

DEPARTMENT: Board of Supervisors BY: Doug Balmain PHONE: 966-3222
Supervisor District 2

RECOMMENDED ACTION AND JUSTIFICATION: (Policy Item: Yes___ No_x_)
Resolution in Support of AB 36 (Goldsmith) Prevailing Wage Exemption
for Local Public Works Projects

BACKGROUND AND HISTORY OF BOARD ACTIONS:

This action would support the bill introduced by Assembly Member Jan Goldsmith which would authorize a local agency, as defined, to adopt an ordinance by a majority vote that exempts Public Works projects from prevailing wage requirements except as a condition of a state or federal grant of funding for the project.

Public Works projects are required by existing law to pay prevailing wage to all workers employed on specified public works projects. This action would exempt projects supported by local dollars from this requirement.

The Board supported similar legislation in April 1995 (Res #95-163) introduced by Assembly Member Jan Goldsmith (AB138), and Senate Bill 1204 in February 1, 1994 (Res #94-34), both of which fell short of votes in committee.

LIST ALTERNATIVES AND CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION:

- 1. If the Board does not support the bill, Mariposa County will be silent on the issue of prevailing wage for local projects which could affect the cost of its public works projects.

<p>COSTS: (x) Not Applicable</p> <p>A. Budgeted current FY \$ _____</p> <p>B. Total anticipated costs \$ _____</p> <p>C. Required additional funding \$ _____</p> <p>D. Internal transfers \$ _____</p> <p>SOURCE: () 4/5ths Vote Required</p> <p>A. Unanticipated revenues \$ _____</p> <p>B. Reserve for contingencies \$ _____</p> <p>C. Source description: _____</p> <p>Balance in Reserve for Contingencies, if approved: \$ _____</p>	<p>SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: List the attachments and number the pages consecutively:</p> <p>AB36 _____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p>
---	---

<p>CLERK'S USE ONLY:</p> <p>Res. No.: <u>95-374</u> Ord. No. _____</p> <p>Vote - Ayes: <u>5</u> Noes: _____</p> <p>Absent: _____ Abstained: _____</p> <p>Approved: _____ () Denied: _____</p> <p>() Minute Order Attached () No Action Necessary</p> <p>The foregoing instrument is a correct copy of the original on file in this office.</p> <p>Date: _____</p> <p>ATTEST: <u>MARGIE WILLIAMS</u>, Clerk of the Board County of Mariposa, State of California</p> <p>By: _____ Deputy</p>	<p>ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION: This item on agenda as:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recommended</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not Recommended</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> For Policy Determination</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Submitted with Comment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Returned for Further Action</p> <p>Comment: _____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>A.O. Initials: <u>WB</u></p>
---	--

Mariposa County Board of Supervisors

District 1.....PATTI A. REILLY
District 2.....DOUG BALMAIN
District 3.....ROBERT C. STEWART
District 4.....GARRY R. PARKER
District 5.....GERTRUDE R. TABER



MIKE COFFIELD
County Administrative Officer

MARGIE WILLIAMS
Clerk of the Board

P.O. Box 784
MARIPOSA, CALIFORNIA 95338
(209) 966-3222
1-800-736-1252

FAX (209) 966-5147

August 17, 1995

The Honorable Dick Monteith
California State Senate
State Capitol, Room 2048
Sacramento, CA 95814

The Honorable George House
California State Assembly
State Capitol, Room 4017
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Senator Monteith and Assemblyman House,

At its meeting of August 15, 1995, the Mariposa County Board of Supervisors unanimously took action to support AB 35 (Goldsmith), relative to prevailing wage survey for wage scales in locality of public works projects; and AB 36 (Goldsmith) relative to prevailing wage exemption for local public works projects.

A copy of Resolution No. 95-373 and 95-374 is enclosed for your review and consideration.

We respectfully urge your support concerning AB 35 and AB 36; and should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

Respectfully submitted,

GARRY R. PARKER
Chairman

GRP:mw

Enclosures

cc: Assemblyman Jan Goldsmith
California State Association of Counties
Regional Council of Rural Counties



95-374

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 36

Introduced by Assembly Member Goldsmith

July 14, 1995

An act to amend Section 1771, of, and to add Section 1771.1 to, the Labor Code, relating to public works.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 36, as introduced, Goldsmith. Public works: prevailing wage requirement: exemption.

Existing law generally requires the payment of prevailing wages to all workers employed on specified public works projects.

This bill would authorize a local agency, as defined, by a majority vote of its governing body, to adopt a resolution or ordinance that exempts public works projects undertaken by that agency from prevailing wage requirements, except in those cases where the payment of prevailing wages is required as a condition of a state or federal grant of funding for the project.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. Section 1771 of the Labor Code is
- 2 amended to read:
- 3 1771. (a) Except as provided in subdivision (b) or for
- 4 public works projects of one thousand dollars (\$1,000) or

45-374

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 36

Introduced by Assembly Member Goldsmith

July 14, 1995

An act to amend Section 1771, of, and to add Section 1771.1 to, the Labor Code, relating to public works.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 36, as introduced, Goldsmith. Public works: prevailing wage requirement: exemption.

Existing law generally requires the payment of prevailing wages to all workers employed on specified public works projects.

This bill would authorize a local agency, as defined, by a majority vote of its governing body, to adopt a resolution or ordinance that exempts public works projects undertaken by that agency from prevailing wage requirements, except in those cases where the payment of prevailing wages is required as a condition of a state or federal grant of funding for the project.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. Section 1771 of the Labor Code is
- 2 amended to read:
- 3 1771. (a) Except as provided in subdivision (b) or for
- 4 public works projects of one thousand dollars (\$1,000) or