

43-392

DEPARTMENT: Public Works

By: Thomas J. Starling

Phone: 966-5356

RECOMMENDED ACTION AND JUSTIFICATION: (Policy Item: Yes___ No_x_)
The Department of Public Works recommends that the Board adopt a Resolution to have the County's Waste Management Specialist (WMS) become a voting member of the Solid Waste Association of North America (SWANA) Legislative Task Force.

It is not recommended that financial assistance from other rural counties be sought.

The WMS did attend one meeting of the Task Force and found that this committee deals directly with members of the legislature and members of both the California Integrated Waste Management Board and the Water Quality Control Board. The Task Force Legislative Advocate presented every piece of pending legislation on solid waste. Those of the most importance are dealt with by writing to or meeting directly with the author of that legislation. Staff feels that this would be a worthwhile expenditure of the WMS time and related costs for travel given the effectiveness of the Task Force and the potential costs to the County for proposed legislation.

BACKGROUND AND HISTORY OF BOARD ACTIONS:

On May 25, 1993, the Board directed Public Works to coordinate this effort with RCRC's Task Force and to attempt to recoup expenses from other rural counties.

The County's WMS contacted Bill Schultz, Nevada County Supervisor and RCRC Task Force member regarding the above request. It was found that RCRC is in the planning stages to form a Rural County Joint Powers Authority (JPA) for solid waste but is not yet active. It would be advisable to coordinate legislative efforts with this JPA in the future.

Letters were sent by Public Works to request financial assistance from twelve rural counties in the event this appointment is approved by the Board. For the most part, responses were positive in nature. A valid point was made, by the Director of Yolo County, that we all participate in various task forces and do not seek reimbursement for these costs because "we assume other counties will represent us when they get a chance."

LIST ALTERNATIVES AND CONSEQUENCES OF NEGATIVE ACTION:

1. Do not approve the appointment and continue to receive little of no consideration on solid waste legislation as if affects rural counties.
2. Approve the appointment and seek financial participation from other rural counties.

COSTS: () Not Applicable	
A. Budgeted current FY	\$0
B. Total anticipated costs	\$4,000*
C. Required additional funding	\$
D. Internal transfers	\$
SOURCE: () 4/5th Vote Required	
A. Unanticipated revenues	\$
B. Reserve for contingencies	\$
C. Source description: *To be proposed in 93/94 budget	
Balance in Reserve Contingencies,	
if approved: \$	

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:
List the attachments and number the pages consecutively:

CLERK'S USE ONLY

Res. No.: 93-393 Ord. No. _____
 Vote - Ayes: _____ Noes: _____
 Absent: Boggett Abstained: _____
 Approved _____ () Denied _____
 Minute Order Attached _____ () No Action Necessary _____

The foregoing instrument is a correct copy of the original on file in this office.

Date: _____
 ATTEST: MARGIE WILLIAMS, Clerk of the Board
 County of Mariposa, State of California
 By: _____
 Deputy

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:
This item on agenda as:

- Recommended
- Not Recommended
- For Policy Determination
- Submitted with Comment
- Returned for Further Action

Comment: _____

A.O. Initials: 