motor vehicle operation, energy consumption, and other activities associated with typical fire station usage. The project will contribute cumulatively to greenhouse gas emissions in the county and region. This impact, however, is considered to be less than significant in light of overall greenhouse gas emissions.

Mariposa County has not adopted a greenhouse gas emission reduction plan.

### B.8 HAZARDS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS</th>
<th>Potentially significant impact</th>
<th>Less than significant incorporation</th>
<th>Less than significant impact</th>
<th>No impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B.8.a, b, c**  
**Transport, Use, Disposal, Release, or Emission of Hazardous Materials**

A significant impact would be one that produces a substantial risk to the public from routine transportation, use, or disposal of hazardous material, or from reasonably foreseeable accidental release of such material. There would also be an impact if there would be hazardous emissions or substances within one-quarter mile of a school or proposed school. Typically, fire stations only use the normal amounts of household hazardous substances, such as cleaners and motor oil, according to the Mariposa County Fire Department. It is not expected that there would be any reportable quantities for storage of such materials. Thus, the project will have no impact on these issues.

**B.8.d**  
**Exposure from Existing Contaminated Sites**

A significant impact would be where a project is located on a listed contamination site and would expose the public or the environment to the hazard. The project site is not listed on the Mariposa County Health Department list of hazardous sites. Thus, there will be no impact on this issue.

**B.8.e and f.**  
**Hazards Near Airports and Airstrips**

A significant impact would be one that results in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the vicinity of a public airport or private airstrip. The project site is not within two miles of Mariposa-
Yosemite Airport. No private airstrips are known to exist within the area of the project site. Thus, there will be no impact on these issues.

### B.8.g Emergency Response Plans

A significant impact would be one that impairs the implementation of or interferes with an emergency response or evacuation plan. The location of the fire station will place firefighters in a position to assist with emergency response and evacuation in the general area. Thus, there will be no impact on this issue.

### B.8.h Risk of Wildland Fires

A significant impact would be one that exposes people or structures to a significant risk of wildland fires. The placement of the fire station will assist with fighting wildland fires in the general area. The location of the station on this site will provide the immediate area and Mariposa County with expanded fire protection services. CalFire was given the opportunity to provide comment on this project. The agency stated that it will conduct yearly PRC 4291 inspections on the property. Thus, there will be no impact on this issue.

### B.9 HYDROLOGY & WATER QUALITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY</th>
<th>Potentially significant impact</th>
<th>Less than significant with mitigation incorporation</th>
<th>Less than significant impact</th>
<th>No impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Would the project:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>off-site?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hazard delineation map?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>impede or redirect flood flows?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of a levee or dam?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Water Quality Standards

A significant impact would occur if the project degraded surface or subsurface water quality in the area.

Grading will be required to implement the project. Permits are required for this work and grading must be undertaken in accordance with the Mariposa County Grading Ordinance. Grading requires inspection and approval by the county’s Building Department. Grading ordinance requirements are discussed in more detail in sub-section B.6.b in the Geology and Soils section above. If development of the fire station on the site will result in the disturbance of one or more acres of land the project would be required to obtain a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit for Discharges of Storm Water Associated with Construction Activity obtained from the Regional Water Quality Control Board. This program deals with non-point source sedimentation, which should not occur with proper implementation of permitting requirements. The Construction General Permit requires the development and implementation of a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). The SWPPP is to contain a site map(s) which shows the construction site perimeter, existing and proposed buildings, lots, roadways, storm water collection and discharge points, general topography both before and after construction, and drainage patterns across the project. Other requirements of the SWPPP include providing a list of Best Management Practices (BMPs) the discharger will use to protect storm water runoff, and the placement of those BMPs. Based upon these existing codes and policies, the permit requirements for future grading work, the onsite inspections necessary for approval of the grading work, and potential NPDES permitting, it is found that the project will have a less than significant impact on surface water quality from future grading activities associated with the project. Adherence to grading standards and any other required permitting will ensure that any potential impacts to the drainage on the site will be reduced to less than significant levels. This drainage empties into Buckeye Creek. Agua Fria Creek drains Buckeye Creek. All of these creeks are part of the general Mariposa Creek drainage system.
(See B.6.e in the Geology and Soils section of this study for a discussion of potential impacts associated with the installation of a sewage disposal system on the site.)

**B.9.b Changes in Groundwater Resources**

A significant impact would be one that substantially depletes groundwater quantities or interferes with groundwater recharge. The domestic water needs of the fire station will be provided by an on-site well, which is currently in place on the site. The fire station facility does not include bedrooms, nor does it propose overnight stays for firefighters. The Mariposa County Fire Department states that water use by the volunteer company will not exceed that of a two bedroom residence. The heaviest use will occur occasionally when soiled turnouts are laundered and during training exercises that will occur once or twice per month. The project includes three 10,000 gallon water storage tanks for emergency purposes. Thirty-thousand gallons of on-site water storage exceeds the amount of water typically stored on a rural, low density residential parcel. Tanks will not be required to be filled on a consistent daily or weekly basis. The fire department states that water to fill the tanks initially will be provided by water tender shuttle from the hydrants at the Mariposa-Yosemite Airport. Water for refilling the tanks will also be provided by water tender shuttle from the airport. Some refill from the well may occur during the drawdown and shuttle process. A hydrant for filling fire fighting equipment will be connected to the water tanks and will be located adjacent to the Creek Ridge Rd, cul-de-sac. Stored water would be used for both structure and wildland fires that are in proximity to Brideport. The department states, however, that if the fire in question were closer to Catheys Valley, the airport, or Mariposa, those systems would be the first choice for water supply due to larger storage volume and better water source recovery rates. Fire department records show that fires in the Bridgeport service area that would require water tank drawdown averaged less than one per year over the past five years. The capacity of the existing on-site well is 15 gallons per minute and is expected to be sufficient to deliver the water it will be required to provide. Should the well prove insufficient to provide necessary water, it will be deepened or a new well drilled. Groundwater withdrawal will be intermittent. Based upon the factors cited in this paragraph, it can be found that water use by the project will not be significant and groundwater extraction would be limited. The project will have a less than significant impact on groundwater resources.

**B.9.c, d. Changes in Course or Direction of Water/Flooding/Storm Water Runoff**

A significant impact would be one that substantially alters drainage and surface flows in a manner that result in substantial erosion, siltation or flooding. As noted in B.9.a, f above, if development of the fire station on the site will result in the disturbance of one or more acres of land the project would be required to obtain a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit for Discharges of Storm Water Associated with Construction Activity obtained from the Regional Water Quality Control Board. The site will generate more storm runoff than does the site in its currently undeveloped state due to the construction of impermeable surfaces such as buildings, driveways, aprons, etc. Downward sloping on the site trends to the north/northeast and storm water runoff on the site will empty into the existing blue line drainage, which forms the northern boundary of the project site. This drainage is tributary to Buckeye Creek, which is tributary to Agua Fria Creek. All of these drainages are part of the general Mariposa Creek drainage system. Mariposa Creek drains a significant area and the amount of runoff generated by the fire station project would be minimal in relation to the amount of storm water carried by the creek. Much of the project site will remain undeveloped and will continue to absorb precipitation. The project will not result in flooding. As noted in B.9.a, f above, grading requirements will be implemented when the project is constructed and this permitting process will ensure that potential erosion and siltation impacts on area drainages are less than significant. The project will have a less than significant impact on these issues.
B.9.e  **Stormwater**
A significant impact would result if the capacity of storm drainage facilities are caused to be exceeded or the project provides additional sources of polluted runoff. As noted in B.9.e, d above the project will not generate substantial amounts of storm water runoff, and grading requirements will ensure that impacts from that grading are less than significant. The fire station will have four bays to house fire fighting equipment, and there will be vehicles parked within the parking area. These vehicles could leak fuel and oil, which could find its way into the storm water drainage system, including Buckeye Creek, which drains the immediate area. However, the amount of polluted runoff generated by this project in relation to the entirety of the drainage area is not significant. Thus, the project will have a less than significant impact on this issue.

B.9.g, h, i  **Housing in 100-year Flood Hazard Area/Impeded or Redirected Flood Flows/Dam Failure**
A significant impact would occur if houses were put in a flood hazard area, or structures impeded flood waters, or people or structures were exposed to flooding. The project site is located in Zone X; an area determined to be outside the 0.2% annual change floodplain (FIRM Map No. 06043C0753, effective date September 25, 2009). The project is not in a location so as to impede or redirect flood waters. The development site within the parcel is located well above the drainage area that forms the northern boundary of the parcel. The project site is not located in a dam failure inundation area. Thus, the project will have no impact on these issues.

B.9.j  **Seiche, Tsunami and Mud Flow Hazards**
A significant impact would occur from inundation by seiche, tsunami or mudflow. Mariposa County is not subject to inundation by tsunami. The project site is not subject to mudflow. “Seiche” is the overtopping of a dam caused by an earthquake. See the discussion on dam failure above. There are no impacts on this issue.

---

**B.10 LAND USE & PLANNING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10. LAND USE AND PLANNING</th>
<th>Potentially significant impact</th>
<th>Less than significant with mitigation incorporation</th>
<th>Less than significant impact</th>
<th>No impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Would the project:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Physically divide an established community?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the General Plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B.10.a Physically Divide an Existing Community
A significant impact would occur if the project physically divided an established community or conflicts with a conservation plan. The project is located in an area that is used for low density residential and agricultural/ grazing purposes. The parcel was not originally proposed to be used for fire station purposes when the Golden Meadows project was originally approved. The parcel was approved for a low-density residential use. The project is surrounded on three sides by low-density residential parcels and the agricultural land is located across Old Highway to the west and north of the project site. Fire stations are typically considered to be compatible with and complementary to residential uses. Title 17, Mariposa County Zoning Code, states that fire stations are a permitted use in the Mountain Home zone, the zone in which the subject parcel is located. The project would have no impact on this issue.

B.10.b Conformance with General Plan Designation, Zoning and Other Environmental Policies
A significant impact would occur if the project conflicted with an applicable General Plan policy or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project. As noted above, fire stations are permitted uses in the Mountain Home zone. This zone is a consistent zone in the Residential general plan land use classification, which is the classification governing the project site. Thus, the project will not conflict with the Mariposa County General Plan and Mariposa County Zoning Ordinance and will have no impact on this issue.

B.10.c Conservation Plans
A significant impact would occur if the project conflicted with an applicable conservation plan. The project site is not governed by a conservation plan and the project will have no impact on this issue.

B.11 MINERAL RESOURCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>11. MINERAL RESOURCES Would the project:</th>
<th>Potentially significant impact</th>
<th>Less than significant with mitigation incorporation</th>
<th>Less than significant impact</th>
<th>No impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local General Plan, specific plan or other land use plan?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B.11.a, b Mineral Resources
A significant impact would occur if the project resulted in the loss of availability of a mineral resource of value to the region and state, or result in a loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local General Plan, specific plan, or other land use plan. This project site is located in the Residential land use classification and the Mountain Home zone and is part of a project approved as a low-density residential subdivision. Although slate and decomposed granite is mined in the general vicinity of the project site, the site is not known to contain mineral resources valuable to the region or state. It will not result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource. In addition, the parcel on which the fire station would be located is 5.13 acres in size and, therefore, is not
commercially viable from a mineral resource perspective. Therefore, the construction of the fire station would have no impact on mineral resources.

## B.12 NOISE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12. NOISE</th>
<th>Potentially significant impact</th>
<th>Less than significant with mitigation incorporation</th>
<th>Less than significant impact</th>
<th>No impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local General Plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B.12.a  Noise
A significant impact would occur if the project resulted in exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards. The 5.13-acre project site is currently vacant. The fire station will generate noise from fire fighting vehicles and related equipment ingressing and egressing the site in emergency situations and training exercises. There are residences located on the residential parcels to the north, east, southeast and southwest of the fire station parcel. Currently, there is not a residence on Parcel E of the Golden Meadows subdivision. This parcel is located directly to the southeast across Creek Ridge Road and the road’s cul-de-sac from Parcel D, the fire station site. The Mariposa County Noise-Land Use Compatibility Chart, shown as Figure 12-1 in Volume III, Technical Background Reports of the Mariposa County General Plan, shows that Ldn or CNEL decibel levels of 60 are normally acceptable for low density residential development. Up to 70 decibels is conditionally acceptable.

There may be instances when the sounds of sirens and engines will cause the decibel level to exceed these standards. According to Table 12-1 in Volume III of the Mariposa County General Plan, a typical A-weighted maximum sound level of a diesel locomotive at 300 feet is 80 decibels. There are a few residences that would be in this decibel range due to sirens and engines. However, such noise will be generated on an extremely limited basis; typically only when there is an emergency. Therefore, the impact on this issue is considered to be less than significant.

B.12.b  Ground Borne Noise Impacts
A significant impact would occur if the project resulted in exposure of persons to excessive ground borne noise or vibration. There is the potential for ground borne vibration resulting from heavy vehicle movement to impact the neighboring parcel to the southeast. However, the impact would be of a short duration and would not be excessive. Therefore, the impact on this issue is considered to be less than significant.

B.12.c  Permanent Increase in Ambient Noise
A significant impact would occur if the project resulted in a substantial permanent increase in noise. As noted in B.12.a above, the fire station would be located on a site that is currently vacant. The fire station will result in a permanent increase in ambient noise levels that will be noticeable primarily during fire season. However, fire stations are typically located in residential areas and are compatible with these uses. They are permitted uses in the Mountain Home zone. Therefore, the impact on this issue is considered to be less than significant.

B.12.d  Temporary Increase in Ambient Noise
A significant impact would occur if the project resulted in a substantial temporary increase in noise. Short-term noise impacts associated with construction activity may be higher than the existing ambient noise levels currently experienced in the project area. However, these impacts will be of short duration and will typically occur during the daytime. Therefore, the impact on this issue is considered to be less than significant.

B.12.e, f  Exposure to Airport Noise
A significant impact would occur if there is exposure of people to excessive noise from public or private airports. There are no airports or airstrips located in the vicinity of the fire station site. Thus, there will be no impact on this issue.
### B.13 POPULATION & HOUSING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>13. POPULATION AND HOUSING</th>
<th>Potentially significant impact</th>
<th>Less than significant with mitigation incorporation</th>
<th>Less than significant impact</th>
<th>No impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B.13.a, b, c Population and Housing**

A significant impact would result if the project induces substantial population growth in an area, displaces substantial numbers of existing housing or people necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere. The project site is located in an area dominated by and zoned for low-density residential and agricultural/grazing uses. The fire station project has no potential to induce substantial population growth, displace housing, or displace people. *The project will have no impact on population and housing.*

### B.14 PUBLIC SERVICES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>14. PUBLIC SERVICES</th>
<th>Potentially significant impact</th>
<th>Less than significant with mitigation incorporation</th>
<th>Less than significant impact</th>
<th>No impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Fire protection?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Police protection?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
c) Schools?  

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Parks?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Other public facilities?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B.14. a, b, c, d, e. Public Services
The proposed project would have a significant environmental impact if the project necessitates construction of new public service facilities, or expansion of such services facilities to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives, and where that construction creates significant environmental impacts. The fire station project is designed to expand fire protection services in Mariposa County. Construction of the fire station will be required to meet all applicable environmental regulations. The project will have no impact on public services.

B.15. RECREATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>15. RECREATION</th>
<th>Potentially significant impact</th>
<th>Less than significant with mitigation incorporation</th>
<th>Less than significant impact</th>
<th>No impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or recreational facilities such that substantial deterioration of the facility would occur or accelerate?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B.15.a, b Use of Existing Recreational Facilities/Requirement for New Recreational Facilities
A significant impact would result if the project substantially increased the use of existing recreational facilities, cause substantial physical deterioration, or require construction of such facilities that could cause an adverse physical effect on the environment. The project will not result in the use of recreational facilities, and such facilities will not be required to be expanded or new ones built as a result of this project. There will be no impact from this project on recreational facilities.

B.16. TRANSPORTATION & TRAFFIC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>16 TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC</th>
<th>Potentially significant impact</th>
<th>Less than significant with mitigation incorporation</th>
<th>Less than significant impact</th>
<th>No impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Would the project:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Exceed the capacity of the existing circulation system, based on an applicable measure of effectiveness (as designed in a general plan policy, ordinance, etc.), taking into account all relevant components of the circulation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Answer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency, for designated roads or highways?</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Result in inadequate emergency access?</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Result in inadequate parking capacity?</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B.16.a, b Circulation System/Levels of Service**

A significant impact would result if the project caused an increase in traffic that would cause the capacity of the circulation system to be exceeded or that would conflict with a congestion management program. Traffic generated by the fire station will be intermittent and will not cause the capacity of the circulation system to be exceeded. Old Highway is 24 feet wide to its intersections with Highway 49S to the east of the project site and Yaqui Gulch to the west and is paved. Yaqui Gulch Road, which fire equipment will use to access Highway 140 to the west, is 23 feet wide and paved. These roads are adequate to serve new growth as well as the minimal traffic generated by the fire station. Congestion Management Agency’s are required by federal law to be established in urbanized areas of the nation with a population of 50,000 or more to address severe traffic congestion and to develop multi-modal transportation solutions. Due to its rural nature and low population, Mariposa County is not governed by a Congestion Management Agency. Traffic generated by emergency events and the use of the facility for training exercises by firefighters during the fire season will not be significant. Thus, the project will have a less than significant impact on these issues.

**B.16.c Change in Air Traffic Patterns**

A significant impact would result if the project resulted in substantial safety risks due to changes in air traffic patterns. The project does not change air traffic patterns. Thus, the project will have no impact on this issue.
B.16.d, e  Creation of Hazards (by Design or Use)/Emergency Access
A significant impact would result if the project produced hazards to safety from design features or incompatible uses. As noted above, the county roads that will connect the fire station to state highways to the west and east are adequate to serve fire fighting vehicles. The intersection of Creek Ridge Road and Old Highway has been improved to the standards contained in the Mariposa County Improvement Standards. The parcel on which the project is located is part of a road maintenance association. As the parcel owner, the county will pay any required fees for road maintenance. The two southern bays will be drive-through so that vehicles can access both Old Highway and Creek Ridge Rd. The northern bays will not be drive-throughs so vehicles parked in those bays can only be accessed from Old Highway. The Mariposa County Fire and Public Works departments will ensure that the fire station facility will be located at sufficient distance from Old Highway to avoid fire fighting vehicles from backing out onto Old Highway. Thus, the project will have no impact on these issues.

B.16.f  Impacts to Parking
A significant impact would result if the project resulted in inadequate parking. The project will be required to meet parking standards for this type of use. Firefighting equipment will be parked inside the fire station within the bays. The project site plan shows a graveled parking area providing space for up to 20 vehicles. The project does not propose facilities for overnight stays by firefighters and other fire personnel. There is sufficient parking space on site. Therefore, there will be no impact.

B.16.g  Impacts to Alternative Transportation
A significant impact would result if the project conflicted with alternative transportation policies, plans or programs. The project will not interfere with alternative transportation policies. Thus the project will have no impact.

B.17  UTILITIES & SERVICE SYSTEMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>17. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS Would the project:</th>
<th>Potentially significant impact</th>
<th>Less than significant with mitigation incorporation</th>
<th>Less than significant impact</th>
<th>No impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project’s projected demand in addition to the provider’s existing commitments?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project’s solid waste disposal needs?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B.17.a, b Water Treatment Requirements/Water and Sewer Systems

A significant impact would result if the project caused water treatment requirements to be exceeded; or require the construction of water or wastewater systems that could cause a significant effect on the environment. The fire station would be served by an on-site sewage disposal system. As noted in B.6.e in the Geology and Soils section, the project will be required to address concerns of the Mariposa County Health Department relating to the acceptable location of the on-site sewage disposal system. Adherence to these standards will ensure that the project will adequately dispose of waste generated by the fire station use. The project will have a less than significant impact on these issues.

### B.17.c Impacts of Construction of Storm-drainage Facilities

A significant impact would result if the project necessitates the construction of new or the expansion of storm water drainage facilities that could cause a significant effect on the environment. The project will not require the construction of new storm water drainage facilities nor require the expansion of such facilities. Storm water generated by impermeable surfaces at the fire station will empty into the drainage that forms the northern boundary of the fire station parcel, which eventually empties into Buckeye Creek. Buckeye Creek drains into Agua Fria Creek, which then drains into Mariposa Creek. Thus, the project will have a less than significant impact on this issue.

### B.17.d Adequacy of Water Supply

A significant impact would result if the project demands a water supply that is not available from existing entitlements and resources. The fire station will be served by an on-site well, which is currently in place on the site. The project also includes three, 10,000 gallon water storage tanks. The issue of groundwater consumption is discussed in B.9.b in the Hydrology and Water Quality section of this study. This section finds that the fire station would have a less than significant on the groundwater supplies in the area. Thus, the project will have a less than significant impact on this issue.

### B.17.e Adequacy of Wastewater Treatment Plant

A significant impact would occur if the project results in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider that it has inadequate capacity. An on-site sewage disposal system is proposed for the project. Thus, the project will have no impact on this issue.

### B.17.f Adequacy of Solid Waste Facilities (Landfill Capacity)

A significant impact would result if the project creates a disposal need that cannot be accommodated by the landfill. The improvement plans for the county landfill are based on the anticipated growth of the county. Fire stations produce a minimal amount of solid waste in relation to overall waste that is generated in the county, and there would be virtually no impact on the county’s waste disposal system.
The county has sufficient land fill capacity to handle waste generated by fire stations, and the Bridgeport fire station would not impact the county's solid waste disposal system. *Thus, the project will have no impact on this issue.*

**B.17.g  Compliance with Solid Waste Regulations**

A significant impact would result if the project is unable to comply with federal, state and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste. The waste generated at the fire station is required to comply with federal, state and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste. *Thus, the project will have no impact on this issue.*
Section C
MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

Finding:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finding</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less Than Significant With Mitigation</th>
<th>Less than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (“Cumulatively considerable” means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Does the project have environmental effects, which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Impact Discussion & Conclusions:

1. The study found that implementation of the Bridgeport Fire Station has the potential to have a significant impact on nesting birds and cultural resources. Mitigation is proposed to reduce these potentially significant impacts to less than significant levels.

2. The study found that implementation of the Bridgeport Fire Station has the potential to impact issues relating to air quality, noise, groundwater, and traffic. However, these impacts are less than significant, individually limited and are not cumulatively considerable.

3. The study found that implementation of the Bridgeport Fire Station has the potential to significantly impact neighboring properties to the south and southeast from an aesthetics perspective, i.e. visual impact of water storage tanks. The project’s exterior lighting also has the potential to significantly impact the nighttime environment in the area. The project also has the potential to significantly impact sewage disposal in the area due to the fact that project facilities are proposed to be located in an area previously approved for a standard on-site sewage disposal system. Mitigation is proposed to reduce these potentially significant impacts to less than significant levels.

Based upon the environmental review conducted within this Initial Study, and the anticipated level of impact as a result of the project, a mitigated negative declaration will be adopted for the project.
Section D
PROponent's Agreement to Mitigation

The project proponent, Mariposa County, represented by Allen Toschi, Director, Mariposa County Public Works Department, agrees to the following mitigation measures as required by Section 15070(b)(1) of the CEQA Guidelines and project plans will be modified to avoid potential adverse physical effects of the project as identified in this study:

Aesthetics

1.e.1
A landscaping plan designed to help screen the water storage tanks from the neighboring property located to the southeast (Parcel E as shown on the final map for Golden Meadows subdivision recorded on August 8, 2007 in Book of Maps at Page 2773, Mariposa County Records), and neighboring properties to the south shall be submitted to the Mariposa County Planning Director for review and approval prior to the issuance of construction permits for the project. Trees used as screen shall be native to the area and shall be 15 gallons in size at the time of planting and shall be planted prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy for the fire station structure. The landscaping plan, which shall be in substantial conformance to the vegetation plan prepared for the project with respect to tree removal and replacement, shall show and/or describe the irrigation system to be used for watering the trees and additional landscaping.

1.d.1
All exterior lighting including that attached to the fire station structure shall be hooded so as to direct light onto the object or area to be lighted and shall be consistent with lighting standards established by the International Dark Sky Association to reduce the potential for light to present a nuisance to neighboring property owners to the maximum extent feasible, while providing adequate security lighting and safety lighting for parking and pedestrian areas. A final exterior lighting plan shall be submitted to the Mariposa County Planning Director for review for compliance to these standards and approval prior to the issuance of building permits for the project.

Biological Resources

4.d.1
Any tree removal or pruning that is necessary to implement the fire station project shall occur between September 15 and January 31, the time-frame which is outside of the general avian nesting season. Should such tree removal or pruning occur between February 1 and September 15 a pre-construction survey conducted by a qualified biologist/botanist shall be required to determine if such removal violates the provisions of Fish and Game Code sections 3503, 3503.5 and 3513. The survey shall be conducted no more than 30 days prior to tree removal or pruning. A copy of the survey shall be submitted to the California Department of Fish and Game, Region 4. If these code sections will be violated by tree removal or pruning between February 1 and September 15, mitigation measures established by the qualified biologist/botanist in consultation with the California Department of Fish and Game shall be implemented to reduce impacts of this tree removal or pruning to less than significant levels. The Planning Department shall be provided a copy of the results of any survey conducted and evidence that any required mitigation measures have been implemented prior to such tree removal/pruning on the site.
CULTURAL RESOURCES

5.a.1
During road grading and/or construction, or any activity that involves ground disturbance necessary to implement project conditions of approval, if any signs of prehistoric, historic, archaeological, paleontological resources are evident, all work activity within fifty feet of the find shall stop and the Mariposa County Planning Department shall be notified immediately. No work shall be done within fifty feet of the find until Planning in consultation with a representative of the American Indian Council of Mariposa County has identified appropriate measures to protect the find and those measures have been implemented by the applicant. Protection measures for the site may include, but not be limited to, requiring the project applicant to hire a qualified archaeologist who shall conduct necessary inspections and research, and who may supervise all further ground disturbance activities and make any such recommendations as necessary to ensure compliance with applicable regulations. In addition to the Planning Department, the Mariposa County Coroner and American Indian Council of Mariposa County shall be notified should human remains be discovered. Representatives of the American Indian Council of Mariposa County shall be requested to be on-site during disturbance and/or removal of human remains. Should any Native American artifact or human remains be discovered, a representative of the American Indian Council of Mariposa County shall be on-site to monitor the remainder of excavation activities.

GEOLOGY AND SOILS

6.e.1
Prior to the initiation of any grading or construction activities on the project site, the Mariposa County Public Works Department shall obtain a permit from the Mariposa County Health Department to install a standard (non-engineered) on-site sewage disposal system. If a proposed sewage disposal location on the project site is different than the location on Parcel D of Golden Meadows Subdivision that has been approved for a standard sewage disposal system, the alternative location must have the same or better soils conditions as the approved disposal area or the project shall be redesigned to allow the use of the previously approved area. Changing the locations of structures on the project site from those shown on the operative site plan reviewed in the project’s Initial Study may necessitate further environmental review.

By: Allen Toschi
Date: 10/15/10

Title: Director, Mariposa County Public Works Department
### Section E
#### MITIGATION MONITORING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mitigation Measure No.</th>
<th>Mitigation Measure</th>
<th>Mitigation Monitoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.c.1</td>
<td>A landscaping plan designed to help screen the water storage tanks from the neighboring property located to the southeast (Parcel E as shown on the final map for Golden Meadows subdivision recorded on August 8, 2007 in Book of Maps at Page 2773, Mariposa County Records), and neighboring properties to the south shall be submitted to the Mariposa County Planning Director for review and approval prior to the issuance of construction permits for the project. Trees used as screen shall be native to the area and shall be 15 gallons in size at the time of planting and shall be planted prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy for the fire station structure. The landscaping plan, which shall be in substantial conformance to the vegetation plan prepared for the project with respect to tree removal and replacement, shall show and/or describe the irrigation system to be used for watering the trees and additional landscaping.</td>
<td>This mitigation measure will be monitored by the Mariposa County Planning Department through the building permit process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.d.1</td>
<td>All exterior lighting including that attached to the fire station structure shall be hooded so as to direct light onto the object or area to be lighted and shall be consistent with lighting standards established by the International Dark Sky Association to reduce the potential for light to present a nuisance to neighboring property owners to the maximum extent feasible, while providing adequate security lighting and safety lighting for parking and pedestrian areas. A final exterior lighting plan shall be submitted to the Mariposa County Planning Director for review for compliance to these standards and approval prior to the issuance of building permits for the project.</td>
<td>This mitigation measure will be monitored by the Mariposa County Planning Department through the building permit process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.d.1</td>
<td>Any tree removal or pruning that is necessary to implement the fire station project shall occur between September 15 and January 31, the time-frame which is outside of the general avian nesting season. Should such tree removal or pruning occur between February 1 and September 15 a pre-construction survey conducted by a qualified biologist/botanist shall be required to determine if such removal violates the provisions of Fish and Game Code sections 3503, 3503.5 and 3513. The survey shall be conducted no more than 30 days prior to tree removal or pruning. A copy of the survey shall be submitted to the California Department of Fish and Game, Region 4. If these code sections will be violated by tree removal or</td>
<td>This mitigation measure will be monitored by the Mariposa County Planning Department through the project construction permitting process.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
between February 1 and September 15, mitigation measures established by the qualified biologist/botanist in consultation with the California Department of Fish and Game shall be implemented to reduce impacts of this tree removal or pruning to less than significant levels. The Planning Department shall be provided a copy of the results of any survey conducted and evidence that any required mitigation measures have been implemented prior to such tree removal/pruning on the site.

| 5.a.1 | During road grading and/or construction, or any activity that involves ground disturbance necessary to implement project conditions of approval, if any signs of prehistoric, historic, archaeological, paleontological resources are evident, all work activity within fifty feet of the find shall stop and the Mariposa County Planning Department shall be notified immediately. No work shall be done within fifty feet of the find until Planning in consultation with a representative of the American Indian Council of Mariposa County has identified appropriate measures to protect the find and those measures have been implemented by the applicant. Protection measures for the site may include, but not be limited to, requiring the project applicant to hire a qualified archaeologist who shall conduct necessary inspections and research, and who may supervise all further ground disturbance activities and make any such recommendations as necessary to ensure compliance with applicable regulations. In addition to the Planning Department, the Mariposa County Coroner and American Indian Council of Mariposa County shall be notified should human remains be discovered. Representatives of the American Indian Council of Mariposa County shall be requested to be on-site during disturbance and/or removal of human remains. Should any Native American artifact or human remains be discovered, a representative of the American Indian Council of Mariposa County shall be on-site to monitor the remainder of excavation activities. This mitigation measure will be monitored by the Mariposa County Planning Department through the project construction process. |

| 6.e.1 | Prior to the initiation of any grading or construction activities on the project site, the Mariposa County Public Works Department shall obtain a permit from the Mariposa County Health Department to install a standard (non-engineered) on-site sewage disposal system. If a proposed sewage disposal location on the project site is different than the location on Parcel D of Golden Meadows Subdivision that has been approved for a standard sewage disposal system, the alternative location must have the same or better soils conditions as the approved disposal area or the project shall be redesigned to allow the use of the previously approved area. Changing the locations of structures on the project site from those shown on the operative site plan reviewed in the project’s Initial Study may necessitate further environmental review. This mitigation measure will be monitored by the Mariposa County Health and Planning departments through the project construction permitting process. |
Attachment A

CalFire Comments
August 27, 2010

To: Mariposa Planning Department

From: CAL-FIRE
Regarding Project: Bridgeport Fire Station
APN: 017-390-015

Subject: 2746 Creek Ridge Road

To Whom It May Concern:

CAL FIRE has completed the inspection on Major Subdivision Application No. 2004-186. I see no issues with the proposed fire station in parcel D shown on the final map for Golden Meadow. CAL FIRE will conduct yearly PCR 4291 inspections on this property.

Submitted by:

Mark Pimentel / Fire Captain
Fire Prevention
Madera-Mariposa-Merced Unit
Attachment B

Proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration
PROPOSED
MARIPOSA COUNTY
MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

(Pursuant to California Administrative Code, Section 15070)

PROONENT/PROJECT: County of Mariposa/Site Plan Review No. 2010-123; Bridgeport Fire Station

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Construction of a 8,250 sq. ft. Mariposa County volunteer fire station. The structure will have four bays for firefighting equipment, two restrooms, a meeting room, office, and storage. Other facilities include a roughly 8,000 sq. ft. gravel parking area of sufficient size to provide space for up to 20 vehicles. The project also includes a roughly 600 sq. ft. storage structure, three 10,000 gallon water tanks, and a well (existing) and septic system. Other facilities include driveways and concrete aprons.

No significant effect is based on the following findings:

The impacts related to the project are individually limited. Many will have short-term implications and are typical to new construction. Complying with existing regulations and ordinances, and adopted mitigation measures for potentially significant impacts relating to the issues of aesthetics, biological resources, and cultural resources provides a means of reducing the impacts to a less than significant level. Therefore, the project does not have the potential to significantly degrade the quality of environment.

No plant communities and/or wildlife habitat will be removed or damaged as part of this project with the implementation of a mitigation measure that will protect nesting birds.

No evidence has been presented that would suggest that the project has the potential to achieve short-term goals to the disadvantage of long-term environmental goals. There are no significant impacts related to the project that are expected to cause substantial adverse effects on human beings either directly or indirectly with the implementation of mitigation measures. Based upon the environmental review conducted within the Initial Study for the project, and the anticipated level of impact as a result of the project, a mitigated negative declaration is adopted for the project.

No significant effect is based on review procedures of the following County Departments or Divisions:

- Building Division
- Planning Commission
- County Health Department
- Public Works Department
Other: Mariposa County Fire Department, California Department of Fish and Game, the American Indian Council of Mariposa County (Southern Sierra Miwuk Nation), additional tribes on the Native American contact list for Mariposa County maintained by the California Native American Heritage Commission, CalFire, Mariposa County Sheriff. (Applicable state agencies were also provided the opportunity to comment on the Initial Study/proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration through the State Clearinghouse process.)

No significant effect is also based on the following:

See attached Mitigation Measures/Mitigation Monitoring adopted by the Mariposa County Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 2010-

The Initial Study was prepared by Skip Strathearn, Senior Planner with the Mariposa County Planning Department and is on file at Mariposa County Public Works Department, 4639 Ben Hur Rd, Mariposa, California 95338.

ALLEN TOSCHI, Director
Mariposa County Public Works Department

Date
Skip Strathearn

From: Dave Conway
Sent: Tuesday, November 09, 2010 7:17 PM
To: Allen Toschi
Cc: 'Skip Strathearn', Karen Christenson; Rachelle Irby
Subject: Notice of intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration Site Plan review 2010-123; Bridgeport Fire Station

Allen,
The Mariposa County Health Department has reviewed the above-mentioned notice. Proposed Mitigation Measure 6.e.1 satisfactorily addresses our concerns regarding the project.

David L. Conway, REHS
Director, Environmental Health Division
Mariposa County Health Department
Deputy Air Pollution Control Officer
Mariposa County Air Pollution Control District
5100 Bullion Street, P.O. Box 5
Mariposa, CA 95338
(209) 966-2220
Response to Comment on Initial Study/proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration prepared by the Mariposa County Planning Department (Site Plan Review 2010-123; Bridgeport Fire Station)

Comment 1 — Dave Conway, Mariposa County Health Department:
The Mariposa County Health Department states that proposed Mitigation Measure 6.e.1 satisfactorily addresses that department’s concerns regarding the project.

Response to Comment 1:
This comment is noted for the record. Mitigation Measure 6.e.1 states the following:

"Prior to the initiation of any grading or construction activities on the project site, the Mariposa County Public Works Department shall obtain a permit from the Mariposa County Health Department to install a standard (non-engineered) on-site sewage disposal system. If a proposed sewage disposal location on the project site is different than the location on Parcel D of Golden Meadows Subdivision that has been approved for a standard sewage disposal system, the alternative location must have the same or better soils conditions as the approved disposal area or the project shall be redesigned to allow the use of the previously approved area. Changing the locations of structures on the project site from those shown on the operative site plan reviewed in the project’s Initial Study may necessitate further environmental review."
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Notice of Determination

To: X  State Clearinghouse  From: Mariposa County
P.O. Box 3044  Board of Supervisors
c/o Mariposa Dept. of Public Works
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044  4639 Ben Hur Rd.

To: X  County Clerk
County of Mariposa
P.O. Box 247  Mariposa, CA 95338

Subject:

Filing of Notice of Determination in compliance with Section 21108 or 21152 of the Public Resources Code.

State Clearinghouse Number: 2010102031

Project Title: Site Plan Review No. 2010-123; Bridgeport Fire Station

Project Location: 2746 Creek Ridge Rd., Mariposa, CA. (APN 017-390-015)

Project Description: The construction of an 8,250 sq. ft. Mariposa County volunteer fire station on a 5.13-acre parcel. The facility will include four bays for fire fighting equipment, two restrooms, a meeting room, office, and storage. The facility will be accessed from both Creek Ridge Rd. and Old Highway. Other facilities include a roughly 8,000 sq. ft. graveled parking area providing space for up to 20 vehicles. The project also includes a roughly 800 sq. ft. storage structure, three 10,000 gallon water tanks, well (existing) and a minimum 12-20 foot driveway connecting the parking facilities to the two access roads.

This is to advise that the Mariposa County Board of Supervisors, as Lead Agency, adopted a Mitigated Negative Declaration for the project on December 7, 2010 and has made the following determination regarding the above described project:

1. The project [☐ will ☑ will not] have a significant effect on the environment.
2. ☑ An Environmental Impact Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
   ☑ A Negative Declaration was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
3. Mitigation measures [☑ were ☐ were not] made a condition of the approval of the project.
4. A mitigation reporting or monitoring plan [☑ was ☐ was not] adopted for this project.
5. A statement of Overriding Considerations [☐ was ☑ was not] adopted for this project.
6. Findings [☑ were ☐ were not] made pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

This is to certify that the Mitigated Negative Declaration and record of project approval is available to the General Public at:

Mariposa County Department of Public Works, 4639 Ben Hur Rd., Mariposa, CA 95338

[Signature] 12/7/10  Director of Public Works

Allen Toschi  Date  Title

Date Received for filing at OPR:

Authority cited: Section 21083, Public Resources Code.
Notice of Determination

To: X
State Clearinghouse
P.O. Box 3044
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044

From: Mariposa County
Board of Supervisors
c/o Mariposa Dept. of Public Works
4639 Ben Hur Rd.
Mariposa, CA 95338
Contact: Allen Toschi
Phone: (209) 966-5356

To: X
County Clerk
County of Mariposa
P.O. Box 247
Mariposa, CA 95336

Subject:

Filing of Notice of Determination in compliance with Section 21108 or 21152 of the Public Resources Code.

State Clearinghouse Number: 2010102031

Project Title: Site Plan Review No. 2010-123; Bridgeport Fire Station

Project Location: 2746 Creek Ridge Rd., Mariposa, CA. (APN 017-390-015)

Project Description: The construction of an 8,250 sq. ft. Mariposa County volunteer fire station on a 5.13-acre parcel. The facility will include four bays for fire fighting equipment, two restrooms, a meeting room, office, and storage. The facility will be accessed from both Creek Ridge Rd. and Old Highway. Other facilities include a roughly 8,000 sq. ft. graveled parking area providing space for up to 20 vehicles. The project also includes a roughly 600 sq. ft. storage structure, three 10,000 gallon water tanks, well (existing) and a minimum 12-20 foot driveway connecting the parking facilities to the two access roads.

This is to advise that the Mariposa County Board of Supervisors, as Lead Agency, adopted a Mitigated Negative Declaration for the project on December 7, 2010 and has made the following determination regarding the above described project:

1. The project [□ will □ will not] have a significant effect on the environment.
2. □ An Environmental Impact Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
   □ A Negative Declaration was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
3. Mitigation measures [□ were □ were not] made a condition of the approval of the project.
4. A mitigation reporting or monitoring plan [□ was □ was not] adopted for this project.
5. A statement of Overriding Considerations [□ was □ was not] adopted for this project.
6. Findings [□ were □ were not] made pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

This is to certify that the Mitigated Negative Declaration and record of project approval is available to the General Public at:

Mariposa County Department of Public Works, 4639 Ben Hur Rd., Mariposa, CA 95338

[Signature]
Date 10/7/10
Title Director of Public Works

Date Received for filing at OPR

Authority cited: Section 21083, Public Resources Code.
State of California—The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME
2010 ENVIRONMENTAL FILING FEE CASH RECEIPT

SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE. TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY

LEAD AGENCY: CALIFORNIA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

COUNTY/STATE AGENCY OF FILING: CALIFORNIA

PROJECT TITLE: SITE REVIEW NO. 2010-123; BERRY BEND FIRE STATION

PROJECT APPLICANT NAME: WILFIRE MANAGEMENT FUND OF SANTA ROSA

PROJECT APPLICANT ADDRESS: 6516 SANTA ROSA AVE, SANTA ROSA, CA 95403

CITY: SANTA ROSA

STATE: CA

ZIP CODE: 95403

PHONE NUMBER: 209-884-7350

DATE: 12/08/2010

DOCUMENT NUMBER: 2010-123

RECEIPT #: 392790

PROJECT APPLICANT (Check appropriate box):
☐ Local Public Agency ☐ School District ☐ Other Special District ☐ State Agency ☐ Private Entity

CHECK APPLICABLE FEES:
☐ Environmental Impact Report (EIR) $2,792.25
☐ Mitigated/Negative Declaration (ND)(MND) $2,010.25
☐ Application Fee Water Diversion (State Water Resources Control Board Only) $4,650.00
☐ Projects Subject to Certified Regulatory Programs (CRP) $1,949.50
☐ County Administrative Fee $50.00
☐ Project that is exempt from fees
☐ Notice of Exemption
☐ DFG No Effect Determination (Form Attached)
☐ Other

TOTAL RECEIVED: $8,704.00

PAYMENT METHOD:
☐ Cash ☐ Credit ☐ Check ☐ Other

SIGNATURE: [Signature]

TITLE: [Title]

WHITE - PROJECT APPLICANT ☐ YELLO - DFG/ASA ☐ PINK - LEAD AGENCY ☐ GOLDEN - COUNTY CLERK

FG 753 (Rev. 11/09)