DEPARTMENT: Board of Supervisors  BY: Supervisor Aborn  PHONE: 966-3222

RECOMMENDED ACTION AND JUSTIFICATION:

Discussion regarding the hearing process on the “Draft Bay” Area to Central Valley High Speed Transportation Program Environmental Review/Environmental Impact Statement and authorize the Board of Supervisors Chair to sign a letter requesting that hearings be scheduled in more locations. The draft letter is attached.

BACKGROUND AND HISTORY OF BOARD ACTIONS:

On June 15, 2004, the Board of Supervisors took action, by Resolution No. 04-279, to support the California High Speed Rail System with Merced County as one of the route stops for the system.

ALTERNATIVES AND CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION:

Financial Impact?  ( ) Yes  (x) No  Current FY Cost: $  Annual Recurring Cost:
Budgeted In Current FY?  ( ) Yes  ( ) No  ( ) Partially Funded
Amount in Budget: $
Additional Funding Needed: $
Source:
Internal Transfer
Unanticipated Revenue  4/5’s vote
Transfer Between Funds  4/5’s vote
Contingency  4/5’s vote
( ) General  ( ) Other
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Date: __________
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By: ________
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CAO: ________
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MARIPOSA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
MINUTE ORDER

TO: SUPERVISOR ABORN
FROM: MARGIE WILLIAMS, Clerk of the Board

SUBJECT: Discussion Regarding the Hearing Process on the “Draft Bay” Area to Central Valley High Speed Transportation Program Environmental Review/Environmental Impact Statement and Authorize the Board of Supervisors Chair to Sign a Letter Requesting that Hearings be Scheduled in More Locations

RESOLUTION 07-361

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF MARIPOSA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA
ADOPTED THIS Order on July 24, 2007

ACTION AND VOTE:

Discussion Regarding the Hearing Process on the “Draft Bay” Area to Central Valley High Speed Transportation Program Environmental Review/Environmental Impact Statement and Authorize the Board of Supervisors Chair to Sign a Letter Requesting that Hearings be Scheduled in More Locations (Supervisor Aborn)

BOARD ACTION: Discussion was held.

Input from the public was provided by the following:

Tom Grave, member of TIE (Transportation Involves Everyone), provided input and stated he supports the letter.

Marvin Wells provided input and stated he feels it is important for the County to be involved in this project.

(M)Aborn, (S)Fritz, Res. 07-361 was adopted approving the letter. The motion was amended, agreeable with the maker and second to include direction for the following to be sent a copy of the letter: Merced County, San Joaquin Valley Rail Committee, counties involved in the Rail Committee and those listed in the letter, Governor Schwarzenegger, and out legislative representatives/Ayes: Unanimous.

Cc: Supervisor Fritz
Public Works/Transportation Planning
File
California High Speed Rail Authority
ATTN: Judge Quentin Kopp
925 L St., Suite 1425
Sacramento, CA  95814

RE:  Hearing Process on “Draft Bay” Area to Central Valley HST Program EIR/EIS

Dear Judge Kopp:

Mariposa County is the newest member of the San Joaquin Valley Rail Committee (SJVRC) and, as such, we are very concerned about the plans and implementation of future high speed rail transportation.

As we progress through the planning stage for the high-speed rail route between Los Angeles, through the San Joaquin Valley, to San Francisco, we are approaching a very critical decision point regarding the route to traverse the Diablo Mountain Range into the San Francisco Bay area and the city of San Francisco.

Historically, Altamont Pass has been recognized by most as the preferred route as compared to Pacheco Pass to the south. The Altamont route offers a shorter distance between Los Angeles and San Francisco and offers high speed service to a greater number of passengers. Additionally, the Altamont route will allow more San Joaquin Valley cities to be served by high speed rail. By contrast, the Pacheco route will be longer through more rugged terrain and will enter the South Bay area cities, which are in very close proximity to each other. High speed rail will have to compete with other established forms of surface transportation such as BART and commuter rail service, while being unable to attain a significant speed advantage. The southern route will not address service beyond Fresno, eliminating the cities of Merced, Modesto and Stockton, in addition to many of the vacation destinations in the Sierras such as Yosemite National Park, which one day may be connected by intermountain rail.

At the June 27th HSRA Meeting in San Carlos, a list of five hearing sites on the draft EIR were presented, which included only Bay Area cities. During this meeting a request was made by Chair John Pedrozo of the Merced County Board of Supervisors to conduct an EIR hearing in the central valley. Dan Leavitt, HSRA Deputy Director, gave a verbal confirmation to schedule an additional meeting in Merced on August 30, 2007.
Although the Merced meeting is welcome, if a true measure of public sentiment is to be received, the HSRA should consider hearings in more San Joaquin Valley cities including (but certainly not limited to) Bakersfield, Fresno, Modesto and Stockton, keeping in mind that the Bay Area sites are in close proximity to each other, while the San Joaquin Valley sites are not. Of the six meetings currently scheduled, only one is outside the tight knit group of cities in the Bay Area.

The southern section of the high speed rail system offers unique challenges. Bakersfield to Los Angeles via Tehachapi, the high desert cities of Lancaster and Palmdale, Saugus, Newhall, San Fernando Valley and Los Angeles all have a vested interested in the entire HSR route. Palmdale is a future site for an International Airport, which will serve much of the Los Angeles area, bringing more passengers into the high speed rail system. The high desert area has grown considerably and that growth will continue well into the future. The route will include the San Fernando Valley and continue on to Los Angeles. All of these population centers along the HSR route have a stake in what we propose along the entire route.

The Mariposa County Board of Supervisors believes that public comment should include all the areas along the HSR line. It’s been over two years since Los Angeles has had a public hearing. The high desert communities should certainly be included also. After the public input process is completed and fairly assessed, we believe that the choice between Altamont and Pacheco will show that Altamont is by far the best and only choice to complete the run into the Bay Area. With this outcome, we strongly believe that the High Speed Rail will become the grand system where all communities will have had the ability to have a say in its development and that future generations will enjoy the high speed rail benefits within the state of California.

We thank you for your consideration and look forward to participating in the development of the high speed rail system.

Sincerely,

JANET BIBBY
Chair
Mariposa County Board of Supervisors

cc: Daniel Leavitt, Deputy Director, California High Speed Rail Authority
Arthur L. Lloyd  
20 Arapahoe Court  
Portola Valley, CA 94028-7616

Attn: Rob Owen or Chris Cypret  
Division of Rail MS 74  
P.O. Box 942874  
Sacramento, CA 94274-0001

BART Director Tom Blalock  
42666 Sully Street  
Fremont, CA 94539

Michael Snyder  
2378 Tokay Court  
Paradise, CA 95969

Supervisor Federal E. Glover  
Contra Costa District 5  
315 East Leland Avenue  
Pittsburg, CA 94565

Howard Ahelson  
3150 Hilltop Mall Road #34  
Richmond, CA 94806

Supervisor Henry Perea  
Fresno County Board of Supervisors  
2281 Tulare Street, Room 300  
Fresno, CA 93721-2198

Larry Miller  
1584 East Utah Avenue  
Fresno, CA 93720

Mayor Harvey L. Hall  
City of Bakersfield  
1501 Truxtun Avenue  
Bakersfield, CA 93301

Supervisor Ray Watson  
Kern County Board of Supervisors  
1115 Truxtun Avenue, 5th Floor  
Bakersfield, CA 93301

Supervisor Alene Taylor  
Kings County Government Center  
1400 West Lacey Boulevard  
Hanford, CA 93230

Maged El-Rabaa  
County of Los Angeles  
Department of Public Works  
P.O. Box 1460  
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460

Bruce Heard  
204 East Cordova Street  
Pasadena, CA 91101-2425

Supervisor Max Rodriguez  
Madera County Government Center  
209 West Yosemite Avenue  
Madera, CA 93637-3534

Supervisor Vern Moss  
121 Holiday Way  
Chowchilla, CA 93610

Supervisor John Pedrozo  
Merced County Board of Supervisors  
2222 M Street  
Merced, CA 95340

Angelo Lamas,  
Merced County Administration Building  
2222 M Street  
Merced, CA 95340

Supervisor Illa Collins  
7423 Bruenridge Way  
Sacramento, CA 95831

Cameron Beach  
359 Wawona Street  
San Francisco, CA 94127

Supervisor Leroy Ornelias  
San Joaquin County Courthouse  
222 East Weber Avenue, Room 701  
Stockton, CA 95202

Stacey Mortensen  
San Joaquin Regional Rail Commission  
949 East Channel Street  
Stockton, CA 95202

Supervisor Jim DeMartini  
Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors  
1010 Tenth Street, Suite 6500  
Modesto, CA 95354

George Gackle  
302 Northgate Drive  
Modesto, CA 95350

Supervisor Mike Emnis  
Tulare County Board of Supervisors  
2800 West Burrell Avenue  
Visalia, CA 93291-4582

Ty Holshuter  
1395 South K Street  
Tulare, CA 93274-6426