RECOMMENDED ACTION AND JUSTIFICATION: (Policy Item: Yes__ No )

Since the Superior Court Judge received no salary increase during the 1997 calendar year, no action is necessary regarding this resolution. Even though no action is required, the resolution does require the Auditor to report each year at this time.

BACKGROUND AND HISTORY OF BOARD ACTIONS:

Pursuant to Res. 96-143 effective January 1, 1996, the County Auditor is required to "forward to the Board information on the percentage of salary increase, if any, which the Mariposa County Superior Court Judge received for the calendar year of the July salary review by the Board." Normally the Judge receives a COLA on January 1 of each calendar year and the Board Resolution 96-143 directs that discussion and possible action regarding any increase to the other elected officials of the county occur six months later, on July 1.

LIST ALTERNATIVES AND CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION:

---

COSTS: ( ) Not Applicable
A. Budgeted current FY
B. Total anticipated costs
C. Required additional funding
D. Internal transfers

SOURCE: ( ) 4/30th Vote Required
A. Unanticipated revenues
B. Reserve for contingencies
C. Source description:
Balance in Reserve for Contingencies, if approved $______________

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: List the attachments and number the pages consecutively:

---

CLERK’S USE ONLY:
Res. No. 98-325
Ord. No. 
Vote - Aye:
Noes:
Absents:

( ) Approved ( ) Denied ( ) No Action

Minute Order Attached ( ) No Action Necessary

The foregoing instrument is a correct copy of the original on file in this office.

Date: ____________________________

ATTEST: MARGIE WILLIAMS, Clerk of the Board
County of Mariposa, State of California
By: Deputy

---

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER’S RECOMMENDATION: This item on agenda as:

☑ Recommended

☑ For Policy Determination

☑ Submitted with Comment

☑ Returned for Further Action

Comment: 

A.O. Initials: __________
MARIPosa COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MINUTE ORDER

TO: KEN HAWKINS, Auditor
FROM: MARGIE WILLIAMS, Clerk of the Board
SUBJECT: Linking Elected Officials to Cost-of-Living Adjustments of the Superior Court Judge; Res. 98-325

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF MARIPosa COUNTY, CALIFORNIA,

ADOPTED THIS Order on August 18, 1998

ACTION AND VOTE:

10:35 a.m. Ken Hawkins, Auditor;
Update Board of Supervisors Pursuant to Resolution 96-143, Linking Elected Officials to Cost-of-Living Adjustments of the Superior Court Judge (Continued from 8/4/98)
BOARDS ACTION: Discussion was held and it was noted that no raises for the Superior Court Judges have been received; however, there is legislation pending to provide a raise for January 1, 1999. (M)Parker, (S)Pickard, to provide a three percent raise effective July 1, 1998, and a three percent raise effective January 1, 1999, to the elected department heads, with the Board members to be excluded, failed by the following vote – Ayes: Balmain, Parker; Noes: Reilly, Stewart, Pickard. (M)Pickard, (S)Stewart, Res. 98-325 adopted granting a three percent raise effective January 1, 1998, and a three percent raise effective January 1, 1999, to the elected department heads, with the Board members to be excluded/Ayes: Reilly, Stewart, Pickard; Noes: Balmain, Parker. Motion by Reilly (who passed the gavel) to make the same cost-of-living adjustment for the Board members, died for lack of a second. Ken Hawkins commented on the workload for Supervisors in counties where there are no cities; and he thanked the Board for the increase on behalf of the elected department heads.

cc: Elected Officials
Janet Hogan, County Administrative Officer
Nancy Kyle, Personnel
File