

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING

BY: Greta Hudak

PHONE: 966-5151

RECOMMENDED ACTION AND JUSTIFICATION: (Policy Item: Yes_X_ No)

Adopt modifications to the sign standards of the Zoning Ordinance to allow for specific informational signs (including neon open signs) throughout the County. Introduction, first reading, and public hearing to consider the approval of Zoning Amendment No. 95-1.

BACKGROUND AND HISTORY OF BOARD ACTIONS:

- In November, 1994, the Board of Supervisors adopted an ordinance amending the general sign standards of the County Zoning Ordinance to allow for specific informational signs throughout the County except in the Coulterville TPA.
- On January 9, 1995, the Coulterville TPA Planning Advisory Committee reconsidered their original request and recommended that the Coulterville TPA not be excluded from the code provisions.
- On January 17, 1995, the Board of Supervisors directed staff to initiate the public review and hearing process for this amendment.

LIST ALTERNATIVES AND CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION:

Alternatives: Modify the amendment relating to design standards and Town Planning Area applicability for the informational signs.

Negative action would result in denial of the Zoning Amendment and would result in no changes to the sign standards of the Zoning Ordinance and neon open signs would remain a prohibited use in the Coulterville TPA Historic Design Review Overlay District.

COSTS: (X) Not Applicable

A. Budgeted current FY \$ _____

B. Total anticipated costs \$ _____

C. Required additional funding \$ _____

D. Internal transfers \$ _____

SOURCE: () 4/5ths Vote Required

A. Unanticipated revenues \$ _____

B. Reserve for contingencies \$ _____

C. Source description: _____

Balance in Reserve for Contingencies, if approved: \$ _____

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:
List the attachments and number the pages consecutively:

1. Draft Board Ordinance
2. Planning Commission Resolution 95-12
3. Planning Commission Minutes
4. Staff Report
5. Correspondence from Public

CLERK'S USE ONLY:

Res. No.: 95-334 Ord. No. _____

Vote - Ayes: 3 Noes: Reilly

Absent: Taber Abstained: _____

(X) Approved () Denied

() Minute Order Attached () No Action Necessary

The foregoing instrument is a correct copy of the original on file in this office.

Date: _____

ATTEST: MARGIE WILLIAMS, Clerk of the Board
County of Mariposa, State of California

By: _____
Deputy

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:
This item on agenda as:

Recommended

Not Recommended

For Policy Determination

Submitted with Comment

Returned for Further Action

Comment: _____

A.O. Initials: [Signature]

MARIPOSA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MINUTE ORDER

TO: ED JOHNSON, Planning and Building Director
FROM: MARGIE WILLIAMS, Clerk of the Board *MW*
SUBJECT: PUBLIC HEARING Re Modifications to the Sign Standards
of the Zoning Ordinance Allowing Specific Informational
Signs (Including Neon Open Signs); Res. 95-334

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF MARIPOSA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA,

ADOPTED THIS Order on July 11, 1995

ACTION AND VOTE:

11:30 a.m. Item 7-B - PUBLIC HEARING to Consider Modifications to the Sign Standards of the Zoning Ordinance to Allow for Specific Informational Signs (Including Neon Open Signs) Throughout the County; Zoning Amendment 95-1, County of Mariposa, Applicant

BOARD ACTION: Ed Johnson, Planning and Building Director and Greta Hudak, Assistant Planner, presented staff report and recommendations. Staff recommended introduction of Zoning Ordinance Amendment, waiver of first reading and to receive public testimony on the Zoning Ordinance sign standards. Public portion opened. No public testimony received. Public portion closed and Board commenced deliberations. Discussion of countywide uniformity concerning sign restrictions; existing sign Ordinance; results of Zoning Ordinance Amendment on neighborhoods, bed and breakfasts and existing Specific Plans. (M) Balmain to amend Ordinance to include the Coulterville Town Planning Area in the neon sign Ordinance that allows for open and closed signs meeting the specifications. Chairman Parker passed gavel to Vice-Chairman Balmain. (S) Supervisor Parker, with further discussion of Coulterville's inclusion in Ordinance, bed and breakfasts, Specific Plans, and public concerns. Following discussion, Res. 95-334 adopted waiving first reading and approving corrected text consistent with motion to include Coulterville/Ayes: Balmain, Stewart and Parker; Opposed: Reilly; Excused: Taber. Direction was given to staff to bring back corrected text as an information or other item and to also return Ordinance for waiver of second reading and adoption.

cc: Greta Hudak, Assistant Planner
Board Members
File