Approve the upcoming summer, 1998 sidewalk construction effort in the town of Mariposa and direct that the budget shortfall be addressed by the balance of Prop 116 funds, additional Mariposa Lighting District funds ($20,000) and State Transportation Improvement Program (S.T.I.P.) funds ($466,600).

Interior sidewalks are shown in green on the accompanying map; highway sidewalks are shown in orange.

Mariposa County received Proposition 116 funds in 1996 from the California Transportation Commission for the construction of sidewalks in the towns of Mariposa and Greeley Hill. Additional County funds have since been budgeted to meet local matching requirements and provide some enhancements for street trees and lighting for the Highway 140 portion of the sidewalks. The overall budget status is as follow (rounded to the nearest $1000):

- Prop 116 Funds
  Mariposa Projects $410,000 FY 96/97
  Greeley Hill 90,000 FY 96/97
- Mariposa Lighting District funds
  Highway 140 Lighting $50,000 FY 96/97
- Co. Pedestrian/Bike Funds (local match) $26,000 FY 96/97
- Co. Advertising Funds (local match) $29,000 FY 96/97
- Co. General Fund
  Highway 140 Street Trees $20,000 FY 97/98

- Total Budgeted to Date $625,000
- Approx. Expenses to Date * $75,000
- TOTAL AVAILABLE FUNDING $550,000

* Expenses to date are for design, surveys, environmental, right-of-way, meetings, etc.

Public Works is completing the design of the sidewalks for Mariposa in two separate construction phases, from the schools to the highway (interior sidewalks), and along the west side of Highway 140 (highway sidewalks). Design has progressed to the point that more detailed cost estimates can be made.

Proposition 116 funds must either be used for purposes of a "safe route to school" (which justifies the interior sidewalks) or a "route in support of public transportation" (which justifies the Highway 140 sidewalks). The Greeley Hill project, although worthwhile, is not supported by the County school District as a route to school because of minimal student use. Public Works therefore recommends that this project be deferred and considered at a later date, if desired, utilizing County road funds or S.T.I.P. funds.

If funding is approved by the Board, the interior sidewalks will be scheduled for construction during the summer, when school is out. The highway sidewalks will be scheduled for fall construction, after the
major part of the current season is over. Estimated cost for sidewalk construction is as follows:

**Interior Sidewalks, Part A**
1. Jones Street, from Elementary School to 8th Street $100,000
2. 8th Street, from High School to Jones $16,500
3. 8th Street, from Jones St. to Bullion $32,200
4. 8th Street, from Bullion to Highway $127,400
  Subtotal $276,100

**Interior Sidewalks, Part B**
5. Jones Street, from 8th to 9th $38,100
6. 9th Street, from Jones to Bullion $16,200
7. Bullion St., from 10th to 11th $23,800
8. 11th Street, from Bullion to Highway $11,700
9. Landings, 9th & Jones, 10th & Bullion $10,000
  Subtotal $99,800

**Sub-Total, Interior Sidewalks** $375,900

**Highway Sidewalks, Part A**
1. Highway 140, 7th to 10th $172,000
2. 10th Street, Highway 140 to Jessie $33,400
3. Jessie St., 10th to 13th St $77,200
4. 13th Street, Jessie to Hwy 140 $27,700
5. Highway 140, 13th to Hwy 49N $151,600
  Subtotal $461,900

**Highway Sidewalks, Part B**
6. 8th Street, Hwy 140 towards Jessie $34,100
7. Highway 140, 4th to 5th (Alt. 1) $74,700
8. Street Trees $20,000
9. Street Lights $70,000
  Subtotal $198,800

**Total, Highway Sidewalks** $660,700

**Total, Interior and Highway Sidewalks** $1,036,600

**Shortfall (assuming Greeley Hill is deferred)** $486,600

The costs above include all support costs and contingencies.

The remaining shortfall is recommended to be made up as follows:

1. Direct that the entire line item amount available for "additional lights" in the Mariposa Lighting District in the FY 97/98 budget be used to supplement the $50,000 already budgeted for Highway 140 lights. $20,000

2. Direct staff to amend the Draft Regional Transportation Improvement Program (R.T.I.P) to allocate additional S.T.I.P. funds for the balance of the project. $466,600

Costs of design, engineering, inspection, construction management, paving streets and replacing drainage pipes for the interior sidewalks are included in the summer road program (refer to companion Board item on this same Agenda). These costs are covered by the County Road Fund and not shown above.
BACKGROUND AND HISTORY OF BOARD ACTIONS:

The citizens transportation committee appointed by the Board has reviewed the proposed sidewalk program and provided input and suggestions to the proposed locations. Several workshops have been held. The Board has accepted the Proposition 116 funding for this project.

The Board previously authorized grant applications for the Urban Forestry Program as well as the Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation Program. These were for enhancements for additional street trees and three years' maintenance. The former application was unsuccessful. The latter application is pending. If successful, it will add about $53,000 in additional funds.

LIST ALTERNATIVES AND CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION:

1. No action. These projects will not be completed this year. The Prop. 116 funds must be obligated by June 2000 or will be lost to the County.

2. Options for funding:
   a. Pay for some interior sidewalk costs from the Road Fund and delete most of the other summer road projects.
   b. Reserve the Greeley Hill project portion of Prop. 116 funds and seek other additional sources of funding, such as the S.T.I.P. or General Fund.
   c. Reduce the scope of the project; for example, construct only part A of both the interior and highway projects. If this is the choice, Bullion Street from 10th to 11th (item 7, part B) should also be completed to allow the planned reconstruction of the street in front of the Government Center. Part A of both interior and highway sidewalks plus Bullion, opposite the Government Center, will require $761,800, which is $211,800 more than the $550,000 currently budgeted.
   d. If a reduced scope project is approved, consider trimming Part A, item 5 of the Highway Project by $34,100 to allow construction of item 6, flattening of the 8th Street/Hwy 140 intersection.

COSTS:  
A. Budgeted current FY $550,000  
B. Total anticipated costs $1,036,600  
C. Required additional funding $486,600  
D. Internal transfers $  
SOURCE:  
A. Unanticipated revenues $  
B. Reserve for contingencies $  
C. Source description: Lighting ($20,000), STIP ($466,600)  
Balance in Reserve Contingencies, if approved: $ 

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:  
List the attachments and number the pages consecutively:

1. Map of Proposed Improvements  
6.  

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:  
This item on agenda as:  
- Recommended  
- Not Recommended  
- For Policy Determination  
- Submitted with Comment  
- Returned for Further Action  
Comment:  
A.O. Initials:  

CLERK'S USE ONLY
Res. No.: 98-152  
Ord. No.  
Vote - Ayes:  
Noes:  
Abstained:  
( ) Approved  
( ) Denied  
(4) Minute Order Attached  
( ) No Action Necessary  
The foregoing instrument is a correct copy of the original on file in this office.  
Date:  
ATTEST:  MARGIE WILLIAMS, Clerk of the Board  
County of Mariposa, State of California  
By:  Deputy  
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MARIPOSA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MINUTE ORDER

______________________________
TO: MIKE EDWARDS, Public Works Director

FROM: MARGIE WILLIAMS, Clerk of the Board

SUBJECT: Upcoming Summer, 1998 Sidewalk Construction Effort; Res. 98-157

______________________________
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF MARIPOSA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA,

ADOPTED THIS Order on May 5, 1998

ACTION AND VOTE:

A) Resolution Approving Upcoming Summer 1998 Sidewalk Construction Effort in the Town of Mariposa and Directing that the Budget Shortfall be Addressed by the Balance of Prop 116 Funds, Additional Mariposa Lighting District Funds ($20,000) and State Transportation Improvement Program (S.T.I.P.) Funds ($466,600) (4/5ths Vote Required)

BOARD ACTION: Discussion was held with Mike Edwards and Gwen Foster/PWD-Engineer, relative to the sidewalk project and funding. (M)Parker, (S)Balmain, Res. 98-157 adopted directing that the $90,000 funding identified for the Greeley Hill sidewalk project be retained and brought back for final approval once more information is known; and direction was given to request that the Local Transportation Commission consider a change in the S.T.I.P. funding to increase the contribution amount to compensate for the $90,000 set aside for the Greeley Hill project/Ayes: Unanimous.

cc: Jeffrey G. Green, County Counsel
    Ed Johnson, Planning and Building Director
    Ken Hawkins, Auditor
    File