

DEPARTMENT: Planning

By: Jay Pawlek, Senior Planner

Phone: 966-5151

RECOMMENDED ACTION AND JUSTIFICATION: (Policy Item: Yes___ No XXX)

Provide direction to staff regarding the Draft Response Letter.

Draft Response Letter will be provided to the Board prior to consideration of this item.

BACKGROUND AND HISTORY OF BOARD ACTIONS:

- January 4, 1990 Board comments on Yosemite GMP Update (including Housing)
- November 23, 1993 Board comments on Revised Yosemite Housing Plan
- March 25, 1997 Board comments on 1996 Yosemite Housing Plan Addendum
- September 22, 1998 Board considers commenting on "Impacts on Local Economies" section of 1998 Housing Plan. Directs staff to return with draft letter for Board consideration.

LIST ALTERNATIVES AND CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION:

Negative Action would result in no comments being sent to the National Park Service at this time.

COSTS: () Not Applicable

A. Budgeted current FY \$ _____

B. Total anticipated Costs \$ _____

C. Required additional funding \$ _____

D. Internal transfers \$ _____

COSTS: () 4/5th Vote Required

A. Unanticipated revenues \$ _____

B. Reserve for contingencies \$ _____

C. Source description: _____

Balance in Reserve Contingencies, If Approved:
\$ _____

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:

List the attachments and number the pages consecutively:

Attachment #1 Previous County Comments on the Housing Plan

Attachment #2 Draft "Impacts on Local Economies" Section of 1998 Housing Plan

CLERK'S USE ONLY

Res. No.: 98-382 Ord. No.: _____

Vote - Ayes: _____ Noes: _____

Absent: _____ Abstained: _____

Approved Denied

Minute Order Attached No Action Necessary

The foregoing instrument is a correct copy of the original on file in this office.

Date: _____

ATTEST: _____

MARGIE WILLIAMS, Clerk of the Board

By: _____

Deputy

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:

This item on agenda as:

Recommended


Not Recommended

For Policy Determination

Submitted for Comment

Returned for Further Action

Comment: _____

A.O. Initials: 



COUNTY of MARIPOSA

P.O. Box 784, Mariposa, CA 95338 (209) 966-3222


PATTI A. REILLY, CHAIR
BOB PICKARD, VICE-CHAIRMAN
DOUG BALMAIN
ROBERT C. STEWART
GARRY R. PARKER

DISTRICT I
DISTRICT V
DISTRICT II
DISTRICT III
DISTRICT IV



MARIPOSA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MINUTE ORDER

TO: ED JOHNSON, Planning and Building Director
FROM: MARGIE WILLIAMS, Clerk of the Board 
SUBJECT: Proposed Yosemite Valley Housing Plan; Res. 98-382

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF MARIPOSA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA,

ADOPTED THIS Order on October 13, 1998

ACTION AND VOTE:

Ed Johnson, Planning Director;

Consideration of Response to Draft Analysis of Impacts on Local Economies
for the Proposed Yosemite Valley Housing Plan (Continued from 10/6/98)

BOARD ACTION: Discussion was held with Ed Johnson and Jay Pawlek/Senior Planner, relative to the response. Supervisor Reilly advised that she will also try to set up a meeting as previously discussed.

Input from the public was provided by the following:

Art Baggett stated he would like to see more details, especially for El Portal residents. He noted that when the dormitory employees move from the Valley to El Portal and the facilities are shared, it could create problems with integrating with the local children and residents by changing the social dynamics. He also stated that he feels more detail should be included relative to the seventeen lots in El Portal that will be opened to housing as to whether it will be dormitory or family housing. He feels the school impacts could be positive with bringing in additional enrollment so that they can maintain their existing teaching staff; however, he asked if development fees will be paid and whether they will comply with County regulations. He feels they will need to provide a facility for medical services with the increase in population. He stated they already have problems with animal control, and he asked if a site will be dedicated for a Sheriff/Animal Control substation – they will need to have a presence with the changes in the area.

Discussion was held relative to addressing transportation issues for employees when they are moved from the Park; isolation of the Canyon and evacuations when a disaster occurs; future consideration of improving Foresta Road; coordinating response with the School District relative to impacts on the schools; requesting departments that may be impacted to study and provide input on the Plan; and reevaluation of moving the employees from the Valley and location of the administration function.

(M)Parker, (S)Balmain, Res. 98-382 adopted approving response, with direction to staff to incorporate the comments made today into the letter/Ayes: Reilly, Balmain, Parker, Pickard; Excused: Stewart.

cc: File